

March 1, 2012

Board of Directors Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc. Special Service Area #23 Special Service Area #35 Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the combined financial statements of Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc. (LPCC), Special Service Area #23 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) (SSA #23) and Special Service Area #35 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) (SSA #35) for the year ended December 31, 2011 and have issued our report thereon dated March 1, 2012. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our engagement letter to you dated December 12, 2011. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by LPCC, SSA #23 and SSA #35 are described in Note 1 to the combined financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year. We noted no transactions entered into by LPCC, SSA #23 and SSA #35 during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the combined financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the combined financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the combined financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate affecting the combined financial statements was the collectible portion of accounts receivable. Management's estimate of the collectible portion of accounts receivable is based on a review of actual collections from previous years. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the collectible portion of accounts receivable in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the combined financial statements taken as a whole.

Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc. Special Service Area #23 Special Service Area #35 March 1, 2012 Page 2

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require that we accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. The attached schedule summarizes material misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures that were corrected by management. The attached schedule also summarizes uncorrected misstatements of the combined financial statements. Management has determined that their effects are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the combined financial statements taken as a whole.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the combined financial statements or the auditors' report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 1, 2012.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's combined financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc. Special Service Area #23 Special Service Area #35 March 1, 2012 Page 3

Other Audit Findings or Issues

In a separate letter to the Board of Directors dated March 1, 2012, we have identified and communicated a matter that we have determined is a significant deficiency and other matters that we have determined as material weaknesses. Please review this letter for further information.

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements

With respect to the supplementary information accompanying the combined financial statements, except for those sections marked as unaudited, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the combined financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the combined financial statements or to the combined financial statements themselves.

This information is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors and management of Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc., Special Service Area #23 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) and Special Service Area #35 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Very truly yours,

Oslin Rein Bed Clery As



Board of Directors Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc. Special Service Area #23 Special Service Area #35 Chicago, Illinois

In planning and performing our audit of the combined financial statements of Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc. (LPCC), Special Service Area #23 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) (SSA #23) and Special Service Area #35 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) (SSA #35) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the combined financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and, therefore, there can be no assurance that all such deficiencies have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a significant deficiency and other deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's combined financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

We also became aware of another matter that is not a significant deficiency or material weakness but is an opportunity for strengthening procedures and/or operating efficiencies. This is also identified in the attached memorandum.

We will review the status of these comments during our next audit engagement. We will be pleased to discuss our comments with you in further detail, to perform any additional studies of these matters or to assist you in implementing the recommendations.

We wish to acknowledge the assistance and cooperation of management during the conduct of our audit.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Directors and others within Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc., Special Service Area #23 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) and Special Service Area #35 (A Taxing District Authorized by the City of Chicago) and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Ostron Lein Del . Olres, Ash

March 1, 2012

LINCOLN PARK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC. SPECIAL SERVICE AREA #23 SPECIAL SERVICE AREA #35

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Material weaknesses

Need for significant audit adjustments:

Significant audit adjustments were required to adjust accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, deferred revenues and accounts payable to correct balances for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Segregation of duties in accounting process:

An issue inherent in smaller organizations is the lack of adequate separation of duties within the accounting process. Specifically, LPCC's cash disbursement process has inadequate separation of duties because the President prepares and signs almost all of the checks (dual signature is required for checks > \$5,000) and records the cash disbursements in QuickBooks. It is LPCC policy that the Board Chairman prepares the monthly bank reconciliations. However, the risk that a fictitious vendor is created and paid, due to poor segregation of duties, may not be identified during the Board Chairman's preparation of the monthly bank reconciliations.

We also noted poor separation of duties in the payroll process. The President processes bimonthly payroll and records the transaction in QuickBooks. Payroll reports are available for the Board Treasurer to review, but it is possible to modify the reports to conceal the potential risk that an employee is paid in unauthorized rates.

In our prior year communication, we recommended that the Board Chairman or other Board member, on a periodic and random basis, inspect supporting documentation to disbursements clearing the bank statement. This step would provide additional separation of responsibilities in the attempt to strengthen internal controls and procedures over cash. We also recommended that the Board Treasurer is given report review access of payroll reports on the ADP website and perform a periodic review noting employees are paid at authorized salary rates. We continue to recommend these procedures.

LINCOLN PARK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INC. SPECIAL SERVICE AREA #23 SPECIAL SERVICE AREA #35

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (CONTINUED)

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Significant deficiency

Financial statements prepared in conformity with U.S. GAAP:

LPCC, SSA #23 and SSA #35 engages Ostrow Reisin Berk & Abrams, Ltd. to assist in preparing their combined financial statements and accompanying disclosures. However, as independent auditors, we cannot be considered part of LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's internal control system. To establish proper internal controls over the preparation of their combined financial statements, including disclosures, LPCC, SSA #23 and SSA #35 should design and implement a review procedure to ensure that the combined financial statements, including disclosures, are complete and accurate. Such review procedures should be performed by an individual possessing a thorough understanding of U.S. GAAP and knowledge of LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's activities and operations. Currently, LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's personnel lack sufficient U.S. reporting knowledge to perform a review of LPCC's, SSA #23's and SSA #35's combined financial statements and related disclosures to provide a high level of assurance that any potential material omissions or other errors would be identified and corrected.

Other matter

Dual approval for checks greater than \$5,000:

LPCC's internal policy requires dual signatures for cash disbursements over \$5,000. This policy maintains the integrity and an appropriate level of control of the cash disbursement approval process. However, the policy is not applicable to SSA #23 and SSA #35 because most checks written to SSA #23 and SSA #35 vendors exceed the \$5,000 threshold, which makes the implementation of the control impractical. We recommend that the President forward the check register to an appointed Board member quarterly for review. The Board member can randomly select five disbursements and review supporting documentation (e.g. Copy of the cancelled check, bank statement) for propriety.

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

Years ended December 31,		2011	-	2010					
	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance			
Income:									
Estimated carryover		\$ 214,225	\$ (214,225)		\$ 60,000	\$ (60,000)			
Interest	\$ 754		754	\$ 424		424			
Tax levy income:									
2004 tax levy				(156)	(156)			
2005 tax levy	(549)		(549)	(952)	(952)			
2006 tax levy	(1,563)		(1,563)	(1,088)	(1,088)			
2007 tax levy	(5,059)		(5,059)	928		928			
2008 tax levy	(12,824)		(12,824)	10,951		10,951			
2009 tax levy				578,945	578,945				
2009 tax interest				58		58			
2010 tax levy	445,865	445,865							
2010 tax interest	87		87						
Total tax levy income	425,957	445,865	(19,908)	588,686	578,945	9,741			
Total income	426,711	660,090	(233,379)	589,110	638,945	(49,835)			
P-16-11-1-1									
Expenses: Estimated loss collection	(576)	25 500	(26.076)	2 205	47,000	(42.605			
Estimated foss conection	(576)	35,500	(36,076)	3,305	47,000	(43,695			

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

Years ended December 31,				2011		2010					
		Actual	E	ludget	Variance		Actual		Budget		Variance
Administrative expenses:											
Audit	\$	3,000	\$	3,000			\$	3,000	\$	3,000	
Bank charges		178			\$	178		668			\$ 66
Management fee								10,000		10,000	
Meeting expenses		500		500				750		750	
Office equipment lease and maintenance		3,780		3,780				3,780		3,780	
Office printing		1,050		1,050				1,050		1,050	
Office rent		8,820		8,820				8,820		8,820	
Office supplies		1,050		1,050				1,050		1,050	
Office utilities and telephone		4,200		4,200				2,940		2,940	
Postage and delivery	·	2,000		2,000				3,500		3,500	
Total administrative expenses		24,578		24,400	-44	178		35,558		34,890	66
Advertising and promotion expenses:											
Display ads (signage)		5,935		10,000		(4,065)		12,903		22,000	(9,09
Management fee		23,535		23,535		` , ,		12,853		12,853	` '
Print material (newsletter)		6,102		10,000		(3,898)		5,894		15,000	(9,10
Public relations and media services		21,424		35,000		(13,576)		39,344		52,500	(13,15
Special events and sidewalk sales		46,418		50,000		(3,582)		50,130		50,000	13
Website		1,704		5,000		(3,296)		32,398		33,500	(1,10
Total advertising and promotion expenses		105,118		133,535		(28,417)		153,522		185,853	(32,33
District planning expenses:											
Computer software		1,095		1,500		(405)		1,095		2,500	(1,40
Management fee		649		649		, ,		800		800	
Market study				5,500		(5,500)					
Total district planning expenses		1,744		7,649		(5,905)		1,895		3,300	(1,40

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

Years ended December 31,		2011								2010					
		Actual	E	Budget	V	ariance	Actual		Budget		Va	riance			
Façade improvement expenses:															
Façade enhancement program	\$	19,088	\$	30,000	\$	(10,912)	\$	12,435	\$	12,500	\$	(65)			
Management fee		11,362		11,362		****		4,819		4,819					
Total façade improvement expenses	-	30,450		41,362		(10,912)		17,254		17,319		(65)			
Parking and transit expenses:															
Management fee								848		848					
Valet parking										5,000		(5,000)			
Total parking and transit expenses		<u>.</u>	<u></u>					848		5,848		(5,000)			
Public way aesthetics expenses:															
Holiday decorations		9,534		15,000		(5,466)		12,088		15,000		(2,912)			
Landscaping		69,531		70,000		(469)		85,702		100,000		(14,298)			
Management fee		18,178		18,178				13,924		13,924					
Property insurance		458		2,000		(1,542)									
Street pole banners		9,779		10,000		(221)		8,077		10,000		(1,923)			
Streetscape elements		8,910		15,000		(6,090)		214		10,000		(9,786)			
Total public way aesthetics expenses		116,390		130,178		(13,788)		120,005		148,924		(28,919)			
Public way maintenance expenses:															
Management fee		25,162		25,162				15,531		15,531					
Power washing		18,333		20,000		(1,667)		15,775		20,000		(4,225)			
Sidewalk cleaning		53,167		65,000		(11,833)		45,699		80,000		(34,301)			
Snow plowing and maintenance		32,450		40,000		(7,550)		12,750		50,000		(37,250)			
Total public way maintenance expenses		129,112		150,162		(21,050)		89,755		165,531		(75,776)			

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

Years ended December 31,				2011			2010					
		Actual		Budget		ariance -	Actual		I	Budget	V	ariance
Safety program expenses:												
Management fee							\$	300	\$	300		
Security rebate program						***************************************				500	\$	(500)
Total safety program expenses				4190	····			300		800		(500)
Tenant retention and attraction expenses:												
Management fee	\$	7,304	\$	7,304				4,480		4,480		
Property tenant relations		43,927		125,000	\$	(81,073)		16,112		17,500		(1,388)
Site marketing materials		1,152		5,000		(3,848)		4,840		7,500		(2,660)
Total tenant retention and attraction expenses		52,383		137,304		(84,921)		25,432		29,480		(4,048)
Total expenses	C. Halle .	459,199		660,090		(200,891)		447,874		638,945		(191,071
Increase (decrease) in net assets		(32,488)				(32,488)		141,236				141,236
Net assets, beginning of year		247,222				247,222		105,986				105,986
Net assets, end of year	\$	214,734	\$	-	\$	214,734	\$	247,222	\$	-	\$	247,222

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Summary schedule of findings

As part of our audit and request by the Special Service Area Annual Audited Financial Statement Guidelines prepared by the City of Chicago Department of Planning and Development, we have read the requirements contained in the Agreement for Special Service Area #23 between the City of Chicago and Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc.

Per Article 5.03, the Contractor (SSA #23) established a separate checking account at North Community Bank in Chicago, Illinois. All Service Tax Funds were automatically deposited into this checking account. The Contractor did not commingle Service Tax Funds with funds from any other source.

Based on our understanding of the agreement and the facts surrounding the performance under the agreement, we noted no exceptions. Many contractual items were included in the agreement which dealt with non-accounting and non-financial matters of which we had no knowledge.

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES – BUDGET AND ACTUAL

Years ended December 31,			2011		2010						
	Actual		Budget	V	Variance		Actual		Budget		ariance
Income:											
Estimated carryover		\$	40,575	\$	(40,575)			\$	31,500	\$	(31,500)
Interest	\$ 43		**************		43	\$	48				48
Tax levy income:											
2005 tax levy	(79))			(79)						
2006 tax levy	(194))			(194)						
2007 tax levy	(535))			(535)		(743)				(743)
2007 tax interest							(24)				(24)
2008 tax levy	(482))			(482)		9,649				9,649
2008 tax interest							(6)				(6)
2009 tax levy	894				894		198,123		198,123		
2009 tax interest							17				17
2010 tax levy	182,785		182,785								
2010 tax interest	35				35						
Total tax levy income	182,424		182,785		(361)		207,016		198,123		8,893
Total income	182,467		223,360		(40,893)		207,064		229,623		(22,559)
Expenses:											
Estimated loss collection	1,224		14,700		(13,476)				17,000		(17,000)
Advertising and promotion expenses:											
Management fee	81		81				350		350		
Website	599		500		99		799		500		299
Total advertising and promotion expenses	680		581		99		1,149		850		299

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

Years ended December 31,			20	11			2010					
	I	Actual	Bud	Budget		Variance		Actual	Budget		ariance	
Administrative expenses:												
Audit and bookkeeping	\$	3,000	\$	3,000			\$	3,000	\$ 3,000)		
Bank charges		102			\$	102		453		\$	453	
Interest expense								190			190	
Management fee								4,220	4,220)		
Meeting expenses		250		250				250	250)		
Office equipment lease and maintenance		1,620		1,620				1,620	1,620)		
Office rent		3,780		3,780				3,780	3,78)		
Office supplies		450		450				450	450)		
Office utilities and telephone		1,800		1,800				1,260	1,26)		
Postage		1,000		1,000				1,000	1,00)		
Printing		450		450				450	450)		
Total administrative expenses		12,452	1	2,350		102		16,673	16,03)	643	
Public way aesthetics expenses:												
Holiday decorations		14,853	1	5,000		(147)		11,772	12,00)	(228	
Landscaping		65,460	7	70,000		(4,540)		66,041	70,00)	(3,959	
Management fee		11,996	1	1,996				11,413	11,41	3		
Property insurance		684		800		(116)			80)	(800	
Total public way aesthetics expenses		92,993	9	7,796		(4,803)		89,226	94,21	3	(4,987	

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

Years ended December 31,			2011			2010					
	 Actual Bue		Budget	et Varianc		Actual		Budget		Variance	
Public way maintenance expenses:											
Management fee	\$ 12,433	\$	12,433			\$	11,530	\$	11,530		
Power washing	13,885		14,000	\$	(115)		8,975		12,000	\$	(3,025)
Sidewalk cleaning	21,257		46,500		(25,243)		24,077		55,000		(30,923)
Snow plowing and maintenance	 22,008		25,000		(2,992)		17,992		23,000		(5,008)
Total public way maintenance expenses	 69,583		97,933		(28,350)		62,574		101,530		(38,956)
Total expenses	 176,932		223,360		(46,428)		169,622		229,623		(60,001)
increase in net assets	5,535				5,535		37,442				37,442
Net assets, beginning of year	 54,462				54,462		17,020				17,020
Net assets, end of year	\$ 59,997	\$	_	\$	59,997	\$	54,462	\$	_	\$	54,462

(A TAXING DISTRICT AUTHORIZED BY THE CITY OF CHICAGO)

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Summary schedule of findings

As part of our audit and request by the Special Service Area Annual Audited Financial Statement Guidelines prepared by the City of Chicago Department of Planning and Development, we have read the requirements contained in the Agreement for Special Service Area #35 between the City of Chicago and Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce, Inc.

Per Article 5.03, the Contractor (SSA #35) established a separate checking account at North Community Bank in Chicago, Illinois. All Service Tax Funds were automatically deposited into this checking account. The Contractor did not commingle Service Tax Funds with funds from any other source.

Based on our understanding of the agreement and the facts surrounding the performance under the agreement, we noted no exceptions. Many contractual items were included in the agreement which dealt with non-accounting and non-financial matters of which we had no knowledge.