

---

# **2001 Annual Report**

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area**



**Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)**

***JUNE 30, 2002***

---

June 30, 2002

Ms. Alicia Mazur Berg  
Commissioner  
Department of Planning and Development  
121 N. LaSalle St.  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,



Ernst & Young LLP

# Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ANNUAL REPORT – HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

	PAGE
LETTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER.....	1
1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION .....	2
2) AUDITED FINANCIALS .....	3
3) MAYOR’S CERTIFICATION.....	4
4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL.....	5
5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND .....	6
6) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY .....	7
7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES .....	8
8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY .....	12
9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE.....	13
10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT .....	14
11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.....	15



City of Chicago  
Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning  
and Development

Alicia Mazur Berg  
Commissioner

121 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
(312) 744-4190  
(312) 744-2271 (FAX)

<http://www.cityofchicago.org>

June 30, 2002

The Honorable Daniel Hynes  
Comptroller  
State of Illinois  
Office of the Comptroller  
201 Capitol  
Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Alicia Mazur Berg  
Commissioner





## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)**

The Project Area was designated on June 27, 2001. The Project Area may be terminated no later than June 27, 2024.

**CITY OF CHICAGO**

**HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA**

**TAX INCREMENT FINANCE PROGRAM**

**REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PROJECT**

**CITY OF CHICAGO  
RICHARD M. DALEY  
MAYOR**

**JANUARY 2001**

**THIS REDEVELOPMENT PLAN IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW, COMMENTS AND REVISION.**

**PREPARED BY  
LOUIK/SCHNEIDER & ASSOCIATES, INC  
MACONDO CORP.  
THE LAMBERT GROUP**

**REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PROJECT FOR  
HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA  
TAX INCREMENT FINANCING PROGRAM**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. TAX INCREMENT FINANCING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.....</b>	<b>2</b>
A. EXISTING CONDITIONS AND LAND USE.....	2
B. AREA HISTORY .....	3
C. COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT AREA.....	4
D. ZONING CHARACTERISTICS .....	5
E. TAX INCREMENT ALLOCATION REDEVELOPMENT ACT .....	5
<b>III. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>IV. CONSERVATION AREA CONDITIONS IN THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA .....</b>	<b>10</b>
A. ILLINOIS TAX INCREMENT ACT .....	10
B. CONSERVATION AREA ELIGIBILITY FACTORS.....	11
<b>V. HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT .....</b>	<b>14</b>
A. GENERAL LAND USE PLAN .....	14
B. REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PROJECT .....	14
C. ESTIMATED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT ACTIVITIES AND COSTS.....	15
D. SOURCES OF FUNDS TO PAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS .....	22
E. ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS.....	23
F. MOST RECENT EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION OF PROPERTIES .....	23
G. ANTICIPATED EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION .....	24
H. LACK OF GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	24
I. FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT .....	25
J. DEMAND ON TAXING DISTRICT SERVICES .....	25
K. PROGRAM TO ADDRESS FINANCIAL AND SERVICE IMPACTS .....	27
L. PROVISION FOR AMENDING ACTION PLAN .....	28
M. FAIR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES, AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN AND PREVAILING WAGE AGREEMENT .....	28
N. PHASING AND SCHEDULING OF REDEVELOPMENT.....	28
O. HOUSING IMPACT STUDY .....	29
<b>APPENDIX .....</b>	<b>30</b>
TABLE 1 - ESTIMATED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS.....	31
TABLE 2 - 1999 EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION.....	32
EXHIBIT 1 - LEGAL DESCRIPTION.....	41
EXHIBIT 2 - MAP LEGEND .....	49
EXHIBIT 3 - ELIGIBILITY STUDY.....	50
EXHIBIT 4 - HOUSING IMPACT STUDY .....	51

## I. INTRODUCTION

Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc., Macondo Corp. and The Lambert Group have been retained by the City of Chicago ("City") to develop a Redevelopment Plan and Project of the proposed redevelopment area known as Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area in Chicago, Illinois ("Redevelopment Project Area"). The Redevelopment Project Area is well suited for a variety of commercial, residential and institutional uses.

The Redevelopment Project Area is located in the City, approximately three miles northwest of the central business district in the Humboldt Park and West Town community areas. It is irregularly shaped and generally includes both sides of North Avenue between North Ridgeway and North Claremont; both sides of North Western Avenue between North Avenue and West Haddon, extending east to North Oakley between West LeMoyne and West Hirsch and between West Potomac and West Haddon; and both sides of West Division between North Oakley and North Mozart. The Redevelopment Project Area is primarily surrounded by residential uses with some commercial and light industrial (see Map 2 - *Existing Land Use*). The Redevelopment Project Area is adjacent to the eastern boundary of the Pulaski Industrial Corridor Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project. The Redevelopment Project Area contains the main commercial arterial access within the community, which is West North Avenue on the north, North Western Avenue on the east and West Division Street on the south.

The purpose of the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance Program Redevelopment Plan and Project ("Plan") is to establish a mechanism to allow for the planning, financing and implementation of development of commercial, institutional (e.g. police department, fire department and library), residential uses, rehabilitation of commercial uses, and public improvements including open space and streetscape beautification projects.

This Plan summarizes the analyses and findings of the consultants' work, which, unless otherwise noted, is the responsibility of Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc., Macondo Corp. and The Lambert Group. The City is entitled to rely on the findings and conclusions of this Plan in designating the Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area under the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 *et seq.*, as amended (the "Act"). Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. has prepared this Plan and the related Eligibility Study with the understanding that the City would rely: 1) on the findings and conclusions of the Plan and the related Eligibility Study in proceeding with the designation of the Redevelopment Project Area and the adoption and implementation of the Plan, and 2) on the fact that Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. has obtained the necessary information so that the Plan and the related Eligibility Study will comply with the Act.

## II. TAX INCREMENT FINANCING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

The Redevelopment Project Area is located on the northwest side of the City in the Humboldt Park and West Town community areas. The 138-acre Redevelopment Project Area is irregularly shaped and generally includes both sides of North Avenue between North Ridgeway and North Claremont; both sides of North Western Avenue between North Avenue and West Haddon, extending east to North Oakley between West LeMoyné and West Hirsch and between West Potomac and West Haddon; and both sides of West Division between North Oakley and North Mozart. The Redevelopment Project Area is primarily commercial with residential and light industrial uses. The Redevelopment Project Area contains the main commercial arterial access within the community, which is West North Avenue on the north, North Western Avenue on the east and West Division Street on the south.

The legal description of the Redevelopment Project Area boundaries was prepared by Chicago Guarantee Survey Co. and is attached to this Plan as Exhibit 1 - *Legal Description*. West Division Street and West North Avenue provide eastern and western access to surrounding neighborhoods as well as a direct entrance to the Kennedy Expressway (I-90/94) to the east. Western Avenue provides northern and southern access to surrounding neighborhoods as well as entrance to north- and southbound I-90/94 on the north, and direct entrance to the Eisenhower Expressway (I-290) to the south.

The Redevelopment Project Area is situated within one half-mile of the Hermosa station on the Metra Milwaukee District West suburban commuter rail line that runs between Elgin and downtown Chicago.

Convenient east- and westbound Chicago Transit Authority bus service is available on Route #72 North and Route #70 Division. North- and southbound bus service is available on Route #52 Kedzie-California, Route #82 Kimball-Homan and Route #42 Western. The Redevelopment Project Area is also located approximately one half-mile from the CTA Blue Line's Damen and Western stations and approximately one mile from the CTA Green Line's California and Kedzie stations.

### A. EXISTING CONDITIONS AND LAND USE

The Redevelopment Project Area is especially well suited to commercial development, but is also well situated for certain residential and institutional developments (see Exhibit 4 - Map 2 - *Existing Land Use*). The Redevelopment Project Area's close proximity to good local and regional transportation networks makes the Area accessible to shoppers and residents of both the Humboldt Park community and surrounding neighborhoods.

The current condition of the Redevelopment Project Area is one of underutilization and deterioration. Underutilized and poorly maintained buildings line a majority of the West Division

Street corridor between North Mozart Street and North Western Avenue. Vacant lots and deteriorated sidewalks and street fixtures are also present. Strip-mall style shopping centers that do not conform to the original streetscape are common on this portion of West Division Street as well as in other sections of the Redevelopment Project Area.

The North Western Avenue corridor from West Potomac Avenue to West North Avenue has many of the same issues that are evident along West Division Street. Deteriorated commercial and residential buildings and the proliferation of unsightly automobile dealerships and other automobile-related businesses limit commercial diversification and create traffic congestion. Many of the poorly maintained automobile-related businesses prevent North Western Avenue from forming a cohesive commercial center by stunting any type of pedestrian flow from storefront to storefront and have been found to be a source of significant amounts of debris and litter that detract from the overall aesthetic of the corridor.

The east side of North Western Avenue maintains two significant institutional uses: St. Elizabeth's Hospital, located between West LeMoyne Street and West Hirsch Street, and Roberto Clemente High School, located between West Potomac Avenue and North Division Street. Across the street from Roberto Clemente High School, on the west side of North Western Avenue, is the Chicago Fire Department Engine Company 57.

The section of the Redevelopment Project Area that runs west along West North Avenue from North Western Avenue to North Mozart Street is a blend of various commercial buildings with retail and office uses on the street level and residential uses above the first floor. Buildings and public infrastructure show increasing signs of deterioration and neglect. The Area's buildings also have numerous vacancies on the upper floors. The area from North Mozart Street west to North Troy Street is a mixture of residential and commercial uses. North Troy Street to the end of the Redevelopment Project Area on North Lawndale Avenue is primarily commercial property with second- and third-floor residential uses.

## **B. AREA HISTORY**

The Redevelopment Project Area is a subsection of the greater Humboldt Park and West Town community areas located on the near northwest side of Chicago. The two community areas share part of Chicago's rich history and cultural heritage. While the two communities are integral parts of the fabric that forms Chicago, it is evident that the peaks of both were in the earlier quarter of the 20th century. Since the Twenties, the Humboldt Park and West Town community areas have steadily declined in businesses, industry and population.

Much of West Town was annexed to the City in 1837, with the remaining portions annexed in the 1860s. Centers of industry revolved around the neighborhood rail yards and the factories built along the North Branch of the Chicago River. With this industry came the influx of a diverse ethnic workforce that established residence throughout West Town.

Most of the Humboldt Park area was annexed to the City in 1869, the same year that saw the 207-acre park named after Alexander von Humboldt established. Germans and Scandinavians

comprised most of the early settlers in what was then primarily farmland and prairie. The aftermath of the 1871 Chicago Fire brought a new wave of working-class settlers attracted by the inexpensive housing built just outside of the City's fire code limits. The annexation of the town of Jefferson in 1889 completed the incorporation of the present area into the City.

The Humboldt Park Area has had a significant industrial component from the beginning, developing mostly along the railroad lines at the area's northern, southern and western boundaries. Primary retail shopping areas have concentrated along North Avenue, Western Avenue and Division Street.

The community has experienced to varying degrees the strains associated with high population turnover. Among the major concerns of community residents are the quality of area schools, increased gang activity, maintaining police relations, safety and security, and the quality of other City services and housing stock.

The local churches, some of the most stable and strong institutions in the community, have been at the forefront of organizing service delivery programs. Enthusiastic support for the school reform movement has brought together a broad cross-section of the neighborhood's residents and increased parental involvement in the local schools.

Humboldt Park has a strong history of activism, evidenced by the number of community organizations that are actively helping to rehabilitate housing for low- and moderate-income residents; providing assistance in securing mortgages and loans for current homeowners or residents who want to buy; and organizing job training, adult education, and safety and youth programs.

### **C. COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT AREA**

In 1999, the Community Development Commission designated the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area, a larger area that is generally bounded by the northern alley of West North Avenue on the north, West Division Street and West Augusta Street on the south, North Western Avenue on the east, and North Central Park Avenue on the west as the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area. This larger area encompasses the Redevelopment Project Area that is the subject of this Plan. The basis for the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan is set forth in Chapter 2-124-010(e) of the Chicago Municipal Code which defines a Redevelopment Plan as a "comprehensive program for the clearing or rehabilitation and the physical development of a redevelopment area." The Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan encourages existing business owners and residents to seek financial assistance in order to rehabilitate, repair and maintain their properties. It also encourages developers to achieve quality designs that are both functional and aesthetically significant.

This Plan is intended to serve as a tool to implement the goals and objectives identified in the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan, dated January 12, 1999. The earlier plan was a result of the input, vision and hard work of community residents and served as a guide for the Humboldt Park Commercial Plan described herein.

#### **D. ZONING CHARACTERISTICS**

The Redevelopment Project Area is primarily zoned business, commercial and institutional. Permitted zoning uses for the Redevelopment Project Area include business districts zoned B3-3, B5-2, B4-2, B4-3, commercial districts zoned C1-1, C1-2, C1-3, C2-2, C3-1 and Institutional Planned Developments #94 and #12.

#### **E. TAX INCREMENT ALLOCATION REDEVELOPMENT ACT**

An analysis of conditions within the Redevelopment Project Area indicates that it is appropriate for designation as a Redevelopment Project Area under the Act. The Redevelopment Project Area is characterized by conditions that warrant its designation as a "Conservation Area" within the definitions set forth in the Act.

The Act provides a means for municipalities, after the approval of a "Redevelopment Plan and Project," to redevelop blighted and conservation areas by pledging the incremental tax revenues generated by public and private redevelopment. These incremental tax revenues are used to pay for costs that are required to stimulate private investment in new redevelopment and rehabilitation, or to reimburse private developers for eligible costs incurred in connection with an approved development. Municipalities may issue obligations to be repaid from the stream of real property tax increment revenues that is generated within the tax increment financing district.

The property tax increment revenue is calculated by determining the difference between the initial equalized assessed valuation ("EAV") or the Certified Base EAV for all taxable real estate located within the Redevelopment Project Area and the current year EAV. The EAV is the assessed value of the property multiplied by the state multiplier. Any increase in EAV is then multiplied by the current tax rate, which determines the incremental real property tax.

This Plan has been formulated in accordance with the provisions of the Act. It is a guide to all proposed public and private action in the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition to describing the objectives of redevelopment, the Plan sets forth the overall program to be undertaken to accomplish these objectives. This program is the "Redevelopment Project."

This Plan also specifically describes the Redevelopment Project Area. This area meets the eligibility requirements of the Act (see Exhibit 3 - *Humboldt Park Commercial Area Tax Increment Finance Program - Eligibility Study*). After approval of the Plan, the City Council may then formally designate the Redevelopment Project Area.

The purpose of this Plan is to ensure that new redevelopment occurs:

1. On a coordinated rather than a piecemeal basis to ensure that land use, vehicular access, parking, service and urban design systems will meet modern-day urban planning principles and standards; and



2. On a reasonable, comprehensive and integrated basis to ensure that blighting area factors are eliminated; and
3. Within a reasonable and defined time period.

Revitalization of the Redevelopment Project Area is a large and complex undertaking and presents challenges and opportunities commensurate to its scale. The success of this effort will depend to a large extent on the cooperation between the private sector and agencies of local government.

Regardless of when the Plan is adopted, it will include land uses that have been approved by the Chicago Plan Commission.

There has been some private investment in the Redevelopment Project Area over the last five years. However, the potential and amount of commercial growth and investment within the area has been limited. The adoption of the Plan will make possible the implementation of a logical program to stimulate redevelopment in the Redevelopment Project Area, an area that cannot reasonably be anticipated to develop without the adoption of this Plan. Public investments will create the appropriate environment to attract the level of private investment required for rebuilding the Redevelopment Project Area.

Successful implementation of the Plan requires that the City take advantage of the real estate tax increment revenues attributed to the Redevelopment Project Area as provided in accordance with the Act.

### III. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Comprehensive goals and objectives are included in this Plan to guide the decisions and activities that will be undertaken to facilitate the redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area. In order to rehabilitate the Redevelopment Project Area in a planned manner, established goals are necessary. Many of them can be achieved through the effective use of local, state and federal mechanisms. These goals and objectives generally reflect the following plans and programs:

- Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan and Designation Report, January 12, 1999
- Humboldt Park Commercial Land Use Plan, June 1996

The following goals are meant to guide the development and/or the review of all future projects that will be undertaken in the Redevelopment Project Area.

#### GENERAL GOALS

1. Promote the rehabilitation of existing structures and new construction where appropriate throughout the Redevelopment Project Area.
2. Promote affordable and mixed-income rental and for-sale residential development and mixed-use residential/commercial development.
3. Promote, to the extent practicable and feasible, a restaurant row with a predominant cuisine along Division Street.
4. Facilitate the development of design objectives and unified streetscape improvements throughout the Redevelopment Project Area.
5. Create an environment within the Redevelopment Project Area that will contribute to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and that will generate revenue for the Redevelopment Project Area.
6. Strengthen the economic well-being of the Redevelopment Project Area and the City by enhancing the value of properties and the local tax base.
7. Encourage the participation of minorities and women in the redevelopment process of the Redevelopment Project Area.

## **ACTIONS**

1. Encourage maintenance, restoration and reuse of existing structures, to the maximum extent feasible.
2. Provide for mixed-income residential redevelopment and rehabilitation.
3. Provide sufficient off-street parking to accommodate new and existing area residents, institutions and commercial redevelopment.
4. Provide necessary right-of-way improvements or adjustments to facilitate and improve traffic flow, and for coordination of development.
5. Reduce or eliminate those conditions that qualify the Redevelopment Project Area as a Conservation Area.
6. Encourage private investment in the rehabilitation of commercial (retail/office) and residential developments, where appropriate.
7. Provide needed incentives to encourage a broad range of improvements for both the rehabilitation of existing buildings and new development.
8. Provide public and private infrastructure and streetscape improvements and other assistance necessary to promote commercial, residential and open space development in the Redevelopment Project Area.
9. Use City and private programs and strategies to market the Redevelopment Project Area to appropriate businesses or developers.
10. Encourage the implementation of City programs for the rehabilitation of residential and commercial uses (e.g. Small Business Improvement Fund, Neighborhood Improvement Program).

## **DESIGN OBJECTIVES**

Although overall goals and redevelopment objectives are important in the redevelopment process, the inclusion of design guidelines is necessary to ensure that redevelopment activities result in an attractive and functional environment. The following design objectives give a generalized approach to specific redevelopment projects:

1. Encourage coordinated development of parcels and structures that addresses building design and off-street parking, and is integrated both functionally and aesthetically with adjacent and nearby existing developments.
2. Ensure safe and functional circulation patterns, adequate ingress and egress, and capacity for pedestrians and vehicles.

3. Ensure improvement in public ways that encourages neighborhood usage of commercial and retail establishments, the enhancement of transit facilities and a pedestrian-friendly environment.
4. Encourage high standards of building rehabilitation, including façade restoration, storefront merchandising, awning and entryways, and streetscape design to ensure the quality appearance of buildings, rights-of-way and open spaces.
5. Encourage a variety of streetscape amenities, including such items as sidewalk/street planters, flower boxes, plazas, a variety of tree species and ornamental iron fences where appropriate.

#### IV. CONSERVATION AREA CONDITIONS IN THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

##### A. ILLINOIS TAX INCREMENT ACT

The Act authorizes Illinois municipalities to redevelop locally designated deteriorated areas through tax increment financing. In order for an area to qualify as a tax increment financing district, it must first be designated as a Blighted Area, a Conservation Area (or a combination of the two), or an Industrial Park Conservation Area.

As set forth in the Act, a “Conservation Area” is any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which 50% or more of the structures in the area have an age of 35 years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area, but because of a combination of three or more of the following factors is detrimental to public safety, health, morals or welfare, and could become a blighted area:

1. Dilapidation
2. Obsolescence
3. Deterioration
4. Presence of structures below minimum code standards
5. Illegal use of individual structures
6. Excessive vacancies
7. Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities
8. Inadequate utilities
9. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities
10. Deleterious land use or layout
11. Necessity of environmental clean-up
12. Lack of community planning
13. EAV comparison

The Act further states that the eligibility factors must be (i) present to a meaningful extent, with that presence documented, so that a municipality may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the redevelopment project area.

Comprehensive exterior surveys of the 730 parcels of the Study Area were conducted by Macondo Corp. Analysis of each of the Conservation Area eligibility factors contained in the Act was conducted in order to determine its presence. The exterior surveys examined not only the condition and use of buildings but also conditions of streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, lighting, vacant land, underutilized land, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general maintenance. In addition, an analysis was conducted of existing site coverage and parking, land uses, zoning and their relationship to the surrounding area. A block-by-block analysis of the 62 blocks was conducted by Macondo Corp. to identify the eligibility factors and their degree of presence.

Based upon surveys, site inspections, research and analysis by Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc., Macondo Corp. and The Lambert Group, the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as a Conservation Area as defined by the Act. A separate report, entitled *City of Chicago Humboldt Park Commercial Tax Increment Finance Program - Eligibility Study* and dated January 2001 (the "Eligibility Study"), is attached as Exhibit 3 to this Plan and describes in detail the surveys and analyses undertaken and the basis for the finding that the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as a Conservation Area.

## **B. CONSERVATION AREA ELIGIBILITY FACTORS**

The Redevelopment Project Area is characterized by the presence of six Conservation Area eligibility factors in addition to age as listed in the Act. Summarized below are the findings of the Eligibility Report.

### **Age**

Age presumes the existence of problems or limiting conditions resulting from normal and continuous use of structures over a period of years.

### **Major Extent**

#### **1. Obsolescence**

Obsolescence is defined in the Act as "the condition or process of falling into disuse." Obsolescent structures have become ill-suited for the original use.

#### **2. Deterioration**

Deterioration refers to any physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or site improvements requiring major treatment or repair. The Act defines deterioration with respect to buildings as "defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, and fascia."

#### **3. Presence of Structures Below Minimum Code**

Structures below minimum code standards, as stated in the Act, include "all structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes."

#### **4. Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures and Community Facilities**

Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities is defined by the Act as "the over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site."

## **5. Deleterious Land Use or Layout**

Deleterious land uses include all instances of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses that may be considered noxious, offensive or unsuitable.

### **Minor Extent**

#### **1. Dilapidation**

Dilapidation is referred to in the Act as "an advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed."

The conclusion of the consultant team is that the number, degree and distribution of Conservation Area eligibility factors as documented in this report warrant the designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a Conservation Area as set forth in the Act. Specifically:

- Of the 13 eligibility factors for a Conservation Area set forth in the Act in addition to age, five are present to a major extent and one is present to a minor extent. Only three are necessary for designation as a Conservation Area.
- The Conservation Area eligibility factors that are present are reasonably distributed throughout the Redevelopment Project Area.
- The Redevelopment Project Area is not yet a blighted area, but because of the factors described in this report, the Redevelopment Project Area may become a blighted area.

The eligibility findings indicate that the Redevelopment Project Area contains factors that qualify it as a Conservation Area in need of revitalization, and that designation as a redevelopment project area will contribute to the long-term well-being of the City.

Additional research indicates that the Redevelopment Project Area has not benefited from growth and development as a result of investments by private enterprise, and will not be developed without action by the City.

Specifically, the total number of building permits recently requested for new construction and major renovation is lower than could be reasonably expected in an area of economic health. From January 1995 to September 2000, only 52 permits for new construction or renovation were issued in an area with 730 parcels (7%). Of these, only six were for the construction of new buildings, while the others were for the building of garages (six), structural additions (five), and renovations (41). These limited improvements have stimulated neither private investment nor economic growth within or around the Redevelopment Project Area. Significantly, during the same six years, seven permits were issued for demolition (see Exhibit 1 - *Building and Demolition Permit Requests*).

From this data, together with the other eligibility factors, it can be reasonably concluded that the Redevelopment Project Area (i) has not been subject to growth through private investment, and (ii) will not be developed without municipal leadership. Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and Project is necessary to halt deterioration of the Redevelopment Project Area.

The conclusions presented in this report are those of the consulting team. The local governing body should review this report and, if satisfied with the summary of findings contained herein, adopt a resolution that the Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as a Conservation Area, and make this report a part of the public record. The analysis above was based upon data assembled by Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc., and Macondo Corp. The surveys, research and analysis conducted include:

1. Exterior surveys of the conditions and use of the Redevelopment Project Area;
2. Field surveys of environmental conditions, including streets, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, traffic, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general property maintenance;
3. Comparison of current land uses to current zoning ordinance and current zoning maps;
4. Historical analysis of site uses and users;
5. Analysis of original and current platting and building size layout;
6. Review of previously prepared plans, studies and data;
7. Analysis of building permits and building code violations from January 1995 to September 2000 (as provided by the Department of Buildings) for all parcels in the Redevelopment Project Area; and
8. Evaluation of the EAVs in the Redevelopment Project Area from 1995 to 1999.

The Redevelopment Project Area qualifies as an improved Conservation Area and is therefore eligible for Tax Increment Financing under the Act.



## V. HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

The Redevelopment Project is outlined in the following sections: *General Land Use Plan, Redevelopment Plan and Project* and all of its components.

### A. GENERAL LAND USE PLAN

The proposed land uses for the Redevelopment Project Area reflect the goals and objectives previously identified. Map 3 - *Proposed Land Use* identifies the uses that will be supported by the City's TIF Plan. The major land use categories for the Redevelopment Project Area include institutional and mixed-use (commercial/residential/institutional). The proposed mixed-use allows for commercial, residential and institutional uses to exist independently or in combination. Mixed-use development will provide uses more compatible with surrounding residential uses, service private and public needs of the surrounding community, and increase the viability of the area as a whole.

The Chicago Plan Commission must approve this Plan and the proposed land uses described herein prior to its adoption by the City Council. The proposed land uses and a discussion of the rationale supporting their determination are as follows:

#### **INSTITUTIONAL**

Institutional land uses include property utilized by educational institutions, churches and publicly owned facilities. Existing institutional land uses include: Clemente High School, the Fire Department Engine Company 57, the Humboldt Park Branch Library and St. Elizabeth Hospital. The proposed institutional land uses reflect current institutional uses and suggests the expansion of the Humboldt Park Branch Library.

#### **MIXED-USE COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL/INSTITUTIONAL**

The proposed mixed-use commercial/residential/institutional land use allows for any of the uses to be employed independently or in combination. This mixed-use land category allows for a variety of future development opportunities to occur in response to community needs.

### B. REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PROJECT

The purpose of this Plan is to create a planning and programming mechanism that provides a financial vehicle to allow for the redevelopment of properties within the Redevelopment Project Area. The Plan contains specific redevelopment objectives addressing both private actions and public improvements that will assist the overall redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area. Implementation of the Plan will be undertaken in phases and will help to eliminate those existing conditions that make the Redevelopment Project Area susceptible to blight.

To address private investment and public improvements, the following redevelopment strategies are recommended:

- Encourage rehabilitation and new construction where appropriate.
- Encourage reconfiguration and assemblage of land to create sites with sufficient sizes for today's neighborhood retail needs.
- Provide buffering of adjacent residential areas from commercial uses with aesthetic screening, landscaping and fences.
- Provide visual continuity and a retail identity through a coordinated streetscape improvement program - trees, street planters, banners, benches and other street furniture that will improve the physical setting of the community and enhance the area's image and safety.
- Provide marketing materials for the area to promote it to a wide range of brokers, developers and tenants as a vital retail location.

The Plan for the Redevelopment Project Area incorporates the use of tax increment funds to stimulate and stabilize the Redevelopment Project Area through the planning and programming of public and private improvements. The Plan's underlying strategy is to use tax increment financing, as well as other funding sources, to reinforce and encourage further private investment. The City may enter into redevelopment agreements, which will generally provide for the City to grant funding for activities permitted by the Act. The funds for these improvements will come from the incremental increase in tax revenues generated from the Redevelopment Project Area, or the City's possible issuance of bonds to be repaid from the incremental taxes. A developer may be responsible for site improvements and may further be required to build any agreed-upon improvements needed for the project. Under a redevelopment agreement, the developer may also be reimbursed from incremental tax revenues (to the extent permitted by the Act) for all or a portion of eligible costs.

### **C. ESTIMATED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT ACTIVITIES AND COSTS**

The City proposes to realize its goals and objectives of redevelopment through public finance techniques, including but not limited to tax increment financing, and by undertaking certain activities and incurring certain costs. Such activities may include some or all of those listed below.

1. **ANALYSIS, ADMINISTRATION, STUDIES, LEGAL, ETC.** Funds may be used by the City to provide for activities including the long-term management of the Redevelopment Project as well as the costs of establishing the program and designing its components. Funds may be used by the City to provide for costs of studies; surveys; development of plans and specifications; marketing sites within

the Redevelopment Project Area to prospective businesses, developers, and investors; and implementation and administration of the Plan, including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning, environmental or other services (provided, however, that no charges for professional services may be based on a percentage of the tax increment collected.

2. **ASSEMBLAGE OF SITES/SITE PREPARATION.** To meet the goals and objectives of this Plan, the City may acquire and assemble property throughout the Redevelopment Project Area. Land assemblage by the City may be by purchase, exchange, donation, lease, eminent domain or through the Tax Reactivation Program and may be for the purpose of (a) sale, lease or conveyance to private developers, or (b) sale, lease, conveyance or dedication to the construction of public improvements or facilities. Furthermore, the City may require written redevelopment agreements with developers before acquiring any properties. As appropriate, the City may devote acquired property to temporary uses until such property is scheduled for disposition and development.

In connection with the City exercising its power under the Act to acquire real property, including the exercise of the power of eminent domain, in implementing the Plan, the City will follow its customary procedures of having each such acquisition recommended by the Community Development Commission (or any successor commission) and authorized by the City Council of the City. Acquisition of such real property as may be authorized by the City Council does not constitute a change in the nature of this Plan.

The Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area established City authority to acquire and assemble property (see Map 4 - *Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area*). Such acquisition and assembly under that authority is consistent with this Plan. Nothing in this Plan shall be deemed to limit or adversely affect the authority of the City under the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area plan to acquire and assemble property. Accordingly, incremental property taxes from the Redevelopment Project Area may be used to fund the acquisition and assembly of property by the City under the authority of the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area Plan.

3. **REHABILITATION COSTS.** The costs for rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures and leasehold improvements including but not limited to provision of facade improvements for the purpose of improving the facades of privately held properties, may be funded.
4. **PROVISION OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AND FACILITIES.** Adequate public improvements and facilities may be provided to service the entire Redevelopment Project Area. Public improvements and facilities may include, but are not limited to:

- a. Provision of streets, public rights-of-way and public transit facilities
  - b. Provision of utilities necessary to serve redevelopment
  - c. Public landscaping
  - d. Public landscape/buffer improvements, street lighting and general beautification improvements
  - e. Public facilities and buildings
  - f. Public parks and open space
5. **JOB TRAINING AND RELATED EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS.** Funds may be used by the City for programs to be created for Chicago residents so that they may take advantage of the employment opportunities in the Redevelopment Project Area.
6. **FINANCING COSTS.** Financing costs may be funded, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued under the Act accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued, not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto.
7. **CAPITAL COSTS.** To the extent the City, by written agreement, accepts and approves the same, all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from the Redevelopment Project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Project and Plan may be funded. This category may also include reimbursement of capital costs of taxing districts affected by the redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area, as permitted by the Act.
8. **PROVISION FOR RELOCATION COSTS.** Relocation assistance may be provided in order to facilitate redevelopment of portions of the Redevelopment Project Area and to meet other City objectives. Businesses or households legally occupying properties to be acquired by the City may be provided with relocation advisory and financial assistance as determined by the City. In the event that the implementation of the Redevelopment Plan results in the removal of residential housing units in the Redevelopment Project Area occupied by low-income household or very low-income households, such households shall be provided affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations thereunder (including eligibility criteria). Affordable housing may be either existing or newly constructed housing. The City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that the alternative affordable housing is located in or near the Redevelopment Project Area.

As used in the above paragraph, "low-income households," "very low-income households" and "affordable housing" shall have the meanings set forth in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, 310 ILCS 65/3. As of the date of this Redevelopment Plan, these statutory terms are defined as follows: (a) "low-income

household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is more than 50% but less than 80% of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as such adjusted income and median income are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"), for purposes of Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937; (b) "very low-income household " means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is not more than 50% of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as so determined by HUD; and (c) "affordable housing" means residential housing that, so long as the same is occupied by low-income households or very low-income households, requires payment of monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, of no more than 30% of the maximum allowable income as stated for such households by HUD.

Funds may be provided for the costs of the construction of public works or improvements consistent with the Act, including the costs of replacing an existing public building if, pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project, the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investment or a different use requiring private investment.

9. **PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES.** These will be provided in accordance with the Act.
10. **COSTS OF JOB TRAINING.** Funds may be provided for costs, incurred by one or more taxing districts, of job training, advanced vocational education, "welfare to work" programs implemented by businesses located within the Redevelopment Project Area; or career education, including but not limited to courses in occupational, semi-technical or technical fields leading directly to employment; provided that such costs a) are related to the establishment and maintenance of additional job training, advanced vocational education or career education programs for persons employed or to be employed by companies located in the Redevelopment Project Area, and b) when incurred by a taxing district or taxing districts other than the City, are set forth in a written agreement by or among the City and the taxing district or taxing districts, which agreement describes the program to be undertaken, including but not limited to the number of employees to be trained, a description of the training and services to be provided, the number and type of positions available or to be available, itemized costs of the program and sources of funds to pay for the same, and the term of the agreement. Such costs include, specifically, the payment by community college districts of costs pursuant to Sections 3-37, 3-38, 3-40 and 3-40.1 of the Public Community College Act (as defined in the Act) and to school districts of costs pursuant to Sections 10-22.20a and 10-23.3a of the School Code (as defined in the Act).
11. **INTEREST COSTS.** Funds may be provided to developers or redevelopers for a portion of interest costs incurred in the construction of a redevelopment project. Interest costs incurred by a developer or redeveloper related to the construction, renovation or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project may be funded provided that:

- a) Such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to the Act;
  - b) Such payments in any one year do not exceed 30% of the annual interest costs incurred by the developer or the redeveloper with regard to the redevelopment project during that year;
  - c) If there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment described in this paragraph, then the amounts due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund;
  - d) The total of such interest payments paid pursuant to the Act does not exceed 30% of the total of costs paid or incurred by the developer or redeveloper for the redevelopment project plus Redevelopment Project Costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by the City pursuant to the Act; and
  - e) Up to 75% of the interest cost incurred by a redeveloper is for the financing of rehabilitated or new housing units for low-income households and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act.
12. **NEW CONSTRUCTION COSTS.** Unless explicitly provided in the Act, the cost of construction of new privately-owned buildings shall not be an eligible Redevelopment Project Cost.
13. **REDEVELOPMENT AND OTHER AGREEMENTS.** The City may enter into redevelopment agreements with private developers or redevelopers, which may include but not be limited to terms of sale, lease or conveyance of land, requirements for site improvements, public improvements, job training and interest subsidies. In the event that the City determines that construction of certain improvements is not financially feasible, the City may reduce the scope of the proposed improvements. In addition, the City may enter into intergovernmental agreements with public entities to construct, rehabilitate, renovate or restore public improvements.
14. **AFFORDABLE HOUSING.** Funds may be provided for up to 50% of the cost of construction, renovation and/or rehabilitation of all low- and very low-income housing units (for ownership or rental) as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. If the units are part of a residential redevelopment project that includes units not affordable to low and very low-income households, only the low- and very low-income units shall be eligible for this benefit under the Act.

In addition, the City requires that developers who receive tax increment revenues for market-rate housing set aside a minimum of 20% of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Housing. Generally, this means that the affordable for-sale units should be priced at a level that is affordable to persons earning no more than 120% of the area

median income, and affordable rental units should be affordable to persons earning no more than 80% of the area median income.

15. **DAY CARE SERVICES.** Funds may be provided for day care services for children of employees from low-income families working for businesses located within the Redevelopment Project Area, and all or a portion of the cost of operation of day care centers established by Redevelopment Project Area businesses to serve employees from low-income families working in businesses located in the Redevelopment Project Area. For the purposes of this paragraph, "low-income families" means families whose annual income does not exceed 80% of the City, county or regional median income as determined from time to time by HUD.
16. **SCHOOLS.** An elementary, secondary or unit school district's increased costs attributable to assisted housing units will be reimbursed as provided in the Act.

To undertake these activities, redevelopment project costs will be incurred. "Redevelopment Project Costs" means the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred or estimated to be incurred, and any such costs incidental to this Plan pursuant to the Act.

If a special service area has been established pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act, 35 ILCS 1235/0.01, et. seq., then any tax increment revenues derived from the tax imposed pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act may be used within the Redevelopment Project Area for the purposes permitted by the Special Service Area Tax Act as well as the purposes permitted by the Act.

The City may incur Redevelopment Project Costs, which are paid from the funds of the City other than incremental taxes, and the City may then be reimbursed for such costs from incremental taxes.

The estimated Redevelopment Project Costs are shown in Table 1. The total Redevelopment Project Costs provide an upper limit on expenditures (exclusive of capitalized interest, issuance costs, interest and other financing costs). Within this limit, adjustments may be made in line items without amendment to this Plan. The Redevelopment Project Costs represent estimated amounts and do not represent actual City commitments or expenditures.

Table 1 - *Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs* represents those eligible project costs pursuant to the Act. These upper limit expenditures are potential costs to be expended over the maximum 23-year life of the Redevelopment Project Area. These funds are subject to the amount of projects and incremental tax revenues generated and the City's willingness to fund proposed projects on a project-by-project basis.

**TABLE 1 – ESTIMATED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS**

	<b>Program/Action/Improvements</b>	<b>Estimated Costs*</b>
1.	<b>Property Assembly: acquisition, site preparation and demolition, and environmental remediation</b>	\$5,000,000
2.	<b>Public Work and Improvements: streets and utilities, parks and open space, public facilities (schools and other public facilities) <sup>(1)</sup></b>	\$8,000,000
3.	<b>Relocation</b>	\$2,000,000
4.	<b>Rehabilitation of Existing Structures, Leasehold Improvements, Affordable Housing Construction and Rehabilitation Cost</b>	\$16,000,000
5.	<b>Job Training, Retraining, Welfare-to-Work</b>	\$2,000,000
6.	<b>Interest</b>	\$2,500,000
7.	<b>Professional Services: studies, surveys, plans and specifications, administrative costs relating to redevelopment plan, architectural, engineering, legal, marketing, financial, planning or other services</b>	\$1,500,000
8.	<b>Day Care Services</b>	\$3,000,000
	<b>TOTAL REDEVELOPMENT COSTS <sup>(2)(3)</sup></b>	<b>\$40,000,000</b>

\*Exclusive of capitalized interest, issuance costs and other financing costs.

- (1) This category may also include paying for reimbursing (i) an elementary, secondary or unit school district's increased costs attributed to assisted housing units, and (ii) capital costs of taxing districts affected by the redevelopment of the Project Area. As permitted by the Act, to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, the City may pay, or reimburse all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from a redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (2) Total Redevelopment Costs exclude any additional financing costs, including any interest expense, capitalized interest and costs associated with optional redemptions. These costs are subject to prevailing market conditions and are in addition to Total Project Costs.
- (3) The amount of the Total Redevelopment Costs that can be incurred in the Project Area will be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right of way, that are permitted under the Act to be paid, and are paid, from incremental property taxes generated in the Project Area, but will not be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in the Project Area that are paid from incremental property taxes generated in contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right of way.

Additional funding from other sources such as federal, state, county or local grant funds may be used to supplement the City's ability to finance Redevelopment Project Costs identified above.



#### **D. SOURCES OF FUNDS TO PAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS**

Funds necessary to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs are to be derived principally from tax increment revenues and proceeds from municipal obligations, which may be secured by tax increment revenues created under the Act. There may be other sources of funds that the City may elect to use to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs or other obligations issued to pay for such costs. These sources include, but are not limited to, state and federal grants, developer contributions and land disposition proceeds generated from the Redevelopment Project Area.

The tax increment revenue that may be used to secure municipal obligations or pay for eligible Redevelopment Project Costs shall be the incremental real property tax revenue. Incremental real property tax revenue is attributed to the increase in the current EAV of each taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property in the Redevelopment Project Area over and above the certified EAV base of each such property in the Redevelopment Project Area. Without the adoption of the Plan and the use of such tax incremental revenues, it is not reasonable to expect the Redevelopment Project Area would be developed.

In the future, the Redevelopment Project Area may be contiguous to, or separated only by a public right of way from other Redevelopment Project Areas created under the Act. The City may use net incremental property taxes received from the Redevelopment Project Area to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs, or obligations issued to pay such costs, in other contiguous Redevelopment Project Areas, or those separated only by a public right of way, and vice versa. The amount of revenue from the Redevelopment Project Area made available to support such contiguous Redevelopment Project Areas, or those separated only by a public right of way, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Redevelopment Project Area, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Plan.

The Redevelopment Project Area may become contiguous to, or separated only by a public right of way from, Redevelopment Project Areas created under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1, et seq. If the City finds the goals, objectives and financial success of such contiguous Redevelopment Project Areas or those separated only by a public right of way are interdependent with those of the Redevelopment Project Area, the City may determine that it is in the best interests of the City and in furtherance of the purposes of the Plan that net revenues from the Redevelopment Project Area be made available to support any such Redevelopment Project Areas, and vice versa. The City therefore proposes to use net incremental revenues received from the Redevelopment Project Area to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs (which are eligible under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law referred to above) in any such areas, and vice versa. Such revenues may be transferred or loaned between the Redevelopment Project Area, and such areas. The amount of revenue from the Redevelopment Project Area so made available, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Redevelopment Project Area or other areas as described in the preceding paragraph, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Plan.

## **E. ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS**

To finance Redevelopment Project Costs pursuant to Section 11-74.4-7, the City may issue general obligation bonds or obligations secured by the anticipated tax increment revenue generated within the Redevelopment Project Area, or the City may permit the use of guarantees, deposits and other forms of security made available by private sector developers to secure such obligations. In addition, the City may pledge toward payment of such obligations any part or any combination of the following: 1) net revenues of all or part of any redevelopment project; 2) taxes levied and collected on any or all property in the City; 3) a mortgage on part or all of the Redevelopment Project Area.

All obligations issued by the City to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall be retired no later than December 31st of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the 23rd calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving this Redevelopment Project Area is adopted (by December 31, 2025). The Redevelopment Project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance redevelopment costs shall be retired no later than December 31st of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the 23rd calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving this Redevelopment Project Area is adopted (by December 31, 2025). Also, the final maturity date of any such obligations issued may not be later than 20 years from their respective dates of issue. One or more series of obligations may be sold at one or more times in order to implement this Plan. The amounts payable in any year as principal and interest on all obligations issued by the City pursuant to the Plan and the Act shall not exceed the amounts available, or projected to be available, from tax increment revenues and from such bond sinking funds or other sources of funds (including ad valorem taxes) as may be provided by ordinance. Obligations may be of parity or senior/junior lien natures. Obligations issued may be serial or term maturities, and may or may not be subject to mandatory, sinking fund or optional redemptions.

In addition to paying Redevelopment Project Costs, tax increment revenues may be used for the scheduled and/or early retirement of obligations, mandatory or optional redemptions, and for reserves and bond sinking funds and, to the extent that real property tax increment is not needed for such purposes, shall be declared surplus and shall then become available for distribution annually to taxing districts in the Redevelopment Project Area in the manner provided by the Act.

## **F. MOST RECENT EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION OF PROPERTIES**

The purpose of identifying the most recent equalized assessed valuation (“EAV”) of the Redevelopment Project Area is to provide an estimate of the initial EAV which the Cook County Clerk will certify for the purpose of annually calculating the incremental EAV and incremental property taxes of the Redevelopment Project Area. The 1999 EAV of all taxable parcels in the Redevelopment Project Area is approximately \$32,269,485. This total EAV amount, by PIN, is summarized in Table 2. The EAV is subject to verification by the Cook County Clerk. After

verification, the final figure shall be certified by the Cook County Clerk, and shall become the Certified Initial EAV from which all incremental property taxes in the Redevelopment Project Area will be calculated by Cook County. If the 2000 EAV shall become available prior to the date of the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan by the City Council, the City may update the Redevelopment Plan by replacing the 1999 EAV with the 2000 EAV without further City Council action.

#### **G. ANTICIPATED EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION**

The estimated EAV of real property within the Redevelopment Project Area, by the year 2008 (when it is estimated that the Redevelopment Project, based on current information, will be constructed and fully assessed), is anticipated to be between \$58,000,000 and \$62,000,000. These estimates are based on several key assumptions, including: 1) all currently projected development will be constructed and occupied by 2009; 2) the market value of the anticipated developments will increase following completion of the redevelopment activities described in the Plan; 3) the most recent State Multiplier of 2.2505 as applied to 1999 assessed values will remain unchanged; 4) for the duration of the Redevelopment Project Area, the tax rate for the entire area is assumed to be the same and will remain unchanged from the 1999 level; and 5) growth from reassessments of existing properties in the Redevelopment Project Area will be at a rate of 2.5% per year with a reassessment every three years. Although development in the Redevelopment Project Area could occur after 2009, it is not possible to estimate with accuracy the effect of such future development on the EAV for the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, as described in Section N of the Plan, Phasing and Scheduling of Redevelopment, public improvements and the expenditure of Redevelopment Project Costs may be necessary in furtherance of the Plan throughout the period that the Plan is in effect.

#### **H. LACK OF GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT**

As described in Section IV - *Conservation Area Conditions*, the Redevelopment Project Area is adversely impacted by the presence of numerous factors, and these factors are reasonably distributed throughout the Redevelopment Project Area. Due to continued existence of the factors referenced above, the Redevelopment Project Area has not been subject to growth and development from private investment, and will not be developed without action by the City.

Specifically, the total number of building permits recently requested for new construction and major renovation is lower than could be reasonably expected in an area of economic health. From January 1995 to September 2000, only 52 permits for new construction or renovation were issued in an area with 730 parcels (7%). Of these, only six were for the construction of new buildings, while the others were for the building of garages (six), structural additions (five), and renovations (41). These limited improvements have stimulated neither private investment nor economic growth within or around the Redevelopment Project Area. Significantly, during the same six years, seven permits were issued for demolition (see Exhibit 1 - *Building and Demolition Permit Requests*).

From this data, together with the other eligibility factors, it can be reasonably concluded that the Redevelopment Project Area (i) has not been subject to growth through private investment, and (ii) will not be developed without municipally led leadership. Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and Project is necessary to halt deterioration of the Redevelopment Project Area.

## **I. FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

Without the adoption of this Plan and tax increment financing, it is not reasonable to expect the Redevelopment Project Area would be redeveloped by private enterprise. There is a real prospect that the Conservation Area conditions will continue and spread, and the maintenance and improvement of existing buildings and sites in the surrounding area will suffer. The possible erosion of the assessed value of property, which would result from the lack of a concerted effort by the City to stimulate revitalization and redevelopment, could lead to a reduction of real estate tax revenue to all taxing districts. The implementation of the Plan may enhance the values of properties within and adjacent to the Redevelopment Project Area.

Subsections A, B, and C of Section V of this Plan describe the comprehensive redevelopment program proposed to be undertaken by the City to create an environment in which private investment can occur. The Redevelopment Project will be staged with various developments taking place over a period of years. If the Redevelopment Project is successful, various new private projects will assist in alleviating the blighting conditions, which caused the Redevelopment Project Area to qualify as a Conservation Area under the Act.

The Redevelopment Project is expected to have minor financial impact on the taxing districts affected by the Plan. During the period when tax increment financing is used in furtherance of this Plan, real estate tax increment revenues (from the increases in EAV over and above the Certified Base EAV established at the time of adoption of this Plan) will be used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs for the Redevelopment Project Area. Incremental revenues will not be available to these taxing districts during this period. When the Redevelopment Project Area is no longer in place, distribution of tax revenues will resume to all taxing districts located within the Redevelopment Project Area.

## **J. DEMAND ON TAXING DISTRICT SERVICES**

The following major taxing districts presently levy taxes against properties located within the Project Area (see Map 5 – *Schools and Parks*):

Cook County. The County has principal responsibility for the protection of persons and property, the provision of public health services and the maintenance of County highways.

Cook County Forest Preserve District. The Forest Preserve District is responsible for acquisition, restoration and management of lands for the purpose of protecting and preserving open space in the City and County for the

education, pleasure and recreation of the public.

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago. This district provides the main trunk lines for the collection of wastewater from cities, villages and towns, and for the treatment and disposal thereof.

Chicago Community College District 508. This district is a unit of the State of Illinois' system of public community colleges, whose objective is to meet the educational needs of residents of the City and other students seeking higher education programs and services.

Board of Education of the City of Chicago. General responsibilities of the Board of Education include the provision, maintenance and operation of educational facilities and the provision of educational services for kindergarten through twelfth grade. Clemente High School is located within the Redevelopment Project Area. With the addition of improved and new residential facilities, it is assumed that there will be an increase in attendance throughout the duration of this Tax Increment Financing District.

Chicago Park District. The Park District is responsible for the provision, maintenance and operation of park and recreational facilities throughout the City and for the provision of recreational programs. There are no parks located within the Redevelopment Project Area, however, Humboldt Park is located directly adjacent to the Redevelopment Project Area boundaries.

City of Chicago. The City is responsible for the provision of a wide range of municipal services, including police and fire protection, capital improvements and maintenance, water supply and distribution, sanitation service, building, housing and zoning codes, etc.

The Act requires an assessment of any financial impact of the Redevelopment Project Area on, or any increased demand for services from, any taxing district affected by the Plan and a description of any program to address such financial impact or increased demand. The City intends to monitor development in the Redevelopment Project Area and with the cooperation of the other affected taxing districts will attempt to ensure that any increased needs are addressed in connection with any particular development.

The proposed Redevelopment Plan and Project involves the rehabilitation of existing commercial and/or residential buildings and possibly the construction of new commercial and residential developments. Therefore, as discussed below, the financial burden of the Redevelopment Plan and Project on taxing districts is expected to be moderate.

In addition to the major taxing districts summarized above, the City of Chicago Library Fund had taxing jurisdiction over part or all of the Redevelopment Project Area. The City of Chicago Library Fund (formerly a separate taxing district from the City) no longer extends taxing but continues to exist for the purpose of receiving delinquent taxes.

### **IMPACT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

The commercial and residential rehabilitation may increase the demand for services and/or capital improvements to be provided by the Chicago Board of Education, the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District, the Chicago Park District and the City. The estimated nature of these increased demands for services on these taxing districts is described below.

Chicago Board of Education. The commercial/residential rehabilitation may increase demand for the educational services and the number of schools provided by the Chicago Board of Education. The only school in the Redevelopment Project Area is Clemente High School, which is currently 57% occupied. Based on information provided by the Chicago Board of Education, Clemente High School can accommodate 1,373 additional students. The City will monitor residential development, with the cooperation of the Chicago Board of Education, to ensure that any increase in demand for services or future improvements will be addressed (see Map 4 - *Schools and Parks*).

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago. The commercial/residential rehabilitation should not substantially increase the demand for the services and/or capital improvements provided by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District.

Chicago Park District. The commercial/residential rehabilitation should not increase the need for additional parks. There is no park within the Redevelopment Project Area, although Humboldt Park is adjacent to its boundary. The City intends to monitor development with the cooperation of the Chicago Park District to ensure that any increase in the demand for services or future improvements will be adequately addressed (see Map 4 - *Schools and Parks*).

City of Chicago. The commercial/residential rehabilitation should not increase the demand for services and programs provided by the City, including police protection, fire protection, sanitary collection, recycling, etc. It is expected that the appropriate City departments for the services and programs maintained and operated by the City can adequately address any increase in demand.

### **K. PROGRAM TO ADDRESS FINANCIAL AND SERVICE IMPACTS**

The complete scale and amount of development in the Redevelopment Project Area cannot be predicted with complete certainty, and the demand for services provided by the affected taxing districts cannot be quantified. As a result, the City has not developed, at present, a specific plan to address the impact of the Redevelopment Project on taxing districts.

As indicated in Section V, Subsection C and Table 1 of the Appendix, *Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs*, the City may provide public improvements and facilities to service the Redevelopment Project Area. Potential public improvements and facilities provided by the City may mitigate some of the additional service and capital demands placed on taxing districts as a result of the implementation of this Redevelopment Project.

**L. PROVISION FOR AMENDING ACTION PLAN**

The Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance Program Redevelopment Plan and Project may be amended pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

**M. FAIR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES, AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN AND PREVAILING WAGE AGREEMENT**

The City is committed to and will implement the following principles with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area:

1. The assurance of equal opportunity in all personnel and employment actions with respect to the Redevelopment Project, including but not limited to hiring, training, transfer, promotion, discipline, fringe benefits, salary, employment working conditions, termination, etc., without regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, handicapped status, national origin, creed, or ancestry.
2. Redevelopers must meet City standards for participation of 25% Minority Business Enterprises and 5% Woman Business Enterprises and the City Resident Construction Worker Employment Requirement as required in Redevelopment Agreements.
3. This commitment to affirmative action and nondiscrimination will ensure that all members of the protected groups are sought out to compete for all job openings and promotional opportunities.
4. Redevelopers must meet City standards for the prevailing wage rate as ascertained by the Illinois Department of Labor for all construction employees.

**N. PHASING AND SCHEDULING OF REDEVELOPMENT**

A phased implementation strategy will be used to achieve a timely and orderly redevelopment of the Redevelopment Project Area. It is expected that while this Plan is in effect for the Redevelopment Project Area, numerous public/private improvements and developments can be expected to take place. The specific time frame and financial investment will be staged in a timely manner. Development within the Redevelopment Project Area intended to be used for housing and commercial purposes will be staged consistently with the funding and construction of infrastructure improvements, and private sector interest in new industrial facilities. City expenditures for Redevelopment Project Costs will be carefully staged on a reasonable and proportional basis to coincide with expenditures in redevelopment by private developers. The Redevelopment Project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall be retired, no later than December 31st of the year in which

the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the 23rd calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving this Redevelopment Project Area is adopted (by December 31, 2025).

**O. HOUSING IMPACT STUDY**

As set forth in the Act, "if the redevelopment plan for a redevelopment project area would result in the displacement of residents from ten or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and a municipality is unable to certify that no displacement will occur, the municipality must prepare a housing impact study and incorporate the study in the redevelopment plan."

The Redevelopment Project Area contains 569 inhabited residential units. The Redevelopment Plan provides that some areas within the Redevelopment Project Area be redeveloped, which may result in displacement of ten or more inhabited residential units.

The results of the housing impact study section are described in a separate report that presents the factual information required by the Act. The report, prepared by Louik/Schneider & Associates, and Macondo Corp., its subconsultant, is entitled *Humboldt Park Commercial Housing Impact Study*, and is attached as Exhibit 4 to this Redevelopment Plan.



## APPENDIX

**TABLE 1 – ESTIMATED REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT COSTS**

	<b>Program/Action/Improvements</b>	<b>Estimated Costs*</b>
1.	<b>Property Assembly: acquisition, site preparation and demolition, and environmental remediation</b>	\$5,000,000
2.	<b>Public Work and Improvements: streets and utilities, parks and open space, public facilities (schools and other public facilities) <sup>(1)</sup></b>	\$8,000,000
3.	<b>Relocation</b>	\$2,000,000
4.	<b>Rehabilitation of Existing Structures, Leasehold Improvements, Affordable Housing Construction and Rehabilitation Cost</b>	\$16,000,000
5.	<b>Job Training, Retraining, Welfare-to-Work</b>	\$2,000,000
6.	<b>Interest</b>	\$2,500,000
7.	<b>Professional Services: studies, surveys, plans and specifications, administrative costs relating to redevelopment plan, architectural, engineering, legal, marketing, financial, planning or other services</b>	\$1,500,000
8.	<b>Day Care Services</b>	\$3,000,000
	<b>TOTAL REDEVELOPMENT COSTS <sup>(2)(3)</sup></b>	<b>\$40,000,000</b>

\*Exclusive of capitalized interest, issuance costs and other financing costs.

- (1) This category may also include paying for reimbursing (i) an elementary, secondary or unit school district's increased costs attributed to assisted housing units, and (ii) capital costs of taxing districts affected by the redevelopment of the Project Area. As permitted by the Act, to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, the City may pay, or reimburse all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from a redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (2) Total Redevelopment Costs exclude any additional financing costs, including any interest expense, capitalized interest and costs associated with optional redemptions. These costs are subject to prevailing market conditions and are in addition to Total Project Costs.
- (3) The amount of the Total Redevelopment Costs that can be incurred in the Project Area will be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right of way, that are permitted under the Act to be paid, and are paid, from incremental property taxes generated in the Project Area, but will not be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in the Project Area that are paid from incremental property taxes generated in contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right of way.

Additional funding from other sources such as federal, state, county or local grant funds may be used to supplement the City's ability to finance Redevelopment Project Costs identified above.

**TABLE 2 - 1999 EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION**

1.	13-35-326-067	\$47,717
2.	13-35-326-068	\$70,270
3.	13-35-326-069	\$185,110
4.	13-35-326-070	\$8,210
5.	13-35-327-031	\$9,115
6.	13-35-327-032	\$9,115
7.	13-35-327-033	\$9,115
8.	13-35-327-034	\$55,493
9.	13-35-327-035	\$62,094
10.	13-35-327-036	\$25,595
11.	13-35-327-037	\$49,315
12.	13-35-327-042	\$49,313
13.	13-35-327-043	\$79,863
14.	13-35-328-030	\$46,639
15.	13-35-328-031	\$55,108
16.	13-35-328-032	\$24,537
17.	13-35-328-033	\$16,695
18.	13-35-328-034	\$49,018
19.	13-35-328-035	\$36,348
20.	13-35-328-036	\$72,376
21.	13-35-328-037	\$32,619
22.	13-35-328-038	\$16,215
23.	13-35-328-046	\$13,521
24.	13-35-328-047	EXEMPT
25.	13-35-418-024	\$25,073
26.	13-35-418-025	\$25,615
27.	13-35-418-026	\$32,815
28.	13-35-418-027	\$77,136
29.	13-35-418-028	\$168,855
30.	13-35-418-029	\$69,698
31.	13-35-418-030	\$54,314
32.	13-35-418-031	\$46,234
33.	13-35-418-032	EXEMPT
34.	13-35-418-033	\$42,410
35.	13-35-419-031	\$20,491
36.	13-35-419-032	\$9,704
37.	13-35-419-033	\$24,967
38.	13-35-419-034	\$50,999
39.	13-35-419-035	\$36,400

40.	13-35-419-036	\$3,837
41.	13-35-419-037	\$40,318
42.	13-35-419-038	\$3,808
43.	13-35-419-041	EXEMPT
44.	13-35-419-042	\$46,099
45.	13-35-419-043	\$8,415
46.	13-35-419-049	\$2,176
47.	13-35-419-050	\$33,499
48.	13-35-419-052	\$13,710
49.	13-35-420-031	\$59,863
50.	13-35-420-032	\$6,604
51.	13-35-420-033	\$20,554
52.	13-35-420-034	\$21,715
53.	13-35-420-035	\$3,842
54.	13-35-420-036	\$3,842
55.	13-35-420-037	\$13,906
56.	13-35-420-038	\$6,610
57.	13-35-420-039	\$51,395
58.	13-35-420-040	\$19,449
59.	13-35-420-041	\$11,343
60.	13-35-420-042	\$9,083
61.	13-35-420-043	\$60,444
62.	13-35-420-044	\$4,744
63.	13-35-420-045	\$3,664
64.	13-35-421-033	\$17,165
65.	13-35-421-034	\$44,884
66.	13-35-421-035	\$199,464
67.	13-35-421-036	\$1,118
68.	13-35-421-037	\$16,595
69.	13-35-421-038	\$3,842
70.	13-35-421-039	\$50,906
71.	13-35-421-040	\$45,714
72.	13-35-421-041	\$41,652
73.	13-35-421-042	\$51,786
74.	13-35-421-043	\$87,227
75.	13-35-421-044	\$145,045
76.	13-35-422-031	\$70,859
77.	13-35-422-032	\$82,080
78.	13-35-422-033	\$56,062

79.	13-35-422-034	\$57,437
80.	13-35-422-035	\$37,264
81.	13-35-422-036	\$26,423
82.	13-35-422-037	\$27,629
83.	13-35-422-038	\$31,642
84.	13-35-422-039	\$3,842
85.	13-35-422-040	\$46,000
86.	13-35-422-041	\$48,001
87.	13-35-422-042	\$72,293
88.	13-35-422-049	\$68,073
89.	13-35-423-030	\$10,555
90.	13-35-423-031	\$78,387
91.	13-35-423-032	\$61,047
92.	13-35-423-033	\$52,727
93.	13-35-423-034	\$27,042
94.	13-35-423-035	\$54,777
95.	13-35-423-036	\$28,300
96.	13-35-423-037	\$17,615
97.	13-35-423-038	\$77,251
98.	13-35-423-039	\$28,777
99.	13-35-423-040	\$31,336
100.	13-35-423-041	\$43,295
101.	13-35-423-048	\$289,237
102.	13-36-324-035	\$35,207
103.	13-36-324-036	\$37,579
104.	13-36-324-037	\$6,189
105.	13-36-324-040	\$257,322
106.	13-36-325-032	EXEMPT
107.	13-36-325-033	\$112,498
108.	13-36-325-034	\$37,023
109.	13-36-325-035	\$35,598
110.	13-36-326-033	\$214,846
111.	13-36-326-034	\$37,000
112.	13-36-326-035	\$31,003
113.	13-36-326-036	\$104,605
114.	13-36-326-037	\$147,707
115.	13-36-327-027	\$4,951
116.	13-36-327-028	\$4,951
117.	13-36-327-029	\$78,043
118.	13-36-327-030	\$36,710
119.	13-36-327-031	\$21,834
120.	13-36-327-032	\$282,510
121.	13-36-328-030	\$145,238

122.	13-36-328-031	\$58,920
123.	13-36-328-032	\$7,656
124.	13-36-328-033	\$85,521
125.	13-36-328-034	\$1,800
126.	13-36-328-035	\$3,812
127.	13-36-328-036	\$15,576
128.	13-36-329-037	\$140,803
129.	13-36-329-038	EXEMPT
130.	13-36-329-039	\$36,760
131.	13-36-329-041	\$36,715
132.	13-36-329-042	\$33,582
133.	13-36-329-043	\$10,003
134.	13-36-329-047	\$2,579
135.	13-36-329-048	\$2,395
136.	13-36-329-049	\$459
137.	13-36-329-051	\$459
138.	13-36-329-052	\$459
139.	13-36-329-053	\$754
140.	13-36-329-054	\$35,799
141.	13-36-330-031	\$88,046
142.	13-36-330-041	\$920
143.	13-36-330-045	\$52,203
144.	13-36-330-046	\$17,381
145.	13-36-330-047	\$53,956
146.	13-36-330-048	\$166,629
147.	13-36-330-049	\$76,193
148.	13-36-331-034	\$52,774
149.	13-36-331-035	\$65,627
150.	13-36-331-036	\$50,643
151.	13-36-331-037	\$51,685
152.	13-36-331-038	\$59,616
153.	13-36-331-039	\$11,943
154.	13-36-331-040	EXEMPT
155.	13-36-331-043	\$3,621
156.	13-36-331-044	\$6,639
157.	13-36-331-045	\$3,709
158.	13-36-331-046	\$3,608
159.	13-36-331-047	\$1,001
160.	13-36-331-048	\$718
161.	13-36-331-049	EXEMPT
162.	13-36-425-033	\$68,172
163.	13-36-425-034	\$15,283
164.	13-36-425-035	\$15,081

165.	13-36-425-036	\$48,080
166.	13-36-425-037	\$45,856
167.	13-36-425-038	\$48,080
168.	13-36-425-039	\$44,436
169.	13-36-425-040	\$125,999
170.	13-36-426-034	\$149,697
171.	13-36-426-035	\$46,752
172.	13-36-426-036	\$43,979
173.	13-36-426-037	\$133,740
174.	13-36-426-038	EXEMPT
175.	13-36-426-039	\$173,009
176.	13-36-427-014	\$8,959
177.	13-36-427-030	\$6,896
178.	13-36-427-031	\$6,517
179.	13-36-427-032	\$132,818
180.	13-36-427-033	\$37,048
181.	13-36-427-034	\$37,142
182.	13-36-427-035	\$6,380
183.	13-36-427-036	\$29,257
184.	13-36-427-037	\$6,380
185.	13-36-427-038	\$6,380
186.	13-36-427-039	\$19,332
187.	13-36-427-040	\$13,287
188.	13-36-428-030	\$25,890
189.	13-36-428-031	EXEMPT
190.	13-36-428-032	\$65,463
191.	13-36-428-033	\$203,893
192.	13-36-428-034	EXEMPT
193.	13-36-428-035	\$95,934
194.	13-36-428-036	\$54,278
195.	13-36-429-018	\$73,765
196.	13-36-429-019	\$71,143
197.	13-36-429-020	\$340,332
198.	13-36-429-021	EXEMPT
199.	13-36-429-023	\$46,750
200.	13-36-429-024	\$106,536
201.	13-36-430-035	\$90,463
202.	13-36-430-036	\$29,536
203.	13-36-430-037	\$9,274
204.	13-36-430-038	\$9,274
205.	13-36-430-039	\$15,850
206.	13-36-430-040	\$15,294
207.	13-36-430-041	\$20,522

208.	13-36-430-042	\$26,797
209.	13-36-430-043	\$97,487
210.	13-36-431-045	\$532,153
211.	13-36-432-034	\$67,637
212.	13-36-432-035	\$59,008
213.	13-36-432-036	\$171,731
214.	13-36-432-037	\$228,820
215.	13-36-432-045	\$182,401
216.	14-31-326-051	\$26,020
217.	14-31-326-052	\$25,710
218.	14-31-326-053	\$16,962
219.	14-31-326-054	\$38,664
220.	14-31-326-055	\$49,014
221.	14-31-326-056	\$21,082
222.	14-31-326-065	\$392
223.	14-31-326-068	\$275,779
224.	16-01-200-001	\$401,354
225.	16-01-200-004	\$46,653
226.	16-01-200-041	\$134,755
227.	16-01-201-002	\$19,046
228.	16-01-201-003	\$2,734
229.	16-01-201-004	\$12,249
230.	16-01-201-005	\$10,478
231.	16-01-201-006	\$7,825
232.	16-01-201-021	\$332,473
233.	16-01-201-036	\$21,562
234.	16-01-201-037	\$8,043
235.	16-01-202-003	\$36,463
236.	16-01-202-026	\$20,468
237.	16-01-202-027	\$29,452
238.	16-01-202-046	\$37,093
239.	16-01-202-047	\$4,530
240.	16-01-202-048	\$29,486
241.	16-01-202-051	\$72,522
242.	16-01-202-052	\$90,187
243.	16-01-203-001	\$49,966
244.	16-01-203-002	\$57,671
245.	16-01-203-003	\$47,513
246.	16-01-203-004	\$60,460
247.	16-01-203-023	\$8,921
248.	16-01-203-024	\$27,094
249.	16-01-203-025	\$76,330
250.	16-01-204-001	\$111,400

251.	16-01-204-002	\$47,371
252.	16-01-204-003	\$38,308
253.	16-01-204-004	\$23,396
254.	16-01-204-005	\$27,184
255.	16-01-204-006	\$32,295
256.	16-01-204-007	\$27,373
257.	16-01-204-008	\$21,645
258.	16-01-204-009	\$25,507
259.	16-01-204-010	\$36,636
260.	16-01-205-001	\$112,050
261.	16-01-205-002	\$16,976
262.	16-01-205-003	\$4,753
263.	16-01-205-004	\$11,185
264.	16-01-205-005	\$23,209
265.	16-01-205-006	\$33,271
266.	16-01-205-007	\$75,725
267.	16-01-205-008	\$59,616
268.	16-01-205-009	\$47,726
269.	16-01-205-010	\$46,169
270.	16-01-205-011	\$32,589
271.	16-01-206-002	\$11,426
272.	16-01-206-003	\$22,856
273.	16-01-206-004	\$11,426
274.	16-01-206-005	\$67,510
275.	16-01-206-006	\$67,510
276.	16-01-206-007	\$45,636
277.	16-01-206-008	\$45,082
278.	16-01-206-009	\$45,636
279.	16-01-206-010	\$45,082
280.	16-01-206-046	\$46,887
281.	16-01-206-048	\$4,287
282.	16-01-206-049	\$35,160
283.	16-01-207-001	\$7,172
284.	16-01-207-002	\$104,027
285.	16-01-207-003	\$27,688
286.	16-01-207-004	\$45,809
287.	16-01-207-005	\$31,683
288.	16-01-207-006	\$35,623
289.	16-01-207-007	\$49,853
290.	16-01-207-008	\$19,289
291.	16-01-207-009	\$40,754
292.	16-01-207-010	\$2,377
293.	16-01-207-026	\$19,710

294.	16-01-207-027	\$19,748
295.	16-01-207-028	\$14,601
296.	16-01-207-029	\$26,358
297.	16-01-207-030	\$28,957
298.	16-01-207-031	\$3,835
299.	16-01-207-032	\$26,763
300.	16-01-207-033	\$16,924
301.	16-01-207-034	\$19,438
302.	16-01-207-035	\$27,130
303.	16-01-207-036	\$110,362
304.	16-01-207-037	\$17,887
305.	16-01-207-038	\$22,172
306.	16-01-207-039	\$4,848
307.	16-01-207-040	\$23,702
308.	16-01-207-041	\$14,916
309.	16-01-215-024	\$26,792
310.	16-01-215-025	\$3,772
311.	16-01-215-026	\$67,040
312.	16-01-215-027	\$14,255
313.	16-01-215-028	\$5,050
314.	16-01-215-029	\$12,540
315.	16-01-215-030	\$17,750
316.	16-01-215-031	EXEMPT
317.	16-01-215-032	\$20,556
318.	16-01-215-033	\$31,910
319.	16-01-215-034	\$44,420
320.	16-01-215-035	\$25,788
321.	16-01-215-036	\$27,922
322.	16-01-215-037	\$5,050
323.	16-01-215-038	\$22,485
324.	16-01-215-039	\$42,760
325.	16-01-215-040	\$29,637
326.	16-01-215-041	\$16
327.	16-01-215-042	\$63,820
328.	16-01-215-043	\$26,288
329.	16-01-215-044	\$22,208
330.	16-01-215-045	\$172,287
331.	16-01-221-024	\$40,835
332.	16-01-221-025	\$66,844
333.	16-01-221-026	\$93,209
334.	16-01-221-027	\$5,050
335.	16-01-221-028	\$10,102
336.	16-01-221-029	\$5,050

337.	16-01-221-030	\$29,914
338.	16-01-221-031	\$41,238
339.	16-01-221-032	\$45,219
340.	16-01-221-033	\$14,725
341.	16-01-221-034	\$4,490
342.	16-01-221-035	\$4,310
343.	16-01-221-036	\$26,578
344.	16-01-221-037	\$4,490
345.	16-01-221-038	\$4,490
346.	16-01-221-039	\$4,490
347.	16-01-221-040	\$29,112
348.	16-01-221-041	\$42,762
349.	16-01-221-042	\$5,050
350.	16-01-221-043	\$26,893
351.	16-01-221-044	\$29,446
352.	16-01-221-045	\$30,746
353.	16-01-221-046	\$92,464
354.	16-01-226-039	\$16,282
355.	16-01-226-040	\$21,686
356.	16-01-226-041	\$24,524
357.	16-01-226-042	\$23,142
358.	16-01-226-043	\$14,894
359.	16-01-226-044	\$75,646
360.	16-01-226-045	EXEMPT
361.	16-01-226-046	EXEMPT
362.	16-01-226-047	\$33,888
363.	16-01-226-048	\$105,593
364.	16-01-227-033	\$3,268
365.	16-01-227-034	\$24,933
366.	16-01-227-035	EXEMPT
367.	16-01-227-036	\$3,268
368.	16-01-227-037	EXEMPT
369.	16-01-227-038	\$26,983
370.	16-01-227-039	\$3,403
371.	16-01-227-040	\$28,242
372.	16-01-227-041	\$79,546
373.	16-01-227-042	\$84,810
374.	16-01-228-036	\$48,928
375.	16-01-228-037	\$37,286
376.	16-01-228-038	EXEMPT
377.	16-01-228-039	\$31,502
378.	16-01-228-040	\$22,350
379.	16-01-228-041	\$18,785

380.	16-01-228-042	\$9,749
381.	16-01-228-043	\$4,951
382.	16-01-228-044	\$47,459
383.	16-01-228-045	\$38,659
384.	16-01-228-046	\$34,082
385.	16-01-229-014	EXEMPT
386.	16-01-229-015	\$7,717
387.	16-01-229-016	\$7,717
388.	16-01-229-017	\$10,319
389.	16-01-229-018	EXEMPT
390.	16-01-229-019	EXEMPT
391.	16-01-229-020	EXEMPT
392.	16-01-229-030	EXEMPT
393.	16-01-229-031	EXEMPT
394.	16-01-229-032	EXEMPT
395.	16-01-229-033	EXEMPT
396.	16-01-229-034	EXEMPT
397.	16-01-229-040	EXEMPT
398.	16-01-229-046	EXEMPT
399.	16-01-229-047	EXEMPT
400.	16-01-229-048	EXEMPT
401.	16-01-229-049	EXEMPT
402.	16-01-229-050	EXEMPT
403.	16-01-230-001	\$10,249
404.	16-01-230-004	\$170,030
405.	16-01-230-005	\$12,452
406.	16-01-230-013	\$21,778
407.	16-01-230-014	EXEMPT
408.	16-01-230-015	EXEMPT
409.	16-01-230-016	EXEMPT
410.	16-01-230-018	\$33,764
411.	16-01-230-019	\$31,977
412.	16-01-230-021	\$32,927
413.	16-01-230-022	\$70,553
414.	16-01-230-023	\$61,889
415.	16-01-230-024	\$9,925
416.	16-01-230-025	\$9,925
417.	16-01-230-026	\$90,981
418.	16-01-230-027	\$52,713
419.	16-01-230-028	\$179,264
420.	16-01-230-029	\$52,230
421.	16-01-230-030	\$89,120
422.	16-01-230-031	\$21,603

423.	16-01-230-032	EXEMPT
424.	16-01-230-033	\$37,370
425.	16-01-230-034	\$128,279
426.	16-01-230-035	EXEMPT
427.	16-01-230-039	\$148,283
428.	16-01-230-042	\$37,651
429.	16-01-231-027	\$422,327
430.	16-01-231-028	\$59,706
431.	16-01-231-029	\$28,309
432.	16-01-231-030	\$29,488
433.	16-01-231-031	\$49,511
434.	16-01-231-032	\$93,830
435.	16-01-231-033	\$34,129
436.	16-01-231-034	\$44,537
437.	16-01-231-035	\$35,083
438.	16-01-231-036	\$71,611
439.	16-01-231-037	\$4,866
440.	16-01-231-038	\$33,006
441.	16-01-231-039	\$43,727
442.	16-01-231-040	\$9,531
443.	16-01-231-041	\$10,062
444.	16-01-231-042	\$63,568
445.	16-01-231-043	\$4,866
446.	16-01-231-044	\$28,572
447.	16-01-231-045	\$69,745
448.	16-01-231-046	\$70,875
449.	16-01-304-001	\$40,523
450.	16-01-304-002	\$38,277
451.	16-01-304-003	\$314,908
452.	16-01-304-042	\$205,921
453.	16-01-400-001	\$164,914
454.	16-01-400-002	\$9,580
455.	16-01-400-003	\$38,457
456.	16-01-400-004	\$62,235
457.	16-01-400-005	\$207,451
458.	16-01-400-006	\$56,519
459.	16-01-400-007	\$111,125
460.	16-01-400-008	\$61,623
461.	16-01-400-009	\$62,589
462.	16-01-400-010	\$51,748
463.	16-01-400-011	\$70,778
464.	16-01-400-012	\$45,469
465.	16-01-400-013	\$15,747

466.	16-01-400-014	\$41,427
467.	16-01-400-015	\$40,714
468.	16-01-400-016	\$64,902
469.	16-01-400-017	\$36,976
470.	16-01-400-018	\$32,043
471.	16-01-400-019	\$108,260
472.	16-01-400-020	\$49,000
473.	16-01-401-001	\$100,447
474.	16-01-401-002	\$18,177
475.	16-01-401-003	\$25,579
476.	16-01-401-004	\$69,570
477.	16-01-401-008	\$46,693
478.	16-01-401-009	\$3,308
479.	16-01-401-010	\$3,308
480.	16-01-401-011	\$62,175
481.	16-01-401-012	\$66,849
482.	16-01-401-013	\$70,870
483.	16-01-401-014	\$5,246
484.	16-01-401-015	\$3,308
485.	16-01-401-017	\$42,663
486.	16-01-401-020	\$51,987
487.	16-01-401-021	\$14,588
488.	16-01-401-022	\$169,368
489.	16-01-401-046	\$32,589
490.	16-01-401-047	\$50,557
491.	16-01-401-048	\$86,223
492.	16-01-401-049	\$88,940
493.	16-01-401-050	\$73,047
494.	16-01-401-051	\$84,322
495.	16-01-401-052	\$69,973
496.	16-01-402-001	\$102,420
497.	16-01-402-002	\$93,225
498.	16-01-402-003	\$95,446
499.	16-01-402-004	\$60,804
500.	16-01-402-005	\$45,744
501.	16-01-402-008	\$430
502.	16-01-402-009	\$37,363
503.	16-01-402-010	\$75,770
504.	16-01-402-011	\$4,812
505.	16-01-402-012	\$4,812
506.	16-01-402-013	\$44,524
507.	16-01-402-014	\$14,874
508.	16-01-402-015	\$27,047



509.	16-01-402-016	\$44,645
510.	16-01-402-017	\$5,003
511.	16-01-402-018	\$4,830
512.	16-01-402-019	\$25,714
513.	16-01-402-020	\$59,172
514.	16-01-402-021	\$29,193
515.	16-01-402-050	\$31,194
516.	16-01-402-051	\$52,905
517.	16-01-402-052	\$54,923
518.	16-01-402-053	\$1,816
519.	16-01-403-001	\$114,809
520.	16-01-403-002	EXEMPT
521.	16-01-403-003	EXEMPT
522.	16-01-403-004	EXEMPT
523.	16-01-403-005	EXEMPT
524.	16-01-403-006	\$34,592
525.	16-01-403-007	\$35,855
526.	16-01-403-008	\$145,571
527.	16-01-403-009	EXEMPT
528.	16-01-403-010	EXEMPT
529.	16-01-403-012	EXEMPT
530.	16-01-403-013	EXEMPT
531.	16-01-403-027	EXEMPT
532.	16-01-403-028	EXEMPT
533.	16-01-403-029	EXEMPT
534.	16-01-403-030	EXEMPT
535.	16-01-403-031	EXEMPT
536.	16-02-105-015	\$10,994
537.	16-02-105-016	\$22,813
538.	16-02-105-017	\$228,376
539.	16-02-105-018	\$56,100
540.	16-02-105-026	\$4,886
541.	16-02-106-001	EXEMPT
542.	16-02-107-001	\$89,676
543.	16-02-107-002	\$17,219
544.	16-02-107-003	\$70,582
545.	16-02-107-004	\$31,982
546.	16-02-107-005	\$29,981
547.	16-02-107-006	\$25,532
548.	16-02-107-007	\$38,738
549.	16-02-107-008	\$12,882
550.	16-02-107-009	\$67,891
551.	16-02-200-001	EXEMPT

552.	16-02-200-002	\$30,179
553.	16-02-200-003	\$29,171
554.	16-02-200-004	\$46,961
555.	16-02-200-005	\$4,618
556.	16-02-200-006	\$17,392
557.	16-02-200-007	\$73,882
558.	16-02-200-008	EXEMPT
559.	16-02-200-009	\$36,303
560.	16-02-200-010	\$96,135
561.	16-02-200-011	\$49,385
562.	16-02-200-012	\$13,165
563.	16-02-200-013	\$27,209
564.	16-02-200-014	\$21,724
565.	16-02-200-015	EXEMPT
566.	16-02-200-016	\$44,760
567.	16-02-200-017	\$42,701
568.	16-02-200-018	\$57,554
569.	16-02-200-019	\$30,506
570.	16-02-200-020	\$34,302
571.	16-02-201-001	\$72,923
572.	16-02-201-002	\$57,721
573.	16-02-201-003	\$27,290
574.	16-02-201-004	\$18,911
575.	16-02-201-005	\$73,524
576.	16-02-201-006	\$30,370
577.	16-02-201-007	\$31,082
578.	16-02-201-008	\$30,373
579.	16-02-201-009	\$20,435
580.	16-02-201-010	\$4,618
581.	16-02-201-011	EXEMPT
582.	16-02-201-012	\$29,324
583.	16-02-201-013	\$25,768
584.	16-02-201-014	\$26,630
585.	16-02-201-015	\$52,518
586.	16-02-201-018	\$45,942
587.	16-02-201-019	\$129,667
588.	16-02-201-045	\$107,772
589.	16-02-202-001	\$122,065
590.	16-02-202-002	\$65,568
591.	16-02-202-003	\$11,973
592.	16-02-202-004	\$20,315
593.	16-02-202-005	\$36,058
594.	16-02-202-006	\$65,733

595.	16-02-202-007	\$25,446
596.	16-02-202-008	\$178,235
597.	16-02-202-009	\$120,206
598.	16-02-202-010	\$62,172
599.	16-02-202-011	\$120,206
600.	16-02-202-012	\$89,635
601.	16-02-203-001	\$51,762
602.	16-02-203-002	\$54,791
603.	16-02-203-003	\$60,896
604.	16-02-203-004	\$213,798
605.	16-02-203-005	\$12,031
606.	16-02-203-006	\$24,638
607.	16-02-203-007	\$42,796
608.	16-02-203-008	\$42,796
609.	16-02-203-009	\$122,540
610.	16-02-203-023	\$333,702
611.	16-02-203-024	EXEMPT
612.	16-02-203-025	\$451,160
613.	17-06-100-001	\$78,592
614.	17-06-100-002	\$7,343
615.	17-06-100-003	\$21,454
616.	17-06-100-004	\$66,102
617.	17-06-100-005	EXEMPT
618.	17-06-100-006	\$27,107
619.	17-06-100-007	\$68,656
620.	17-06-100-008	\$37,790
621.	17-06-100-009	\$45,712
622.	17-06-100-010	\$4,978
623.	17-06-100-011	\$117,384
624.	17-06-100-012	\$52,124
625.	17-06-100-013	\$5,016
626.	17-06-100-014	\$45,696
627.	17-06-100-015	\$47,328
628.	17-06-100-019	\$161,163
629.	17-06-100-020	EXEMPT
630.	17-06-100-028	\$157,004
631.	17-06-108-001	EXEMPT
632.	17-06-108-002	EXEMPT
633.	17-06-108-003	EXEMPT
634.	17-06-108-004	EXEMPT
635.	17-06-108-005	EXEMPT
636.	17-06-108-006	EXEMPT
637.	17-06-108-007	EXEMPT

638.	17-06-108-008	EXEMPT
639.	17-06-108-016	EXEMPT
640.	17-06-108-017	EXEMPT
641.	17-06-108-018	EXEMPT
642.	17-06-108-019	EXEMPT
643.	17-06-108-020	EXEMPT
644.	17-06-108-021	EXEMPT
645.	17-06-108-022	EXEMPT
646.	17-06-108-023	\$38,756
647.	17-06-108-024	EXEMPT
648.	17-06-108-025	EXEMPT
649.	17-06-108-026	EXEMPT
650.	17-06-108-027	EXEMPT
651.	17-06-108-028	EXEMPT
652.	17-06-108-029	EXEMPT
653.	17-06-108-030	EXEMPT
654.	17-06-108-031	EXEMPT
655.	17-06-108-039	EXEMPT
656.	17-06-108-040	EXEMPT
657.	17-06-108-041	EXEMPT
658.	17-06-108-042	EXEMPT
659.	17-06-108-043	EXEMPT
660.	17-06-108-044	EXEMPT
661.	17-06-108-045	EXEMPT
662.	17-06-108-046	EXEMPT
663.	17-06-108-048	\$1,795,969
664.	17-06-109-002	EXEMPT
665.	17-06-109-003	EXEMPT
666.	17-06-114-001	\$72,873
667.	17-06-114-002	\$10,582
668.	17-06-114-003	\$10,582
669.	17-06-114-004	\$70,240
670.	17-06-114-005	\$45,289
671.	17-06-114-006	\$4,816
672.	17-06-114-007	\$22,066
673.	17-06-114-008	\$42,811
674.	17-06-114-009	\$44,722
675.	17-06-114-010	\$9,781
676.	17-06-114-011	\$12,985
677.	17-06-114-012	\$10,244
678.	17-06-114-013	\$23,236
679.	17-06-114-014	\$12,520
680.	17-06-114-015	\$14,921

681.	17-06-114-016	\$12,351
682.	17-06-114-017	\$47,303
683.	17-06-114-018	\$28,750
684.	17-06-114-019	\$33,895
685.	17-06-114-020	\$39,413
686.	17-06-114-021	\$30,755
687.	17-06-114-022	\$8,322
688.	17-06-114-023	\$4,672
689.	17-06-122-001	EXEMPT
690.	17-06-122-002	EXEMPT
691.	17-06-122-005	EXEMPT
692.	17-06-122-006	EXEMPT
693.	17-06-122-015	EXEMPT
694.	17-06-122-016	EXEMPT
695.	17-06-122-017	EXEMPT
696.	17-06-122-018	EXEMPT
697.	17-06-122-019	EXEMPT
698.	17-06-122-022	EXEMPT
699.	17-06-122-023	EXEMPT
700.	17-06-122-028	EXEMPT
701.	17-06-122-029	EXEMPT
702.	17-06-122-033	EXEMPT
703.	17-06-122-034	EXEMPT
704.	17-06-122-038	EXEMPT
705.	17-06-122-039	EXEMPT
706.	17-06-122-040	EXEMPT

707.	17-06-122-041	EXEMPT
708.	17-06-122-042	EXEMPT
709.	17-06-122-043	EXEMPT
710.	17-06-122-045	EXEMPT
711.	17-06-122-046	EXEMPT
712.	17-06-122-047	\$5,570
713.	17-06-123-001	EXEMPT
714.	17-06-123-002	EXEMPT
715.	17-06-123-003	\$2,971
716.	17-06-123-004	EXEMPT
717.	17-06-123-005	EXEMPT
718.	17-06-123-006	EXEMPT
719.	17-06-123-011	EXEMPT
720.	17-06-123-014	EXEMPT
721.	17-06-123-015	EXEMPT
722.	17-06-123-016	EXEMPT
723.	17-06-123-017	EXEMPT
724.	17-06-123-018	EXEMPT
725.	17-06-123-019	EXEMPT
726.	17-06-123-020	EXEMPT
727.	17-06-123-025	EXEMPT
728.	17-06-123-026	EXEMPT
729.	17-06-123-027	EXEMPT
730.	17-06-300-006	EXEMPT
	TOTAL	\$32,269,485

### EXHIBIT 1 - LEGAL DESCRIPTION

ALL THAT PART OF THE SOUTH HALF OF SECTIONS 35 AND 36 IN TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BOUNDED AND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST NORTH AVENUE WITH THE EAST LINE OF NORTH CLAREMONT AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH CLAREMONT AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 48 IN BLOCK 4 OF H. B. BOGUE'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS 1, 2, 4 AND 5 OF WATSON, TOWER AND DAVIS' SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 48 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 48 IN BLOCK 4 OF H. B. BOGUE'S SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 48;

THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 48 IN BLOCK 4 OF H. B. BOGUE'S SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 48, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 48 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST LEMOYNE STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST LEMOYNE STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH OAKLEY BOULEVARD;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH OAKLEY BOULEVARD TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST HIRSCH STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST HIRSCH STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 1 IN WATSON'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 12 OF WATSON, TOWER AND DAVIS' SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 1 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST POTOMAC AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST POTOMAC AVENUE TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH OAKLEY BOULEVARD;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH OAKLEY BOULEVARD TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 13 IN BLOCK 2 OF E. A. CUMMINGS AND COMPANY'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 2 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 4 AND LOTS 1 TO 6 AND 12 TO 32 OF BLOCK 5 OF SUFFERN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OD SECTION 6,

TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 13 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST HADDON AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST HADDON AVENUE TO SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 1 IN BERNHARD LOEFF'S RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 26 TO 42, BOTH INCLUSIVE, OF MC CREERY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF LOT 1 IN BERNHARD LOEFF'S RESUBDIVISION TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH CAMPBELL AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH CAMPBELL AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 8 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF THE SUBDIVISION OF ONE ACRE IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN AND OF LOT "A" IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, A SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN ( EXCEPT ONE ACRE IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND ONE ACRE IN THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF), SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 8 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 8 TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 8;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF AFORESAID LOT 8 TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOTS 19 THROUGH 27, INCLUSIVE, IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, A SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN ( EXCEPT ONE ACRE IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER AND ONE ACRE IN THE NORTHWEST CORNER THEREOF), SAID NORTH LINE OF LOTS 19 THROUGH 27, INCLUSIVE, IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOTS 19 THROUGH 27, INCLUSIVE, IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 19 IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 19 IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOTS 11 THROUGH 18, INCLUSIVE IN SAID GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOTS 11 THROUGH 18, INCLUSIVE, BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOTS 11 THROUGH 18, INCLUSIVE IN GROSS' HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH ROCKWELL STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH ROCKWELL STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 IN GROSS' THIRD HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, A SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST 100 FEET OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND ALSO THE EAST 15 FEET OF LOT 1 AND 42 IN BLOCK 1, AND THE EAST 15 FEET OF LOTS 1 AND 42 IN BLOCK 4 IN WETHERBEE AND GREGORY'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN (EXCEPT THE EAST 100 FEET OF SAID TRACT), AND ALSO THE WEST 15 FEET OF THE EAST 100 FEET OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH MOZART STREET;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH MOZART STREET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST DIVISION STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST CRYSTAL STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST CRYSTAL STREET TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK 7 OF HUMBOLDT PARK RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 16 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE WEST LINE OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK 7 OF HUMBOLDT PARK RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION'S SUBDIVISION TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 16;

THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK 7 OF HUMBOLDT PARK RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION'S SUBDIVISION TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 16, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 16 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 12 IN SAID BLOCK 7 OF HUMBOLDT PARK RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 12 IN BLOCK 7 OF HUMBOLDT PARK RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST CRYSTAL STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST CRYSTAL STREET TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 24 IN BLOCK 8 OF AFORESAID HUMBOLDT PARK RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION'S

SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 24 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH ARTESIAN AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH ARTESIAN AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 34 IN BLOCK 8 OF WINSLOW AND JACOBSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 34 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE OPEN PUBLIC ALLEY NORTH OF WEST DIVISION STREET;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 34 IN BLOCK 8 OF WINSLOW AND JACOBSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 34, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 34 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 12 IN BLOCK 1 OF WINSLOW, JACOBSON AND TALLMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 12 IN BLOCK 1 OF WINSLOW, JACOBSON AND TALLMAN'S SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 12, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 12 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH ROCKWELL AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH ROCKWELL AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN BLOCK 1 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 6 IN BLOCK 1 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6;

THENCE WESTERLY ALONG A STRAIGHT LINE TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 43 IN SAID BLOCK 1 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 43 IN BLOCK 1 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH TALMAN AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG A STRAIGHT LINE TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 6 IN BLOCK 2 OF SAID H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6 IN BLOCK 2 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF

LOT 43 IN SAID BLOCK 2 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 0.5 FEET OF LOT 9 IN BLOCK 3 OF SAID H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION, SAID NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 0.5 FEET OF LOT 9 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 0.5 FEET OF LOT 9 IN BLOCK 3 OF SAID H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 9, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 9 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 9 IN BLOCK 3 OF SAID H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 39 IN SAID BLOCK 3 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 39 IN BLOCK 3 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH FAIRFIELD AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH FAIRFIELD AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 6 TO 24, INCLUSIVE, IN BLOCK 4 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 6 TO 24, INCLUSIVE, IN BLOCK 4 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 1 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 1 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 6 TO 24, INCLUSIVE, IN BLOCK 4 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 42 IN BLOCK 4 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 42 IN BLOCK 4 OF H. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 1 TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 18 IN BLOCK 5 OF JOHNSTON AND COX'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 18 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH TROY AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 18 IN BLOCK 5 OF JOHNSTON AND COX'S SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;



THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH KEDZIE AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH KEDZIE AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST PIERCE AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF WEST PIERCE AVENUE TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 11 IN BLOCK 1 OF PIERCE'S HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO IN THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 11 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF NORTH KEDZIE AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF LOT 11 IN BLOCK 1 OF PIERCE'S HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 11;

THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 11 TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 11 IN BLOCK 1 OF PIERCE'S HUMBOLDT PARK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 11 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 12 IN BLOCK 2 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 12 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF NORTH MONTICELLO AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 12 IN BLOCK 2 OF THE SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN TO THE CENTER LINE OF THE VACATED ALLEY LYING NORTH OF AND ADJOINING SAID LOT 12;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE OF THE VACATED ALLEY LYING NORTH OF AND ADJOINING LOT 12 IN BLOCK 2 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH LAWNSDALE AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH LAWNSDALE AVENUE TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 30 IN BLOCK 4 OF BEEBE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 30 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 30 IN BLOCK 4 OF BEEBE'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF, TO THE EASTERLY LINE OF THE CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE, ST. PAUL AND PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY;

THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE OF THE CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE, ST. PAUL AND PACIFIC RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 13 IN BLOCK 6 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN (EXCEPT THE EAST

HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN AND EXCEPT THE RAILROAD), SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 13 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH TROY AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH TROY AVENUE TO THE CENTER LINE OF THE VACATED ALLEY LYING SOUTH OF AND ADJOINING LOT 28 IN BLOCK 6 OF JOHNSTON AND COX'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE OF THE VACATED ALLEY LYING SOUTH OF AND ADJOINING LOT 28 IN BLOCK 6 OF JOHNSTON AND COX'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID VACATED ALLEY;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE VACATED ALLEY LYING SOUTH OF AND ADJOINING LOT 28 IN BLOCK 6 OF JOHNSTON AND COX'S SUBDIVISION TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 17 IN SAID BLOCK 6 OF JOHNSTON AND COX'S SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 17 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH HUMBOLDT BOULEVARD;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH HUMBOLDT BOULEVARD TO THE NORTH LINE OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH HUMBOLDT BOULEVARD;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH HUMBOLDT BOULEVARD TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK 13 OF HANSBROUGH AND HESS SUBDIVISION OF THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 16 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE AND THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 77 IN BORDEN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 77 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF NORTH WASHTENAW AVENUE TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 0.5 FEET OF LOT 10 IN YOUNG AND TALBOTT'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1, 2, 3, 8 AND 9 OF BLOCK 1;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 0.5 FEET OF LOT 10 IN YOUNG AND TALBOTT'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1, 2, 3, 8 AND 9 OF BLOCK 1 AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 0.5 FEET OF LOT 7 IN SAID YOUNG AND TALBOTT'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH TALMAN AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH TALMAN AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 15 IN GOODRICH AND YOUNG'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 4, 5, AND 6 IN BLOCK 1 OF BORDEN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 15 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 17 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOT 4 OF THE ASSESSOR'S DIVISION OF UNSUBDIVIDED LAND IN THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 17 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOT 4 OF THE ASSESSOR'S DIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 17;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOTS 17 AND 18 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOT 4 OF THE ASSESSOR'S DIVISION TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 14-31-326-065;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE PARCEL OF PROPERTY BEARING PIN 14-31-326-065 AND ALONG THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO WEST LINE OF LOT 41 IN J. N. MASON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST PART OF LOT 5 AND THE SOUTH 33 FEET OF LOT 3 OF THE ASSESSOR'S DIVISION OF UNSUBDIVIDED LAND IN THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 41 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE;


THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF NORTH WESTERN AVENUE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 41 IN SAID J. N. MASON'S SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 41 BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF WEST NORTH AVENUE TO THE EAST LINE OF NORTH CLAREMONT AVENUE;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF NORTH CLAREMONT AVENUE TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AT THE SOUTH LINE OF WEST NORTH AVENUE;

## EXHIBIT 2 - MAP LEGEND

MAP 1	REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT BOUNDARY
MAP 2	EXISTING LAND USE
MAP 3	PROPOSED LAND USE
MAP 4	HUMBOLDT PARK REDEVELOPMENT AREA ACQUISITION
MAP 5	AREA MAP – SCHOOLS AND PARKS



**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

MAP 1 - PROJECT BOUNDARY

———— BOUNDARY LINE

———— ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS


DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001

LOUK / SCHWENKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

MACONDO COPY



MACONDO COPY



ASKI  
RIDOR TIF

KINZIE INDUSTRIAL  
CONSERVATION AREA TIF


**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

MAP 2 - EXISTING LAND USE

	COMMERCIAL
	RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL
	INSTITUTIONAL
	RESIDENTIAL
	VACANT LOT
	INDUSTRIAL
	BOUNDARY LINE
	ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS  
DATE: JANUARY 22,2001

LARSEN / SCHMIDT AND ASSOCIATES, INC.  
**MACONDO**  
ARCHITECTS



**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

MAP 3 - PROPOSED LAND USE

MIXED (RES/COMM/INST)

INSTITUTIONAL

BOUNDARY LINE


ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS

DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001

LOUIS / SCHEIDT AND ASSOCIATES, INC.





**CITY  
OF  
CHICAGO**

**HUMBOLDT  
PARK  
REDEVELOPMENT  
AREA**

ACQUISITION  
MAP

**LEGEND**


REDEVELOPMENT  
AREA  
BOUNDARY

ACQUISITION  
PARCELS

North Arrow

Scale: 1" = 100'





**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

MAP 5 - SCHOOLS & PARKS

SCHOOLS      PARKS

BOUNDARY LINE

ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS

DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001

LOVE / SKIDMORE AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

MACONDO GROUP

MACONDO GROUP

MACONDO GROUP

MACONDO GROUP

KINZIE INDUSTRIAL  
CONSERVATION AREA TIF

**EXHIBIT 3 - ELIGIBILITY STUDY**

**CITY OF CHICAGO**  
**HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL**  
**TAX INCREMENT FINANCE PROGRAM**

**ELIGIBILITY STUDY**

**CITY OF CHICAGO**  
**RICHARD M. DALEY**  
**MAYOR**

**JANUARY 2001**

**PREPARED BY:**

**LOUIK/SCHNEIDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
**MACONDO CORP.**  
**THE LAMBERT GROUP**

# HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL

## TAX INCREMENT FINANCE PROGRAM

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
A. LOCATION.....	3
B. EXISTING LAND USE AND CONDITIONS.....	3
C. DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS.....	4
D. HUMBOLDT PARK REDEVELOPMENT AREA.....	4
E. ADJACENT TIF DISTRICT.....	5
F. ZONING CHARACTERISTICS.....	5
<b>III. QUALIFICATION AS CONSERVATION AREA.....</b>	<b>6</b>
A. ILLINOIS TAX INCREMENT ACT.....	6
B. SURVEY, ANALYSIS AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELIGIBILITY FACTORS.....	6
C. BUILDING EVALUATION PROCEDURE.....	7
D. CONSERVATION AREA ELIGIBILITY FACTORS.....	8
E. CONSERVATION AREA ELIGIBILITY FACTORS SUMMARY.....	16
<b>IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>APPENDIX.....</b>	<b>19</b>
EXHIBIT 1 - BUILDING PERMIT REQUESTS.....	20
EXHIBIT 2 - BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS.....	24
EXHIBIT 3 - DISTRIBUTION OF CRITERIA MATRIX.....	28
EXHIBIT 4 - MAP LEGEND.....	31

## I. INTRODUCTION

Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. has been retained by the City of Chicago ("City") to conduct an independent initial study and survey of the proposed redevelopment area known as Humboldt Park Commercial Area, Chicago, Illinois (hereafter referred to as the "Study Area"). The purpose of this study is to determine whether the 61 blocks of the Study Area qualify for designation as a "Conservation Area" for the purpose of establishing a tax increment financing district, pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended (the "Act").

This report summarizes the analyses and findings of the consultants' work, which is the responsibility of Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc., and its subconsultants – Macondo Corp. and The Lambert Group. Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc.'s subconsultants have provided assistance in preparing the following information: field surveys were conducted by Macondo Corp.; taxpayer and EAV information was collected by The Lambert Group; owner of record and delinquency was collected by The Lambert Group; and maps, surveys and legal description were prepared by Macondo Corp.

Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. has prepared this report with the understanding that the City would rely 1) on the findings and conclusions of this report in proceeding with the designation of the Study Area as a redevelopment project area under the Act, and 2) on the fact that Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. has obtained the necessary information to conclude that the Study Area can be designated as a redevelopment project area in compliance with the Act.

Following this introduction, Section II presents background information of the Study Area including the area location, description of current conditions and site history. Section III explains the Building Condition Assessment and documents the qualifications of the Study Area as a Conservation Area under the Act. Section IV, *Summary and Conclusions*, presents the findings.

The analysis above was based upon data assembled by Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc., Macondo Corp and The Lambert Group. The surveys, research and analysis conducted include:

1. Exterior surveys of the conditions and use of the Study Area;
2. Field surveys of environmental conditions covering streets, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, traffic, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general property maintenance;
3. Comparison of current land uses to current zoning ordinance and the current zoning maps;
4. Historical analysis of site uses and users;

5. Analysis of original and current platting and building size layout;
6. Review of previously prepared plans, studies and data;
7. Analysis of building permits from 1995 – 2000 and building code violations from 1995 – 2000 (as provided by the Department of Buildings) for all parcels in the Study Area; and
8. Evaluation of the EAVs in the Study Area from 1995 to 1999.

This report was jointly prepared by Myron D. Louik, John P. Schneider, Tricia Marino Ruffolo and Luke J. Molloy of Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. and its subconsultants.

## II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### A. LOCATION

The Study Area (hereafter referred to as the “Study Area”) is located in the City, approximately three miles northwest of the central business district within the Humboldt Park and West Town community areas. The 138-acre Study Area is irregularly shaped and generally includes both sides of North Avenue between North Ridgeway and North Claremont; both sides of North Western Avenue between North Avenue and West Haddon, extending east to North Oakley between West LeMoyne and West Hirsch and between West Potomac and West Haddon; and both sides of West Division between North Oakley and North Mozart.

### B. EXISTING LAND USE AND CONDITIONS

The Study Area is especially well suited to commercial development, but is also well situated for certain residential and institutional developments. The Study Area’s close proximity to good local and regional transportation networks makes the Area accessible to shoppers and residents of both the Humboldt Park and West Town community areas and surrounding neighborhoods.

The current condition of the Study Area is one of underutilization and deterioration. The West Division Street corridor, from North Mozart Street to North Western Avenue, exhibits both of these conditions. Underutilized and poorly maintained buildings line most of the corridor. Vacant lots and deteriorated sidewalks and street fixtures are also present. Strip-mall style shopping centers that do not conform to the original streetscape are common on West Division Street as well as sections of the entire Redevelopment Area.

The North Western Avenue corridor from West Potomac Avenue to West North Avenue has many of the same issues that are evident along Division Street, where there are a high number of deteriorated commercial and residential buildings. In addition, the high number of automobile dealerships and other automobile-related businesses discourage new businesses, limit commercial diversification and create traffic congestion. They effectively prevent North Western Avenue from forming a cohesive commercial center by stunting any type of pedestrian flow from storefront to storefront and detract from the overall aesthetics of the corridor. This is largely due to the fact that in many cases these businesses are poorly maintained and a source of significant amounts of debris.

The east side of North Western Avenue contains two significant institutional uses: St. Elizabeth’s Hospital, located between West LeMoyne Street and West Hirsch Street, and Roberto Clemente High School, located between West Potomac Avenue and North Division Street. Across the street from Roberto Clemente High School, on the west side of North Western Avenue, is the Chicago Fire Department Engine Company 57 and the West Town Health Clinic.

The section of the Study Area that runs west along West North Avenue from North Western Avenue to North Mozart Street contains commercial buildings with retail and office uses on the street level and residential uses above the first floor. Buildings and public infrastructure show increasing signs of deterioration and neglect. The Area's buildings also have numerous vacancies on the upper floors. The area from North Mozart Street west to North Troy Street is primarily residential interspersed with commercial uses. North Troy Street to the end of the Study Area on North Lawndale Avenue is primarily commercial property with second- and third-floor residences.

### **C. DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS**

The Study Area consists of 61 (full and partial) blocks, 730 parcels, and 465 buildings. The Study Area is in need of major revitalization, which should include the rehabilitation of existing buildings and the development of unimproved parcels. The Study Area is characterized by high levels of:

- deteriorated buildings;
- obsolete and underutilized buildings; and
- other characteristics of deterioration.

Additional research indicates that the Study Area has not benefited from growth and development as a result of private investment, and will not be developed without action by the City.

Specifically, the total number of building permits recently requested for new construction and major renovation is lower than could be reasonably expected in an area of economic health. From January 1995 to September 2000, only 52 permits for new construction or renovation were issued in an area with 730 parcels (7%). Of these, only six were for the construction of new buildings, while the others were for the building of garages (six), structural additions (five), and renovations (41). These limited improvements have stimulated neither private investment nor economic growth within or around the Study Area. Significantly, during the same six years, seven permits were issued for demolition (see Exhibit 1 - *Building and Demolition Permit Requests*).

From this data, together with the other eligibility factors, it can be reasonably concluded that the Study Area (i) has not been subject to growth through private investment, and (ii) will not be developed without municipal leadership. Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and Project is necessary to halt deterioration of the Study Area.

### **D. HUMBOLDT PARK REDEVELOPMENT AREA**

In 1999, the Community Development Commission designated the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area in the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan and Designation Report. The



Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area is a larger area that is generally bounded by the northern alley of West North Avenue on the north, West Division Street and West Augusta Street on the south, Western Avenue on the east, and North Central Park Avenue on the west. This larger area encompasses the Study Area.

According to the report, the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area exhibits the factors necessary for designation based on the criteria set forth under Chapter 2-124-010 of the Municipal Code of Chicago, including: obsolescence, overcrowding, failure to generate a proper share of tax revenues and housing opportunities, deleterious land use, physical dilapidation and deterioration, and excessive land coverage.

#### **E. ADJACENT TIF DISTRICT**

The Study Area is adjacent to the Pulaski Corridor Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project, approved June 9, 1999. The 383-acre Pulaski Corridor Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project is generally linear in shape and parallels the former Chicago, Minneapolis, St. Paul & Pacific (CMSP&P) railroad right-of-way between Belmont and North Avenues along its north/south axis. There are also two linear sections in the Pulaski Corridor Redevelopment Project area aligned in east/west orientations that stretch westward along Fullerton and North Avenue. This adjacent TIF District contains 114 full and partial blocks, 512 buildings, and 883 parcels and was designated as a conservation area. The following factors were found present to a major extent: age, obsolescence, excessive land coverage, depreciation of physical maintenance and lack of community planning.

The lack of growth and investment by the private sector in the surrounding community is evidenced by the designation of the adjacent TIF district. Existing conditions of the adjacent TIF have a negative effect on the Study Area and contribute to its existing conservation factors.

#### **F. ZONING CHARACTERISTICS**

Based on the 2000 Title 17 Municipal Code of Chicago Zoning Ordinance, the Study Area includes the zoning classifications for: commercial, business, residential and manufacturing districts. The Study Area is zoned primarily business, commercial and institutional. Permitted zoning uses for the Study Area include business districts zoned B3-3, B5-2, B4-2, B4-3, commercial districts zoned C1-1, C1-2, C1-3, C2-2, C3-1 and Institutional Planned Developments #94 and #12.

### III. QUALIFICATION AS CONSERVATION AREA

#### A. ILLINOIS TAX INCREMENT ACT

The Act authorizes Illinois municipalities to redevelop locally designated deteriorated areas through tax increment financing. In order to qualify an area as a tax increment financing district, it must first be designated as a Blighted Area, a Conservation Area (a combination of the two), or an Industrial Park.

As set forth in the Act, a "Conservation Area" is any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which 50% or more of the structures in the area have an age of 35 years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area, but because of a combination of three or more of the following factors is detrimental to public safety, health, morals or welfare and such an area may become a blighted area:

1. Dilapidation
2. Obsolescence
3. Deterioration
4. Presence of structures below minimum code standards
5. Illegal use of individual structures
6. Excessive vacancies
7. Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities
8. Inadequate utilities
9. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities
10. Deleterious land use or layout
11. Environmental clean-up
12. Lack of community planning
13. EAV comparison

The Act further states that the eligibility factors must be (i) present to a meaningful extent so that a municipality may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the redevelopment project area.

The evidence discussed below suggests that the Study Area is eligible for designation as a Conservation Area within the requirements of the Act.

#### B. SURVEY, ANALYSIS AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELIGIBILITY FACTORS

Comprehensive exterior surveys of the 730 parcels of the Study Area were conducted by Macondo Corp. Analysis of each of the Conservation Area eligibility factors contained in the Act was conducted in order to determine its presence. The exterior surveys examined not only the condition and use of buildings but also conditions of streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, lighting,

vacant land, underutilized land, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general maintenance. In addition, an analysis was conducted of existing site coverage and parking, land uses, zoning and their relationship to the surrounding area.

A block-by-block analysis of the 61 blocks was conducted by Macondo Corp. to identify the eligibility factors and their degree of presence. The following four levels are identified:

- **Not present** - indicates that either the condition does not exist or that no evidence could be found or documented during the survey or analysis.
- **Limited extent** - indicates that the condition exists, but distribution was limited to a small percentage of parcels and/or blocks.
- **Present to a minor extent** - indicates that the condition exists and is substantial in distribution or impact.
- **Present to a major extent** - indicates that the condition does exist and is present throughout the area at a level that affects the Study Area as well as adjacent and nearby parcels of property.

### C. BUILDING EVALUATION PROCEDURE

This section will describe how the buildings within the Study Area were evaluated.

#### HOW BUILDING COMPONENTS AND IMPROVEMENTS WERE EVALUATED

During the field survey, all components of and improvements to the subject buildings were examined to determine whether they were in sound condition or had minor, major or critical defects. These examinations were completed to determine whether conditions existed to evidence the presence of any of the following related factors: dilapidation, deterioration, or depreciation of physical maintenance.

Building components and improvements examined were of two types:

##### PRIMARY STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS

These include the basic elements of any building or improvement including foundation walls, load-bearing walls and columns, roof, and roof structure.

##### SECONDARY COMPONENTS

These are components generally added to the primary structural components and are necessary parts of the building and improvements, including porches and steps, windows and window units, doors and door units, facades, chimneys, and gutters and downspouts.

Each primary and secondary component and improvement was evaluated separately as a basis for determining the overall condition of the building and surrounding area. This evaluation

considered the relative importance of specific components within the building and the effect that deficiencies in components and improvements have on the remainder of the building.

Subsequent to the buildings being evaluated, they were classified, as described in the following section.

#### **BUILDING COMPONENT AND IMPROVEMENT CLASSIFICATIONS**

Four major categories were used in classifying the structural condition of the building components and improvements. The criteria used are described below:

##### **1. SOUND**

Building components and improvements that contain no defects are adequately maintained and require no treatment outside of normal ongoing maintenance.

##### **2. REQUIRING MINOR REPAIR - DEPRECIATION OF PHYSICAL MAINTENANCE**

Building components and improvements that contain defects (loose or missing material, or holes and cracks over a limited area) that often may be corrected through the course of normal maintenance. Minor defects have no real effect on either primary or secondary components and improvements, and the correction of such defects may be accomplished by the owner or occupants, such as pointing masonry joints over a limited area or replacing less-complicated components and improvements. Minor defects are not considered in rating a building as structurally substandard.

##### **3. REQUIRING MAJOR REPAIR - DETERIORATION**

Building components and improvements that contain major defects over a widespread area and would be difficult to correct through normal maintenance. Buildings and improvements in this category would require replacement or rebuilding of components and improvements by people skilled in the building trades.

##### **4. CRITICAL - DILAPIDATED**

Building components and improvements that contain major defects (bowing, sagging or settling of any or all exterior components, for example) causing the structure to be out-of-plumb; or broken, loose or missing material and deterioration over a widespread area so extensive that the cost of repair would be excessive.

#### **D. CONSERVATION AREA ELIGIBILITY FACTORS**

A finding may be made that the Study Area is a Conservation Area based on the fact that 50% or more of the structures are 35 years of age or older, and the area exhibits the presence of three or more of the Conservation Area eligibility factors described above in Section III, Paragraph A, and that the area may become a blighted area because of these factors. Based on our survey and analyses, the Study Area meets the Act's requirement as a conservation

area, in that in addition to age, five of the eligibility factors were found to be present to a major extent and one to a minor extent.

This section examines each of the Conservation Area eligibility factors.

### **AGE**

Age presumes the existence of problems or limiting conditions resulting from normal and continuous use of structures over a period of years. Since building deterioration and related structural problems are a function of time, temperature and moisture, structures that are 35 years or older typically exhibit more problems than more recently constructed buildings.

### **CONCLUSION**

Age is present in 443 of the 465 buildings (95%) and in 57 of the 61 blocks (93%) in the Study Area. Age is present to a major extent in 52 of the 61 (85%) blocks and to a minor extent in 5 of the 61 blocks (8%). The results of the age survey are presented in Map 3.

## **1. DILAPIDATION**

Dilapidation is referred to in the Act as "an advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed."

An exterior survey was conducted of all the structures in the Study Area. The analysis of building dilapidation is based on the survey methodology and criteria described in the preceding section, *How Building Components and Improvements are Evaluated*.

Based on exterior building surveys, it was determined that many buildings are dilapidated and exhibit major structural problems making them structurally substandard. These buildings are all in an advanced state of disrepair. Major masonry wall work is required where water and lack of maintenance have allowed buildings to incur structural damage. Cracked foundations and missing structural elements were found, particularly in the backs of the buildings. Since wood elements require the most maintenance of all exterior materials, they show the greatest signs of deterioration.

### **CONCLUSION**

Dilapidation is present to a minor extent in the Study Area. Dilapidation is present in 45 of the 465 buildings (10%) and in 16 of the 61 blocks (26%). It is present to a major extent in two of the 61 (3%) blocks and to a minor extent in 14 of the 61 blocks (23%).

## **2. OBSOLESCENCE**

Obsolescence is defined in the Act as "the condition or process of falling into disuse. Obsolescent structures have become ill suited for the original use.

Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary defines "obsolescence" as "being out of use; obsolete." "Obsolete" is further defined as "no longer in use; disused" or "of a type or fashion no longer current." These definitions are helpful in describing the general obsolescence of buildings or site improvements in the Study Area. In making findings with respect to buildings and improvements, it is important to distinguish between *functional obsolescence*, which relates to the physical utility of a structure, and *economic obsolescence*, which relates to a property's ability to compete in the marketplace.

#### **FUNCTIONAL OBSOLESCENCE**

Structures historically have been built for specific uses or purposes. The design, location, height and space arrangements are intended for a specific occupancy at a given time. Buildings and improvements become obsolete when they contain characteristics or deficiencies that limit their use and marketability after the original use ceases. The characteristics may include loss in value to a property resulting from poor design or layout; or the improper orientation of the building on its site, which detracts from the overall usefulness or desirability of a property.

#### **ECONOMIC OBSOLESCENCE**

Economic obsolescence is normally a result of adverse conditions that may cause some degree of market rejection and, hence, depreciation in market values. Typically, buildings classified as dilapidated and buildings that contain vacant space are characterized by problem conditions that may not be economically curable, resulting in net rental losses and/or depreciation in market value.

Site improvements, including sewer and water lines, public utility lines (gas, electric and telephone), roadways, parking areas, parking structures, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, etc., may also be obsolete in relation to contemporary development standards for such improvements. Factors of obsolescence may include inadequate utility capacities or outdated designs.

Obsolescence, as a factor, should be based upon the documented presence and reasonable distribution of buildings and site improvements evidencing such obsolescence.

#### **OBSOLETE BUILDING TYPES**

Obsolete buildings contain characteristics or deficiencies that limit their long-term sound use or reuse for the purpose for which they were built. Obsolescence in such buildings is typically difficult and expensive to correct. Obsolete building types have an adverse effect on nearby and surrounding developments and detract from the physical, functional and economic vitality of the area. These structures are characterized by conditions indicating the structure is incapable of efficient or economic use according to contemporary standards.

### **OBSOLETE PLATTING**

Obsolete platting includes parcels of irregular shape, narrow or small size, and parcels improperly platted within the Study Area blocks. Some of the blocks in the Study Area have smaller and/or irregularly sized parcels. These parcels are not suitable for development for modern commercial users. The majority of the parcels along North Avenue commercial area are 25'x125'. While this size is appropriate for residential use, it severely limits growth and expansion opportunities for commercial users and retailers.

### **OBSOLETE SITE IMPROVEMENTS**

Site improvements, including sewer and water lines, public utility lines (gas, electric and telephone), roadways, parking areas, parking structures, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, etc., may also be obsolete in relation to contemporary development standards for such improvements. Factors of obsolescence may include inadequate utility capacities, outdated designs, etc.

### **CONCLUSION**

Obsolescence is present to a major extent in the Study Area. Obsolescence is present in 438 of the 465 buildings (94%), in 590 of the 730 parcels (81%) and in 55 of the 61 blocks (90%). It is present to a major extent in 53 of the 61 (87%) blocks and to a minor extent in two of the 61 (3%) blocks. The results of the obsolescence analysis are presented in Map 4.

### **3. DETERIORATION**

Deterioration refers to any physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or site improvements requiring major treatment or repair. The Act defines deterioration with respect to buildings as the presence of "defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, and fascia." The Act also defines the deterioration of surface improvements as "the presence of roadways, alleys, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking, and surface storage areas evidence deterioration, including, but not limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material and weeds protruding through paved surfaces."

- Deterioration that is not easily correctable and cannot be repaired in the course of normal maintenance may be evident in buildings. Such buildings and improvements may be classified as requiring major or many minor repairs, depending upon the degree or extent of defects. This would include buildings with defects in the secondary building components (e.g., doors, windows, porches, gutters and downspouts, fascia materials, etc.) and defects in primary building components (e.g., foundations, frames, roofs, etc.) respectively.
- All buildings and site improvements classified as dilapidated are also deteriorated.

### **DETERIORATION OF BUILDINGS**

The analysis of building deterioration is based on the survey methodology and criteria described in the preceding section, *How Building Components and Improvements Are Evaluated*. Of the 465 buildings in the Study Area, 445 buildings (96%) are deteriorated.

The deteriorated buildings in the Study Area exhibit defects in both their primary and secondary components. For example, the primary components exhibiting defects include walls, roofs and foundations with loose or missing materials (mortar, shingles), and holes and/or cracks in these components. The defects of secondary components include damage to windows, doors, stairs and/or porches; missing or cracked tuckpointing and/or masonry on the facade, chimneys, and surfaces; missing parapets, gutters and/or downspouts; foundation cracks or settling; and other missing structural components.

Deteriorated structures exist throughout the Study Area due to the combination of their age and the advanced state of disrepairs. The need for masonry repairs and tuckpointing is predominant, closely followed by deteriorating doors, facades, and secondary elements in the buildings. The majority of the buildings in the Study Area are deteriorated.

### **DETERIORATION OF PARKING AND SURFACE AREAS**

Field surveys were also conducted to identify the condition of parcels without structures but classified as deteriorated. These parcels are characterized by uneven surfaces with insufficient gravel, vegetation growing through the parking surface, depressions and standing water, absence of curbs or guardrails, fallen or broken fences and extensive debris.

### **CONCLUSION**

Deterioration is present to a major extent in the Study Area. Deterioration is present in 459 of the 465 buildings (99%), in 719 of the 730 parcels (99%), and in 61 of the 61 (100%) blocks. It is found to be present to a major extent in 60 of the 61 blocks (98%) and present to a minor extent in one of the 61 blocks (2%). The results of the deterioration analysis are presented in Map 5.

#### **4. PRESENCE OF STRUCTURES BELOW MINIMUM CODE STANDARDS**

The presence of structures below minimum code standards, as stated in the Act, includes "all structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire, and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes." The principal purposes of such codes are: to 1) require buildings to be constructed in such a way as to sustain safety of loads expected from the type of occupancy; 2) make buildings safe for occupancy against fire and similar hazards; and 3) establish minimum standards essential for safe and sanitary habitation.

From January 1995 through August 2000, 348 of the 465 buildings (75%) have been cited for building code violations by the City Department of Buildings (see Exhibit 2 - *Building Code Violations*).



## **CONCLUSION**

Structures below minimum code standards are present to a major extent. Structures below minimum code standards have been identified in 348 of the 465 buildings (75%) and in 48 of the 61 blocks (79%) in the Study Area over the last five years. The condition is found to be present to a major extent in 44 of the 61 blocks (72%) and to a minor extent in four of the 61 (7%) blocks.

## **5. ILLEGAL USE OF INDIVIDUAL STRUCTURES**

Illegal use of individual structures is defined in the Act as "the use of structures in violation of applicable federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards."

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on exterior surveys and a review of the Chicago Zoning Ordinance there is no evidence of illegal use of the structures or improvements in the Study Area.

## **6. EXCESSIVE VACANCIES**

Excessive vacancy, according to the Act, is "the presence of buildings that are unoccupied or underutilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent or duration of the vacancies." Excessive vacancies include improved properties that evidence no redundant effort directed toward their occupancy or underutilization.

Excessive vacancies are present throughout the Study Area. A building is considered to have excessive vacancies if at least 50% of the building is vacant or underutilized.

## **CONCLUSION**

Vacancies have been identified in 64 of the 465 buildings (14%) and in 29 of the 61 blocks (48%) in the Study Area over the last five years. The condition is found to be present to a major extent in 5 of the 61 blocks (8%) and to a minor extent in 24 of the 61 (39%) blocks.

## **7. LACK OF VENTILATION, LIGHT OR SANITARY FACILITIES**

The Act refers to the lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities as "the absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke or other noxious airborne materials." Inadequate natural light and ventilation is defined as the absence or inadequacy of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios.

Inadequate sanitary facilities are referred to in the Act as "the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens, and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building."

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, lack of ventilation, light and/or sanitary facilities was not found in the Study Area.

## **8. INADEQUATE UTILITIES**

Inadequate utilities refer to deficiencies in the underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers and water lines; and gas, telephone and electrical services. The Act defines inadequate utilities as "those that are (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area, (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete or in disrepair, or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area."

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, all utilities within the Study Area appear to be adequate.

## **9. EXCESSIVE LAND COVERAGE AND OVERCROWDING OF STRUCTURES AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES**

Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities is defined by the Act as "the over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site." Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as one exhibiting excessive land coverage are: (i) the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety and (ii) the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of required off-street parking or inadequate provision for loading and service.

Overcrowding of structures and community facilities refers to use of public or private buildings, facilities or properties beyond their reasonable or legally permitted capacity. Overcrowding is frequently found in buildings and improvements originally designed for a specific use and later converted to accommodate a more intensive use of activities, inadequately providing for minimum floor area requirements, privacy, ingress and egress, loading and services, capacity of building systems, etc.

### **CONCLUSION**

Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities is present to a major extent in the Study Area. Excessive land coverage is present in 438 of the 465 buildings (94%), in 593 of the 730 parcels (81%), and in 56 of the 61 blocks (92%). It can be found to a major extent in 52 of the 61 (85%) blocks and to a minor extent in four of the 61 blocks (7%). The results of the Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures and Community Facilities analysis are presented in Map 6.

## **10. DELETERIOUS LAND USE OR LAYOUT**

According to the Act, deleterious land uses or layout include the existence of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed-uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive or unsuitable for the surrounding area.

Deleterious layout includes evidence of improper or obsolete platting of the land, inadequate street layout, and parcels of inadequate size or shape to meet contemporary development standards. It also includes evidence of poor layout of buildings on parcels and in relation to other buildings.

In the Study Area, deleterious land use or layout is identified in 627 of the 730 parcels (86%), with 81% of parcels exhibiting excessive land coverage with insufficient room for parking and/or loading.

### **CONCLUSION**

Deleterious land use and layout is present to a major extent in the Study Area. Deleterious land use and layout is present in 647 of the 730 parcels (89%) and 58 of the 61 blocks (95%). It is found to a major extent in 57 blocks of the 61 blocks (93%) and to a minor extent in one of the 61 blocks (2%). The results of the deleterious land use and layout analysis are presented in Map 7.

## **11. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN-UP**

As set forth by the Act, this factor is present if the proposed redevelopment project area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or federal law (provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area).

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the exterior surveys and analyses undertaken, no environmental clean-up issues have been determined in the Study Area.

## **12. LACK OF COMMUNITY PLANNING**

Lack of community planning may be a factor if the proposed Study Area was developed prior to or without the benefit or guidance of a community plan. According to the Act, "this means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development." Furthermore, the Act states that this factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land-use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels of inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.

The community has a history of identifying development needs and action plans, evidenced by these reports:

- Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan Redevelopment Plan & Designation Report
- Humboldt Park Community Land Use Plan
- Humboldt Park Supermarket Feasibility Study

The Study Area has also been the subject of a variety of other studies and plans.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Though community activity and involvement is currently present, the Study Area's current conditions can be partially attributed to lack of community planning in the past.

#### **13. LACK OF GROWTH IN EAV COMPARISON**

The Act requires that an EAV comparison of the total equalized assessed values of the proposed Study Area has declined for three of the last five calendar years prior to the year in which the Study Area is designated or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for three of the last five calendar years for which information is available or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for three of the last five calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based on our analysis, lack of growth in EAV comparison is not present in the Study Area.

#### **E. CONSERVATION AREA ELIGIBILITY FACTORS SUMMARY**

The Conservation Area eligibility factors are present in varying degrees throughout the Study Area. In addition to age, five factors are present to a major extent and one is present to a minor extent. The Conservation Area eligibility factors that have been identified are as follows:

##### **MAJOR EXTENT**

1. Obsolescence
2. Deterioration
3. Structures below minimum code standards
4. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities
5. Deleterious land use or layout

##### **MINOR EXTENT**

1. Dilapidation

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The conclusion of Louik/Schneider & Associates, Inc. is that the number, degree and distribution of Conservation Area eligibility factors, as documented in this report, warrant the designation of the Study Area as a Conservation Area as set forth in the Act. Specifically:

- Of the 13 eligibility factors for a conservation area set forth in the Act, in addition to age, six are present - five to a major extent and one to a minor extent. In addition to age, only three are necessary for designation as a conservation area to qualify for a TIF District.
- The Conservation Area eligibility factors that are present are reasonably distributed throughout the Study Area.
- The Study Area is not yet a blighted area, but because of the factors described in this report, the Study Area may become a blighted area.

The eligibility findings indicate that the Study Area contains factors that qualify it as a Conservation Area in need of revitalization and that designation as a redevelopment project area will contribute to the long-term enhancement of the City.

Additional research indicates that the Study Area has not benefited from growth and development as a result of private investment, and will not be developed without action by the City.

Specifically, the total number of building permits recently requested for new construction and major renovation is lower than could be reasonably expected in an area of economic health. From January 1995 to September 2000, only 52 permits for new construction or renovation were issued in an area with 730 parcels (7%). Of these, only six were for the construction of new buildings, while the others were for the building of garages (six), structural additions (five), and renovations (41). These limited improvements have stimulated neither private investment nor economic growth within or around the Study Area. Significantly, during the same six years, seven permits were issued for demolition (see Exhibit 1 - *Building and Demolition Permit Requests*).

From this data, together with the other eligibility factors, it can be reasonably concluded that the Study Area (i) has not been subject to growth through private investment, and (ii) will not be developed without municipal leadership. Adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and Project is necessary to halt deterioration of the Study Area.

The conclusions presented in this report are those of the consulting team. The local governing body should review this report and, if satisfied with the summary of findings contained herein, adopt a resolution that the Study Area qualifies as a Conservation Area and make this report a part of the public record.

The Study Area qualifies as a Conservation Area and is therefore eligible for Tax Increment Financing under the Act.

**APPENDIX**

**EXHIBIT 1 - BUILDING PERMIT REQUESTS**

**NEW CONSTRUCTION/INVESTMENT PERMITS**

	Permit #	Date	Address	Purpose	Investment
1	805095	5/31/95	3749 W. North Ave.	For foundation of garage	\$6,000
2	811452	9/19/95	2323 W. North Ave.	To rehabilitate existing space	\$15,000
3	811826	9/26/95	2510 S. Harding Ave.	To erect a garage	\$4,882
4	814833	11/15/95	3612 W. North Ave.	To remodel interior of first-floor restaurant	\$7,500
5	817082	1/9/96	3749 W. North Ave.	To erect masonry building	\$20,600
6	96003305	4/15/96	3804 W. Grand Ave	To erect two-car garage	\$3,550
7	96003702	4/19/96	2720 W. Division	To install drywall partitions, teller counter	\$49,000
8	96004706	5/6/96	2610 W. Division	For tenant development of first floor	\$20,000
9	96005076	5/10/96	2233 W. Division St.	For new rooftop A/C units	\$30,000
10	829000	8/6/96	2511 W. North Ave.	To convert a church to hardware store	\$20,000
11	830617	8/29/96	2932 W. North Ave.	To erect detached eight-car garage	\$40,608
12	836537	11/25/96	2610 W. Division	To renovate façade	\$15,000
13	839246	1/16/97	2435 W. Division St.	To install six rooftop A/C units	\$3,000
14	844831	4/21/97	2838 W. North Ave.	To erect one-story restaurant	\$295,000
15	845310	4/29/97	2500 W. Walton St.	For installation of a new freight car gate	\$2,953
16	846103	5/8/97	1537 N. Western Ave.	To erect a four-story with basement	\$430,000
17	846663	5/15/97	2608 W. North Ave	To install one passenger elevator	\$29,250



18	850240	7/3/97	2751 W. Division	To install new fascia	\$27,000
19	850660	7/8/97	2233 W. Division St.	For mechanical-only addition	\$250,000
20	851621	7/15/97	3524 W. North Ave.	To install cast iron triple-catch basin in garage	\$7,800
21	853142	7/28/97	2440 W. North Ave.	For interior remodeling of one-hour photo shop	\$25,000
22	853641	7/31/97	3647 W. North Ave.	To erect and operate five riding devices	\$0
23	853750	8/1/97	2313 W. North Ave.	For construction of two new rooms	\$15,000
24	858316	Sept-97	3524 W. North Ave.	To install toilet room in auto repair garage	\$2,000
25	857091	9/12/97	2542 W. North Ave.	For tuckpoint and masonry repairs	\$19,800
26	861058	11/4/97	2958 W. North Ave.	To erect frame garage	\$11,900
27	862691	12/2/97	3756 W. North Ave	For interior alterations	\$32,000
28	864474	1/2/98	2233 W. Division St.	To furnish and install door restrictors	\$9,191
29	865078	1/20/98	2542 W. North Ave.	To create an interior non-structural area	\$336,000
30	867382	3/13/98	1340 N. Western Ave.	To replace drywall windows and floors	\$10,000
31	868232	3/26/98	2233 W. Division St.	To install Class "S" machinery room	\$200,000
32	868644	4/3/98	2650 W. Division	For one-story masonry retail space building	\$600
33	874810	7/2/98	3329 W. North Ave.	To install steel-case bullet resistance	\$7,500
34	875004	7/7/98	2650 W. Division	For tenant buildout of laundromat	\$5,000
35	877785	7/30/98	3647 W. North Ave.	To erect and operate six riding devices	\$450
36	878449	8/6/98	2211 W. North Ave.	For interior alteration to create a deli	\$10,000
37	887877	11/12/98	2550 W. North Ave.	For new vertical rise gates	\$7,800
38	887651	11/24/98	2558 W. Division	To divide store into two spaces	\$8,000

39	888816	12/14/98	2650 W. Division	To install three rooftop mechanical units	\$20,000
40	899296	2/14/99	2550 W. North Ave.	For interior alterations to medical center	\$137,000
41	892665	2/24/99	2709 W. Division	To remodel existing store	\$25,000
42	895294	4/8/99	2434 W. Division St.	To install a one-car gate for freight elevator	\$3,750
43	896188	4/19/99	2234 W. Augusta Blvd	To erect a two-car garage	\$8,300
44	896519	4/22/99	3228 W. North Ave.	To remodel second and third floors	\$100,000
45	905181	8/4/99	3647 W. North Ave.	To erect and operate nine riding devices	\$675
46	910106	9/30/99	2703 W. Division	To convert second-floor apartment to office	\$5,000
47	913449	11/16/99	2423 W. North Ave.	For interior alterations	\$40,000
48	924246	5/5/00	2610 W. Division	To renovate existing apartment	\$80,000
49	925501	5/19/00	1347 N. Western Ave.	For renovation of three existing apartments (addition of rooms)	\$412,000
50	930528	7/28/00	2508 W. Division St.	For installation of an A/C system	\$2,000
51	931623	8/15/00	3647 W. North Ave.	To erect and operate six riding devices	\$510
52	935957	10/19/00	2508 W. Division St.	To erect a four-story, three-dwelling unit	\$380,000
			<b>Total Permits</b>		<b>\$3,181,619</b>

**DEMOLITION PERMITS**

	Permit #	Date	Address	Investment
1	846143	5/9/97	1450 N. Western Ave.	\$14,500
2	847879	6/03/97	2612 W. Division	\$22,500

3	810530	8/31/95	2711 W. Division	\$0
4	844157	4/09/97	2840 W. Division	\$35,000
5	843907	4/4/97	2913 W. Division	\$24,500
6	930542	7/28/00	3225 W. North Ave	\$0
7	862267	11/21/97	3223 W. North Ave	\$142
			<b>Total Permits</b>	<b>\$74,142</b>

**EXHIBIT 2 - BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS**

1)	2538 W NORTH AV	1/19/93	45)	2549 W NORTH AV	4/10/95
2)	2543 W NORTH AV	1/19/93	46)	3134 W NORTH AV	4/21/95
3)	3709 W NORTH AV	1/19/93	47)	3228 W NORTH AV	4/21/95
4)	2721 W DIVISION ST	3/17/93	48)	3233 W NORTH AV	4/21/95
5)	1300 N WESTERN AV	3/29/93	49)	2629 W DIVISION ST	4/24/95
6)	1401 N WESTERN AV	3/29/93	50)	3553 W NORTH AV	4/24/95
7)	3224 W NORTH AV	4/29/93	51)	3613 W NORTH AV	4/24/95
8)	2401 W NORTH AV	5/20/93	52)	2609 W DIVISION ST	5/8/95
9)	2423 W NORTH AV	6/24/93	53)	2611 W DIVISION ST	5/8/95
10)	1402 N WESTERN AV	7/27/93	54)	2636 W DIVISION ST	5/8/95
11)	3614 W NORTH AV	8/25/93	55)	2640 W DIVISION ST	5/8/95
12)	2540 W NORTH AV	8/26/93	56)	2525 W DIVISION ST	5/11/95
13)	2716 W NORTH AV	9/1/93	57)	2627 W DIVISION ST	5/11/95
14)	2739 W DIVISION ST	9/3/93	58)	2527 W DIVISION ST	5/17/95
15)	1414 N WESTERN AV	10/15/93	59)	2651 W NORTH AV	5/17/95
16)	1458 N WESTERN AV	10/15/93	60)	2540 W DIVISION ST	5/22/95
17)	1304 N WESTERN AV	10/19/93	61)	2543 W DIVISION ST	5/22/95
18)	2707 W DIVISION ST	2/15/94	62)	3722 W NORTH AV	6/26/95
19)	1550 N KEDZIE AV	4/6/94	63)	2500 W NORTH AV	6/30/95
20)	3319 W NORTH AV	6/1/94	64)	2720 W NORTH AV	6/30/95
21)	2910 W NORTH AV	6/13/94	65)	2738 W NORTH AV	6/30/95
22)	2908 W NORTH AV	6/14/94	66)	1608 N ROCKWELL ST	7/5/95
23)	2542 W NORTH AV	6/24/94	67)	2614 W NORTH AV	7/5/95
24)	1418 N WESTERN AV	7/6/94	68)	2615 W NORTH AV	7/5/95
25)	1430 N WESTERN AV	7/14/94	69)	2646 W NORTH AV	7/5/95
26)	1500 N WESTERN AV	7/14/94	70)	1313 N WESTERN AV	7/13/95
27)	1434 N WESTERN AV	11/3/94	71)	1315 N WESTERN AV	7/13/95
28)	2706 W DIVISION ST	12/23/94	72)	1340 N WESTERN AV	7/13/95
29)	2631 W DIVISION ST	12/27/94	73)	1352 N WESTERN AV	7/13/95
30)	2648 W DIVISION ST	12/27/94	74)	1420 N WESTERN AV	7/13/95
31)	2711 W DIVISION ST	12/27/94	75)	1428 N WESTERN AV	7/14/95
32)	2659 W DIVISION ST	12/28/94	76)	1535 N WESTERN AV	7/14/95
33)	2743 W DIVISION ST	12/28/94	77)	1538 N WESTERN AV	7/18/95
34)	2845 W DIVISION ST	1/20/95	78)	1440 N WESTERN AV	7/19/95
35)	3447 W NORTH AV	1/31/95	79)	1507 N WESTERN AV	7/19/95
36)	2653 W NORTH AV	2/9/95	80)	3537 W NORTH AV	8/1/95
37)	3046 W NORTH AV	2/10/95	81)	3250 W NORTH AV	8/8/95
38)	2601 W NORTH AV	2/23/95	82)	2725 W DIVISION ST	8/23/95
39)	2603 W NORTH AV	2/23/95	83)	2718 W DIVISION ST	9/5/95
40)	1450 N WESTERN AV	3/3/95	84)	2620 W NORTH AV	9/18/95
41)	3636 W NORTH AV	3/20/95	85)	2425 W DIVISION ST	9/27/95
42)	1347 N WESTERN AV	3/24/95	86)	2751 W DIVISION ST	9/27/95
43)	2536 W NORTH AV	4/6/95	87)	2801 W DIVISION ST	9/27/95
44)	2547 W NORTH AV	4/10/95	88)	3603 W NORTH AV	9/29/95

89) 1617 N FAIRFIELD AV	10/5/95	139) 2507 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
90) 3705 W NORTH AV	10/10/95	140) 2509 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
91) 1452 N WESTERN AV	10/18/95	141) 2515 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
92) 2745 W DIVISION ST	10/18/95	142) 2517 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
93) 1529 N WESTERN AV	10/19/95	143) 2519 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
94) 3016 W NORTH AV	11/29/95	144) 2521 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
95) 3325 W NORTH AV	2/7/96	145) 2604 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
96) 3337 W NORTH AV	2/7/96	146) 2610 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
97) 2436 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	147) 2624 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
98) 2438 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	148) 2642 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
99) 2712 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	149) 2644 W NORTH AV	2/28/96
100) 2714 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	150) 1600 N WASHTENAW AV	2/29/96
101) 2716 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	151) 2541 W NORTH AV	2/29/96
102) 2724 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	152) 2545 W NORTH AV	2/29/96
103) 2726 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	153) 2557 W NORTH AV	2/29/96
104) 2734 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	154) 2559 W NORTH AV	2/29/96
105) 2736 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	155) 2625 W NORTH AV	2/29/96
106) 2738 W DIVISION ST	2/16/96	156) 2722 W NORTH AV	2/29/96
107) 2912 W NORTH AV	2/16/96	157) 2617 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
108) 2444 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	158) 2635 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
109) 2446 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	159) 2645 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
110) 2448 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	160) 2653 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
111) 2450 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	161) 2734 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
112) 2502 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	162) 2808 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
113) 2506 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	163) 2810 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
114) 2510 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	164) 2818 W NORTH AV	3/1/96
115) 2516 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	165) 2735 W NORTH AV	3/5/96
116) 2534 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	166) 2741 W NORTH AV	3/5/96
117) 2622 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	167) 2914 W NORTH AV	3/5/96
118) 2626 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	168) 3000 W NORTH AV	3/5/96
119) 2628 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	169) 3018 W NORTH AV	3/5/96
120) 2632 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	170) 3142 W NORTH AV	3/6/96
121) 2638 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	171) 3208 W NORTH AV	3/6/96
122) 2646 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	172) 3214 W NORTH AV	3/6/96
123) 2654 W DIVISION ST	2/20/96	173) 3231 W NORTH AV	3/6/96
124) 2536 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	174) 3237 W NORTH AV	3/6/96
125) 2542 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	175) 3218 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
126) 2546 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	176) 3220 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
127) 2548 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	177) 3230 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
128) 2550 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	178) 3234 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
129) 2552 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	179) 3251 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
130) 2556 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	180) 3252 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
131) 2600 W DIVISION ST	2/21/96	181) 3253 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
132) 1600 N WESTERN AV	2/26/96	182) 3259 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
133) 2412 W NORTH AV	2/26/96	183) 3260 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
134) 2418 W NORTH AV	2/26/96	184) 3262 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
135) 2411 W NORTH AV	2/27/96	185) 3300 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
136) 2419 W NORTH AV	2/27/96	186) 3304 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
137) 2425 W NORTH AV	2/27/96	187) 3311 W NORTH AV	3/7/96
138) 2501 W NORTH AV	2/28/96	188) 3335 W NORTH AV	3/7/96

189) 3347 W NORTH AV	3/7/96	239) 2740 W NORTH AV	6/1/96
190) 3349 W NORTH AV	3/7/96	240) 2814 W NORTH AV	6/1/96
191) 3409 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	241) 2727 W DIVISION ST	6/19/96
192) 3411 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	242) 2504 W DIVISION ST	6/20/96
193) 3417 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	243) 2642 W DIVISION ST	6/20/96
194) 3421 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	244) 3517 W NORTH AV	6/26/96
195) 3423 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	245) 2523 W NORTH AV	6/28/96
196) 3427 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	246) 3200 W NORTH AV	8/6/96
197) 3437 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	247) 2607 W DIVISION ST	8/14/96
198) 3439 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	248) 3612 W NORTH AV	9/10/96
199) 3441 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	249) 2703 W DIVISION ST	9/16/96
200) 3445 W NORTH AV	3/8/96	250) 2435 W DIVISION ST	9/18/96
201) 1606 N SPAULDING AV	3/11/96	251) 2511 W DIVISION ST	9/18/96
202) 3306 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	252) 2539 W DIVISION ST	9/18/96
203) 3310 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	253) 2630 W DIVISION ST	9/19/96
204) 3312 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	254) 2643 W DIVISION ST	9/19/96
205) 3332 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	255) 2709 W DIVISION ST	9/19/96
206) 3334 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	256) 2729 W DIVISION ST	9/20/96
207) 3338 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	257) 1551 N CALIFORNIA AV	9/24/96
208) 3356 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	258) 1311 N WESTERN AV	10/4/96
209) 3412 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	259) 1357 N WESTERN AV	10/4/96
210) 3449 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	260) 1305 N WESTERN AV	11/25/96
211) 3451 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	261) 3701 W NORTH AV	12/5/96
212) 3505 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	262) 2701 W NORTH AV	12/10/96
213) 3507 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	263) 2825 W DIVISION ST	12/16/96
214) 3509 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	264) 2429 W DIVISION ST	12/26/96
215) 3515 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	265) 2733 W DIVISION ST	1/6/97
216) 3519 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	266) 1406 N WESTERN AV	1/22/97
217) 3523 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	267) 2942 W NORTH AV	1/22/97
218) 3527 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	268) 1547 N WASHTENAW AV	2/5/97
219) 3533 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	269) 2519 W DIVISION ST	2/26/97
220) 3547 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	270) 1609 N ALBANY AV	3/7/97
221) 3551 W NORTH AV	3/11/96	271) 2700 W DIVISION ST	3/12/97
222) 3420 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	272) 2649 W NORTH AV	3/18/97
223) 3428 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	273) 1532 N WESTERN AV	3/31/97
224) 3430 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	274) 1553 N MONTICELLO AV	3/31/97
225) 3434 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	275) 3330 W NORTH AV	3/31/97
226) 3444 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	276) 3348 W NORTH AV	3/31/97
227) 3448 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	277) 3535 W NORTH AV	3/31/97
228) 3454 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	278) 1601 N LAWNSDALE AV	4/1/97
229) 3462 W NORTH AV	3/12/96	279) 1344 N WESTERN AV	4/2/97
230) 3414 W NORTH AV	3/13/96	280) 1353 N WESTERN AV	4/2/97
231) 2641 W DIVISION ST	3/21/96	281) 3638 W NORTH AV	4/15/97
232) 1603 N RICHMOND ST	3/26/96	282) 3640 W NORTH AV	4/15/97
233) 2415 W NORTH AV	3/27/96	283) 2827 W DIVISION ST	5/7/97
234) 1612 N TALMAN AV	3/28/96	284) 3541 W NORTH AV	5/8/97
235) 1520 N WESTERN AV	4/15/96	285) 1308 N WESTERN AV	5/19/97
236) 3622 W NORTH AV	5/7/96	286) 3707 W NORTH AV	5/30/97
237) 1524 N KEDZIE AV	5/15/96	287) 2932 W NORTH AV	6/20/97
238) 3221 W NORTH AV	5/21/96	288) 1328 N WESTERN AV	7/28/97

289) 3605 W NORTH AV	8/19/97	339) 1356 N WESTERN AV	11/23/99
290) 2846 W NORTH AV	8/28/97	340) 1400 N WESTERN AV	11/23/99
291) 1616 N WESTERN AV	9/2/97	341) 1432 N WESTERN AV	11/26/99
292) 1502 N WESTERN AV	9/15/97	342) 2618 W DIVISION ST	11/30/99
293) 1506 N WESTERN AV	12/19/97	343) 2828 W NORTH AV	12/13/99
294) 1537 N WESTERN AV	1/12/98	344) 1540 N WESTERN AV	12/20/99
295) 1523 N WESTERN AV	1/14/98	345) 1358 N WESTERN AV	1/13/00
296) 2605 W NORTH AV	1/20/98	346) 2746 W DIVISION ST	3/8/00
297) 3611 W NORTH AV	2/9/98	347) 1609 N MOZART ST	3/30/00
298) 3601 W NORTH AV	2/21/98	348) 1326 N WESTERN AV	4/17/00
299) 1431 N WESTERN AV	4/8/98	349) 1349 N WESTERN AV	8/9/00
300) 1514 N WESTERN AV	4/8/98		
301) 1518 N WESTERN AV	4/8/98		
302) 3340 W NORTH AV	4/14/98		
303) 3354 W NORTH AV	4/15/98		
304) 3406 W NORTH AV	4/15/98		
305) 3422 W NORTH AV	4/15/98		
306) 3456 W NORTH AV	4/15/98		
307) 3604 W NORTH AV	4/15/98		
308) 3110 W NORTH AV	4/20/98		
309) 1609 N CALIFORNIA AV	4/25/98		
310) 3610 W NORTH AV	5/15/98		
311) 2815 W DIVISION ST	5/28/98		
312) 2427 W DIVISION ST	6/8/98		
313) 1530 N KEDZIE AV	7/16/98		
314) 2522 W DIVISION ST	8/7/98		
315) 2511 W NORTH AV	8/31/98		
316) 2550 W NORTH AV	8/31/98		
317) 1613 N HUMBOLDT BV	9/18/98		
318) 2656 W NORTH AV	9/30/98		
319) 2712 W NORTH AV	9/30/98		
320) 1626 N WHIPPLE ST	10/20/98		
321) 1616 N WHIPPLE ST	12/8/98		
322) 2650 W DIVISION ST	12/8/98		
323) 1336 N WESTERN AV	12/30/98		
324) 1551 N ST LOUIS AV	2/25/99		
325) 1316 N WESTERN AV	4/13/99		
326) 2744 W DIVISION ST	4/29/99		
327) 3038 W NORTH AV	6/22/99		
328) 3136 W NORTH AV	6/22/99		
329) 3258 W NORTH AV	6/23/99		
330) 2517 W DIVISION ST	7/6/99		
331) 2605 W DIVISION ST	8/9/99		
332) 2649 W DIVISION ST	10/20/99		
333) 2651 W DIVISION ST	10/21/99		
334) 2435 W NORTH AV	10/27/99		
335) 2541 W DIVISION ST	10/27/99		
336) 2547 W DIVISION ST	10/27/99		
337) 2735 W DIVISION ST	10/28/99		
338) 1341 N WESTERN AV	11/23/99		

**EXHIBIT 3 - DISTRIBUTION OF CRITERIA MATRIX**

	Block	Age	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1.	13-35-326			X	X	X					X	X			
2.	13-35-327	X	P	X	X	P					X	X			
3.	13-35-328	X	X	X	X	P					X	X			
4.	13-35-418	X		X	X						X	X			
5.	13-35-419	X		X	X						X	X			
6.	13-35-420	P		X	X	X					X	X			
7.	13-35-421	X		X	X	X		X			X	X			
8.	13-35-422	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
9.	13-35-423	X		X	X	X					X	X			
10.	13-36-324	X		X	X	X		X			X	X			
11.	13-36-325	X		X	X	X					X	X			
12.	13-36-326	X		X	X	X					X	X			
13.	13-36-327	P	P	X	X	X		P			X	X			
14.	13-36-328	X		X	X	P					X	X			
15.	13-36-329	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
16.	13-36-330	X		P	P	X					P	X			
17.	13-36-331	X	P	X	X	X		P			X	X			
18.	13-36-425	X		X	X	X		X			X	X			
19.	13-36-426	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
20.	13-36-427	X	P	X	X	X		X			X	X			
21.	13-36-428	X	P	X	X	X		P			X	X			
22.	13-36-429	X		X	X	X					X	X			
23.	13-36-430	X		X	X	P					X	X			
24.	13-36-431				X						P	X			
25.	13-36-432	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
26.	14-31-326	X		X	X			P			X	X			

**Key** X Present to a Major Extent  
P Present  
Not Present

- Criteria**
1. Dilapidation
  2. Obsolescence
  3. Deterioration
  4. Presence of structures below minimum code standards
  5. Illegal use of individual structures
  6. Excessive vacancies
  7. Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities
  8. Inadequate utilities
  9. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities.
  10. Deleterious land use or layout
  11. Environmental clean-up
  12. Lack of community planning
  13. EAV comparison



**EXHIBIT 3 - DISTRIBUTION OF CRITERIA MATRIX  
(CONTINUED PAGE 2)**

	Block	Age	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
27.	16-1-200	X	P	X	X	X					X	X			
28.	16-1-201	P		X	X	X					X	X			
29.	16-1-202	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
30.	16-1-203	X		X	X	X					X	X			
31.	16-1-204	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
32.	16-1-205	X	P	X	X	X					X	X			
33.	16-1-206	X	P	X	X	X					X	X			
34.	16-1-207	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
35.	16-1-215	X		X	X	X					X	X			
36.	16-1-221	X		X	X			P			X	X			
37.	16-1-226	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
38.	16-1-227	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
39.	16-1-228	X		X	X			P			X	X			
40.	16-1-229	X		X	X							X			
41.	16-1-230	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
42.	16-1-231	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
43.	16-1-304	X	P	X	X	X						X			
44.	16-1-400	X	P	X	X	X					X	X			
45.	16-1-401	P		X	X	X		P			X	X			
46.	16-1-402	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
47.	16-1-403	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
48.	16-2-105	X		X	X	X		X			X	X			
49.	16-2-106	X	P	P	X						X	X			
50.	16-2-107	X	X	X	X	X					X	X			

**Key** X Present to a Major Extent  
P Present  
Not Present

**Criteria**

1. Dilapidation
2. Obsolescence
3. Deterioration
4. Presence of structures below minimum code standards
5. Illegal use of individual structures
6. Excessive vacancies
7. Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities
8. Inadequate utilities
9. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities.
10. Deleterious land use or layout
11. Environmental clean-up
12. Lack of community planning
13. EAV comparison


**EXHIBIT 3 - DISTRIBUTION OF CRITERIA MATRIX  
(CONTINUED PAGE 3)**

	Block	Age	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
51.	16-2-200	X	P	X	X	X		P			X	X			
52.	16-2-201	X		X	X	X					X	X			
53.	16-2-202	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
54.	16-2-203	X		X	X	X		P			X	X			
55.	17-6-100	X	P	X	X	X					X	X			
56.	17-6-108	X			X	P					P	P			
57.	17-6-109	P			X						X	X			
58.	17-6-114	X	P	X	X	X		P			P	X			
59.	17-6-122				X										
60.	17-6-123				X										
61.	17-6-300				X										

**Key**        X    Present to a Major Extent  
                  P    Present  
                      Not Present

**Criteria**

1. Dilapidation
2. Obsolescence
3. Deterioration
4. Presence of structures below minimum code standards
5. Illegal use of individual structures
6. Excessive vacancies
7. Lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities
8. Inadequate utilities
9. Excessive land coverage and overcrowding of structures and community facilities.
10. Deleterious land use or layout
11. Environmental clean-up
12. Lack of community planning
13. EAV comparison



**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

**MAP 1 - PROJECT BOUNDARY**


- BOUNDARY LINE**
- ADJACENT TIF**

SCALE: NTS

DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001









LOUIE / SCHEIDT AND ASSOCIATES, INC.  
Registered Professional Engineer No. 000000000





**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**


**MAP 2 - EXISTING LAND USE**


-  **COMMERCIAL**
-  **RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL**
-  **INSTITUTIONAL**
-  **RESIDENTIAL**
-  **VACANT LOT**
-  **INDUSTRIAL**
-  **BOUNDARY LINE**
-  **ADJACENT TIF**
- SCALE: NTS**
- DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001**

**ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE, INC.**

**MACONDO**


**ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE, INC.**







**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**  
 ELIGIBILITY FACTORS  
 MAP 3 - AGE-OVER 35 YRS.  
 [Cross-hatch pattern] AGE - OVER 35 YRS.  
 [White box] VACANT & BLDG. NOT OVER 35 YRS.  
 [Thick black line] BOUNDARY LINE  
 [Thin black line] ADJACENT TIF  
 SCALE: NTS  
 DATE: JANUARY 22,2001


LOVE / SCHEPERS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.  
 [Logo] **MACONDO**  
 [North arrow symbol]



**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**  
 ELIGIBILITY FACTORS  
 MAP 4 - OBSELESCENCE

 OBSELESCENCE

 BOUNDARY LINE

 ADJACENT TIF


SCALE: NTS  
 DATE: JANUARY 22,2000

LOUIE / SCHNEIDER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.




Telephone (773) 488-8888 Fax (773) 488-8887



11 East State Street, Suite 1000 Chicago, Illinois 60601  
 Telephone (773) 488-8888 Fax (773) 488-8887




**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

ELIGIBILITY FACTORS	
MAP 5 - DETERIORATION	
	DETERIORATION
	BOUNDARY LINE
	ADJACENT TIF
SCALE: NTS	
DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001	

LOUIE / SCHEIDT AND ASSOCIATES, INC.





**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**

ELIGIBILITY FACTORS

MAP 6 - DELETERIOUS



DELETERIOUS



BOUNDARY LINE



ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS

DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001

LOUIE / SCHNEIDER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Mapes 040 00 000 Planes 040 00 000




MACONDO





10 East North Branch, Suite 1000 Chicago, Illinois 60610  
 Telephone 312 467-0000 Facsimile 312 467-0000








**HUMBOLDT PARK TIF**  
 ELIGIBILITY FACTORS  
 MAP 7 - EXCES. COVERAGE

 EXCES. COVERAGE

 BOUNDARY LINE

 ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS  
 DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001

LOUIS / SCHENKLER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.  
  
 MACONDO copy  
11111 - Humboldt Park TIF / Tractage / Shade / Thru / Thru / 1111 - Standard Coverage

### EXHIBIT 4 - MAP LEGEND

MAP 1	PROJECT BOUNDARY
MAP 2	EXISTING LAND USE
MAP 3	AGE
MAP 4	OBSOLESCENCE
MAP 5	DETERIORATION
MAP 6	DELETERIOUS LAND USE AND LAYOUT
MAP 7	EXCESSIVE LAND COVERAGE

**EXHIBIT 4 - HOUSING IMPACT STUDY**

**CITY OF CHICAGO**

**HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL**

**TAX INCREMENT FINANCE PROGRAM**

**HOUSING IMPACT STUDY**

**CITY OF CHICAGO  
RICHARD M. DALEY  
MAYOR**

**JANUARY 22, 2001**

**PREPARED BY:  
LOUIK/SCHNEIDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
MACONDO CORP.  
CAGIS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO**

**HUMBOLDT PARK COMMERCIAL  
HOUSING IMPACT STUDY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

PART I - RESIDENTIAL UNITS..... 3

    A. RESIDENTIAL UNIT NUMBER AND TYPE ..... 3

    B. RESIDENTIAL UNIT DETAIL..... 3

    METHODOLOGY ..... 3

    C. NUMBER OF INHABITED UNITS ..... 5

    D. DEMOGRAPHICS..... 5

    METHODOLOGY ..... 6

PART II – UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED ..... 8

    A. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED ..... 8

    METHODOLOGY ..... 8

    B. RELOCATION PROGRAM ..... 9

    C. REPLACEMENT HOUSING..... 9

    D. RELOCATION ASSISTANCE ..... 11

    METHODOLOGY ..... 12

APPENDIX..... 14

EXHIBIT 1 - UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED..... 15

## INTRODUCTION

Louik/Schneider and Associates, Inc. has been retained by the City of Chicago (the "City") to conduct a Housing Impact Study for the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Financing Program Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Plan") pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, in the Illinois Compiled Statutes, Chapter 65, Article 5, Section 11-74.4-1, et. seq., as amended (the "Act"). The Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area is irregularly shaped and generally includes both sides of North Avenue between North Ridgeway and North Claremont; both sides of North Western Avenue between North Avenue and West Haddon, extending west to North Oakley between West LeMoyné and West Hirsch and between West Potomac and West Haddon; and both sides of West Division between North Oakley and North Mozart.

This report summarizes the analyses and findings of the consultants' work, which is the responsibility of Louik/Schneider and Associates, Inc., Macondo Corp. and CAGIS (Chicago Area Geographical Information Study), an organization located within the University of Illinois at Chicago.

The Redevelopment Project Area is located within the Humboldt Park and West Town community areas of the City. The demographical and statistical information presented in this study was obtained from 1990 United States Census data compiled by CAGIS. CAGIS is a reliable source of small area data, having established working agreements with the US Bureau of the Census, the Illinois State Data Center Cooperative and agencies of State and local government. The demographic data report used was *Humboldt Park Commercial TIF Census Data Report*, prepared by CAGIS in December 2000.

As set forth in the Act, if the redevelopment plan for a redevelopment project area would result in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and the City does not certify at that time that no displacement of residents will occur, the municipality shall prepare a housing impact study and incorporate the study in the Plan.

The number and type of residential buildings in the Redevelopment Project Area potentially affected by this Plan were identified during the survey of building conditions and land use conducted as part of the eligibility analysis for the Redevelopment Project Area. An estimate of the number of residential units within each building, and whether such residential units were inhabited or uninhabited, was based on a number of analytical tools including, where appropriate, physical building surveys, Cook County tax assessment records and census data. As of December 9, 2000, the Redevelopment Project Area contained approximately 569 residential units, of which 529 are inhabited and 40 uninhabited.

The goal of the Plan is not to displace existing residents. The primary goal of the Plan is to promote rehabilitation and redevelopment of all existing land uses, which include residential, commercial, institutional and open space uses. However, the City is unable to certify that no displacement of residents will occur throughout the 23-year life of the Redevelopment Project

Area. Therefore, based on the requirement of the Act, this housing impact study contains the following parts:

Part I herein identifies the residential units in number and type, indicating whether they are inhabited or uninhabited and the racial and ethnic composition of the residents. Specifically, the housing impact study shall provide the following:

- 1) Information from field surveys and census data regarding residential units, to establish if they are single-family or multi-family units;
- 2) documentation of the number and type of rooms within the units, provided that information is available;
- 3) documentation of whether the units are inhabited or uninhabited (as determined not less than 45 days before the Plan is considered by the Community Development Commission); and
- 4) data regarding the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units. (This data requirement shall be deemed fully satisfied if it is based on data from the most recent federal census.)

Part II herein identifies the inhabited residential units in the proposed redevelopment project area that may be removed, including:

- 1) The number and location of those units that may be removed;
- 2) the municipality's plans for relocation assistance for those residents in the proposed redevelopment project area whose residences may be removed;
- 3) the availability of replacement housing for those residents whose residences may be removed, and the type, location, and cost of the housing; and
- 4) the type and extent of relocation assistance to be provided.

## **PART I - RESIDENTIAL UNITS**

Part I of this study provides the type, size and number of residential units within the Redevelopment Project Area; the number of inhabited and uninhabited units; and the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units.

### **A. RESIDENTIAL UNIT NUMBER AND TYPE**

Field studies conducted by Macondo Corp. indicate the Redevelopment Project Area contains both residential-only and mixed-use residential/commercial buildings, primarily second- and third-floor residential units above commercial uses. Within the area, there are 142 buildings with one residential unit and 171 buildings with more than one residential unit for a total of 313 buildings with 569 residential units.

### **B. RESIDENTIAL UNIT DETAIL**

The distribution within the Redevelopment Project Area of the 569 residential units by number of rooms, by number of bedrooms and with kitchen and plumbing facilities is identified in the following Tables 1-3, respectively. The methodology used to determine this information is described below.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

For purposes of this study, data has been gathered by CAGIS from the 1990 United States Census and is represented in Block Groups. A Block Group is a combination of census blocks (a census block is the smallest entity for which the Census Bureau collects and tabulates 100-percent data). The Block Group is the lowest level of geography for which the Census Bureau has tabulated sample, or long-form, data. In this study, we have relied on 1990 federal census sample data because it is the best available information regarding the structures and residents of the Redevelopment Project Area. We have obtained information for the eight Block Groups in which the Redevelopment Project Area lies, containing a total of 3,445 residential units.

Since the number of residential units within the Redevelopment Project Area represents 16.5% of the total residential units within the eight Block Groups (569 units = 16.5% of 3,445 units), that percentage has been applied consistently to estimate the distributions presented in the tables below, which have been rounded to the nearest whole number. For example, the number of one-room units in all the block groups is 41. Sixteen and one-half percent of this total, representing the Redevelopment Project Area, is 7 ( $41 \times .165 = 6.97$ ).

Table 1 shows the number (rounded to the nearest whole number) of residential units in the Redevelopment Project Area, by number of rooms.



**TABLE 1 - RESIDENTIAL UNITS BY NUMBER OF ROOMS\***

Number of Rooms**	Number of Units within All Block Groups	Number of Units within Redevelopment Project Area
1	41	7
2	111	18
3	259	43
4	862	142
5	850	140
6	985	164
7	201	33
8	37	6
9+	99	16
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,445</b>	<b>569</b>

\*Information for Table 1 was obtained from Humboldt Park Commercial TIF Census Data Report, prepared by CAGIS, University of Illinois at Chicago, December 2000.

\*\* As defined by the Census Bureau, for each unit, rooms include living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, finished recreation rooms, enclosed porches suitable for year-round use, and lodger's rooms. Excluded are strip or Pullman kitchens, bathrooms, open porches, balconies, halls or foyers, half-rooms, utility rooms, unfinished attics or basements, or other unfinished space used for storage. A partially divided room is a separate room only if there is a partition from floor to ceiling, but not if the partition consists solely of shelves or cabinets.

Tables 2 and 3 describe the types of rooms that are located within the residential units of the Redevelopment Project Area.

**TABLE 2 - RESIDENTIAL UNITS BY NUMBER OF BEDROOMS\***

Number of Bedrooms**	Number of Units within All Block Groups	Number of Units within Redevelopment Project Area
None	89	15
1	410	68
2	1395	229
3	1294	214
4	204	34
5 or more	53	9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,445</b>	<b>569</b>

\*Information for Table 2 was obtained from Humboldt Park Commercial TIF Census Data Report,

prepared by CAGIS, University of Illinois at Chicago, December 2000.

\*\*As defined by the Census Bureau, number of bedrooms includes all rooms intended for use as bedrooms even if they are currently used for some other purpose. A housing unit consisting of only one room, such as a one-room efficiency apartment, is classified, by definition, as having no bedroom.

**TABLE 3 - RESIDENTIAL UNITS WITH KITCHEN AND PLUMBING FACILITIES\***

Facility	Number of Units in all Block Groups	Number of Units within Redevelopment Project Area
Kitchen**	3,352	556
Plumbing***	3,285	544

\*Information for Table 3 was obtained from Humboldt Park Commercial TIF Census Data Report, prepared by CAGIS, University of Illinois at Chicago, December 2000.

\*\*As defined by the Census Bureau, a unit has complete kitchen facilities when it has all of the following: (1) an installed sink with piped water, (2) a range, cook top and convection or microwave oven, or cookstove, and (3) a refrigerator. All kitchen facilities must be located in the structure. They need not be in the same room. Portable cooking equipment is not considered a range or cookstove. An ice box is not considered to be a refrigerator.

\*\*\*As defined by the Census Bureau, complete plumbing facilities include hot and cold piped water, a flush toilet, and a bathtub or shower. All three facilities must be located inside the house, apartment, or mobile home, but not necessarily in the same room. Housing units are classified as lacking complete plumbing facilities when any of the three facilities are not present.

### **C. NUMBER OF INHABITED UNITS**

Field surveys were completed on a building-by-building basis by Macondo Corp. to determine the total number of inhabited and uninhabited residential units within the Redevelopment Project Area. As required by the Act, this information was ascertained as of December 9, 2000, which is not less than 45 days before the date that the resolution, required by Subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5, is or will be passed.

Field surveys indicate that of 569 residential units, 529 are inhabited and 40 uninhabited.

### **D. DEMOGRAPHICS**

As required by the Act, the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units was determined according to the most recent federal census data, as compiled by CAGIS. Table 4 identifies the estimated number of residents in the Redevelopment Project Area, according to the methodology stated below.

**METHODOLOGY**

The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has determined a family size adjustment rate based on the number of bedrooms in a unit. This rate was applied to unit totals, in order to estimate the number of persons living in the Redevelopment Project Area. Estimates are indicated in Table 4 below. Currently, 2,044 persons reside in the Redevelopment Project Area.

**TABLE 4 – NUMBER OF RESIDENTS\***

Number of Bedrooms	Units in Redevelopment Project Area	Family Size Adjustment (persons per unit)*	Estimated Number of Residents within Redevelopment Project Area (Number of Units x Family Size Adjustment)
None	15	1	15
1	68	1.5	102
2	231	3	693
3	214	4.5	963
4	34	6	204
5 or more	9	7.5	67
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2,044</b>

\*Information for Table 4 was obtained from the Family Size Adjustment Rate, provided by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1990.

Racial and ethnic composition data has been gathered by CAGIS from the 1990 United States Census for the eight Block Groups in which the Redevelopment Project Area lies, or a total of 11,252 residents. Tables 5 and 6 further identify the residents of the eight block groups by racial and ethnic composition, based upon federal census data, and estimate the racial and ethnic composition of the 2,044 residents of the Redevelopment Project Area.

For example, census data indicates there are 1095 African-Americans, or 9.7% of the total number of residents, in all eight Block Groups. By applying this percentage to 2,044 and rounding the total to the nearest whole number, we can estimate there are 198 African-Americans among the 2,044 residents of the Redevelopment Project Area (2044 x .097 = 198.268).

**TABLE 5 – RACIAL COMPOSITION \***

<b>Race</b>	<b>1990 Census Percentage</b>	<b>2000 Estimated Number of Residents within Redevelopment Project Area</b>
African-American	9.7%	198
Asian	1.2%	24
Native American	1.8%	37
White	31.4%	642
Other Race	55.9%	1143
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,044</b>

**TABLE 6 - ETHNIC COMPOSITION \***

<b>Ethnicity</b>	<b>1990 Census Percentage</b>	<b>2000 Estimated Number of Residents within Redevelopment Project Area</b>
Hispanic Origin	77%	1,581
Non-Hispanic Origin	23%	463
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,044</b>

\* Information for Tables 5 and 6 was obtained from Humboldt Park Commercial TIF Census Data Report, prepared by CAGIS, University of Illinois at Chicago, December 2000.

## **PART II – UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED**

(OVER THE 23-YEAR LIFE OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA)

Part II contains, as required by the Act, information on any acquisition, relocation program, replacement housing and relocation assistance.

### **A. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED**

The primary goal of the Plan is to encourage maintenance, restoration and reuse of existing structures, to the maximum extent feasible. The establishment of the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area is intended to foster the growth of the current community, and build upon existing stable businesses. Although the Plan does not anticipate removing any of the Redevelopment Project Area's 529 inhabited residential units, the Redevelopment Project Area does contain a number of dilapidated buildings, some with residential uses that are on blocks that also exhibit at least six other blighting factors. Dilapidation can gravely affect a building's safety and desirability and is the most severe of blighting factors. Accordingly, it is reasonable to conclude that dilapidated buildings may be targeted for redevelopment by developers, which may result in the removal of inhabited residential units.

Since no development proposals for the Redevelopment Project Area have been submitted to the City, it is impossible to determine whether the redevelopment or demolition of these buildings and the removal of any of their inhabited residential units would stem from projects that receive tax increment assistance (or other public projects that are implemented in furtherance of this Plan).

Hence, there is a possibility that over the 23-year life of the Redevelopment Project Area, some inhabited residential units may be removed as a result of implementing this Plan. In order to meet the statutory requirement of defining the number and location of inhabited residential units that may be removed, a methodology was derived that would provide a rough, yet reasonable, estimate. This methodology is described below.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The methodology used to fulfill the statutory requirements of defining the number and location of inhabited residential units that may be removed involves three steps.

1. Step one counts all inhabited residential units previously identified on any underlying acquisition maps. For this purpose, the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area (as described in Section II-C of the Plan) was reviewed. However, the majority of the parcels identified for acquisition were vacant lots, vacant buildings or parcels that had been improved since the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Area Acquisition Map was adopted. Therefore, the number of inhabited residential units that may be removed in this step is 2.

2. Step two counts the number of inhabited residential units contained on parcels that are dilapidated as defined by the Act. From field surveys conducted by Macondo Corp., we have

identified parcels on which: 1) dilapidation is present so that, within 23 years, existing structures may be demolished or rehabilitated, and therefore may result in the removal of inhabited residential units; and 2) there also exists six or more eligibility factors in addition to age and dilapidation (representing the highest number of eligibility factors on blocks in the Redevelopment Project Area). Therefore, the number of inhabited residential units that may be removed in this step is 39.

3. Step three counts the number of inhabited residential units that exist where the future land use indicated by the Plan will not include residential uses. In the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area, the future land use in the Plan for the existing inhabited residential units will not change. Therefore, the number of units inhabited residential units that may be removed in this step is 0.

Exhibit 2 - *Map of Units That May Be Removed* identifies the 41 occupied units (that is the sum of the units found in Steps 1-3 above), in 19 buildings on eight blocks of the Redevelopment Project Area, that could potentially be removed during the 23-year life of the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, the specific parcels by PIN, are listed in Exhibit 1 of this study.

## **B. RELOCATION PROGRAM**

If, during the life of the 23-year tax increment financing district, the acquisition plans change, the City's plans for relocation assistance for qualified residents in the proposed Redevelopment Project Area shall be consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 11-74.4-3(n)(7) of the Act. The terms and conditions of such assistance are described in Section D below. The City, as of the date of this report, has prepared no specific relocation plan because it is not the intent of the City to acquire any occupied residential units within the Redevelopment Project Area.

## **C. REPLACEMENT HOUSING**

In accordance with Section 11-74.4-3(n)(7) of the Act, the City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that affordable replacement housing for any qualified displaced residents whose residence is removed is located in or near the Redevelopment Project Area.

To promote development of affordable housing, the Redevelopment Plan requires that developers who receive tax increment financing assistance for market-rate housing are to set aside at least 20 percent of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Housing. Generally, this means the affordable for-sale units should be priced at a level that is affordable to households earning no more than 120 percent of the area median income (adjusted for family size), and affordable rental units should be affordable to households earning no more than 80 percent of the area median income (adjusted for family size).

If, during the life of the 23-year tax increment financing district, the acquisition plans change, appropriate replacement housing can be found in either the Redevelopment Project Area or the surrounding West Town/Humboldt Park community area.

The location, type, cost and availability of a sample of possible replacement housing units located in the City's West Town/Humboldt Park community area are listed in Table 7. The West

Town/Humboldt Park community area is generally bounded by Bloomingdale Avenue on the north, Grand Avenue on the south, the North Branch of the Chicago River on the east and Cicero Avenue on the west. The information presented is based on classified advertisements and Internet listings from the *Chicago Tribune*, *Chicago Reader* and *Apartments.com*, the Northern Illinois Multiple Listing Service and a telephone verification survey conducted during the week of January 15, 2001. It is important to note, however, that Chicago has a rental cycle that turns over in greater volume on May 1 and October 1 of each year. The majority of apartments in the City are available during the months prior to those dates. Therefore, housing ads placed at these times would likely reflect a wider variety of rental rates, unit sizes and locations.

**TABLE 7 - LOCATION, TYPE, COST AND AVAILABILITY OF REPLACEMENT HOUSING UNITS\***

	Address	Type	Approx. Size (sq. ft.)	Utilities included in rental price	Rental Price	Availability	Community Area
1.	1653 N. Fairfield	One-bedroom	NA		\$750	ASAP	Humboldt Park
2.	1653 N. Fairfield	Two-bedroom	NA		\$825	ASAP	Humboldt Park
3.	1421 N. California	Two-bedroom	1,200		\$1,600	ASAP	Humboldt Park
4.	2000 N. California	Two-bedroom	1,250	Gas	\$1,300	ASAP	Humboldt Park
5.	2100 N. Campbell	Two-bedroom	1,400		\$1,450	Feb. 1	Humboldt Park
6.	1306 W. Spaulding	Two-bedroom	750		\$795	ASAP	Humboldt Park
7.	3303 W. Crystal	Three-bedroom	1,200		\$1,100	ASAP	Humboldt Park
8.	1108 N. Wolcott	Three-bedroom	NA		\$950	ASAP	West Town
9.	3000 W. Grand	Three-bedroom	1,500		\$1,000	ASAP	West Town
10.	1713 N. Albany	Three-bedroom	2,000		\$1,200	ASAP	Humboldt Park
11.	2119 W. North	Four bedroom	NA		\$775	ASAP	Humboldt Park
12.	2028 W. Potomac	Four-bedroom	2,000	Heat/Gas	\$1,700	ASAP	Humboldt Park
13.	929 N. Fairfield	Four-bedroom	1,600		\$1,800	ASAP	Humboldt Park
14.	1402 N. Bell	Four-bedroom	NA		\$1,600	ASAP	Humboldt Park

\*Information is based on an *Apartments.com*, *Chicago Tribune* and *Chicago Reader* classified sample, the Northern Illinois Multiple Listing Service and a telephone verification survey, conducted during the week of January 15, 2001.

**D. RELOCATION ASSISTANCE**

At the present time, there are no plans to acquire residential housing units as part of the Plan. However, if the removal or displacement of low-income or very low-income residential housing units is required, such residents will be provided with affordable housing and relocation assistance under the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations thereunder, including the eligibility criteria. The City shall make a good-faith effort to ensure that affordable replacement housing for the aforementioned households is located in or near the Redevelopment Project Area.

As used in the paragraph above, "low-income household," "very low-income household" and "affordable housing" have the meanings set forth in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, 310 ILCS 65/3. As of the date of this study, these statutory terms have the following



meanings:

- (i) "Low-income household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is more than 50 percent but less than 80 percent of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as such adjusted and median incomes are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") for purposes of Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937;
- (ii) "very low-income household" means a single person, family or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is not more than 50 percent of the median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as so determined by HUD; and
- (iii) "affordable housing" is residential housing that, so long as the same is occupied by a low-income or very low-income household, requires payment of monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, of no more than 30 percent of the maximum allowable income for such households as applicable.

## METHODOLOGY

In order to determine the number of households in the Redevelopment Project Area that are moderate-, low-, and very low-income, we have based such estimates on data gathered by CAGIS from the 1990 United States Census, represented in Block Groups. We have obtained information for the eight Block Groups in which the Redevelopment Project Area lies, containing a total of 3,445 residential units. We have determined the following numbers for the 569 residential units in the Redevelopment Project Area, representing 16.5% of the Block Group Totals.

Census information as applied to the Redevelopment Project Area reveals:

- 264 households (51.6%) have an income under \$17,500.
- 147 households (28.8%) have an income between \$17,500 and \$34,999.
- 100 households (19.5%) have an income of \$35,000 or more.

Census information as applied to the Redevelopment Project Area also reveals:

- 77 occupied residential units (14.5%) have 1 or no bedrooms, or an adjusted family size of 1-1.5 persons. (We can assume a household of 1 to 2.)

<i>To be considered:</i>	<i>A household of this size will have an annual income of:</i>
very low-income	\$14,600 to \$16,700
low-income	\$23,350 to \$26,700
moderate-income	\$35,050 to \$40,050

- 414 occupied residential units (78.3%) have 2 or 3 bedrooms, or an adjusted family size of 3-4.5 persons. (We can assume a family of 3 to 5.)

<i>To be considered:</i>	<i>A household of this size will have an annual income of:</i>
very low-income	\$18,750 to \$22,500
low-income	\$30,000 to \$35,450
moderate-income	\$45,050 to \$54,050

- 40 occupied residential units (7.5%) have 4 or more bedrooms, or an adjusted family size of 6-7.5 persons. (We can assume a household of 6 to 8.)

<i>To be considered:</i>	<i>A household of this size will have an annual income of:</i>
very low-income	\$24,200 to \$27,500
low-income	\$37,500 to \$41,700
moderate-income	\$58,050 to \$66,050

**APPENDIX**

### EXHIBIT 1 - UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED


The following is a list of PINs of the buildings that contain residential units that could possibly be removed over the 23-year life of the Redevelopment Project Area.

1. 13-35-327-042
2. 13-36-327-028
3. 13-36-327-029
4. 13-36-331-038
5. 13-36-427-014
6. 13-36-427-036
7. 16-01-202-051
8. 16-01-205-002
9. 16-02-200-006
10. 16-02-200-010
11. 16-02-200-011
12. 16-02-200-016
13. 16-02-200-017
14. 16-02-201-015
15. 16-02-201-018
16. 16-02-201-019
17. 16-02-203-006
18. 17-06-100-015

### EXHIBIT 1 - UNITS THAT MAY BE REMOVED


The following is a list of PINs of the buildings that contain residential units that could possibly be removed over the 23-year life of the Redevelopment Project Area.



1. 13-35-327-042
2. 13-36-327-028
3. 13-36-327-029
4. 13-36-331-038
5. 13-36-427-014
6. 13-36-427-036
7. 13-36-427-039
8. 16-01-202-051
9. 16-01-205-002
10. 16-02-200-006
11. 16-02-200-010
12. 16-02-200-011
13. 16-02-200-016
14. 16-02-200-017
15. 16-02-201-015
16. 16-02-201-018
17. 16-02-201-019
18. 16-02-203-006
19. 17-06-100-015



### HUMBOLDT PARK TIF

HOUSING IMPACT STUDY MAP

 UNITS THAT MAYBE REMOVED

 BOUNDARY LINE  
 ADJACENT TIF

SCALE: NTS  
 DATE: JANUARY 22, 2001

LOUIX / SCHNEIDER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

MapScale 1/8" = 100' Date: 1/22/01

MapScale 1/8" = 100' Date: 1/22/01

**MACONDO**  
 corp.



1100 North Dearborn, Suite 200 Chicago, Illinois 60610  
 Telephone: (773) 327-1100 Fax: (773) 327-1101

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)**

During 2001, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

**Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area  
2001 Annual Report**

---

**(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)**

Please see attached.



STATE OF ILLINOIS     )  
  )  
COUNTY OF COOK     )

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes  
Comptroller of the State of Illinois  
James R. Thompson Center  
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
Attention: Carol Reckamp, Director of Local  
Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer  
City Colleges of Chicago  
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Rm. 1149  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director  
Cook County Department of Planning &  
Development  
69 West Washington Street, Room 2900  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
Attn: Kay Kosmal

Dean L. Viverito, Comptroller  
Forest Preserve District of Cook County  
536 North Harlem Avenue  
River Forest, Illinois 60305  
Attn: Barbara McKinzie

Michael Koldyke, Chairman  
Chicago School Finance Authority  
135 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 3800  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

David Doig, General Superintendent & CEO  
Chicago Park District  
541 N. Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer  
Chicago Board of Education  
125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Mary West, Director of Finance  
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of  
Greater Chicago  
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429  
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Lawrence Gulotta, Treasurer  
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement  
District  
155th & Dixie Highway  
P.O. Box 1030  
Harvey, Illinois 60426  
Attn: Dr. K. Lime, Manager

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq., (the "Act") with regard to the Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:


1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2001, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 28th day of June, 2002.

  
Richard M. Daley, Mayor  
City of Chicago, Illinois

**Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area  
2001 Annual Report**

---

**(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)**

Please see attached.



**City of Chicago**  
**Richard M. Daley, Mayor**

**Department of Law**

Mara S. Georges  
Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600  
121 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
(312) 744-6900  
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)  
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)

<http://www.ci.chi.il.us>

June 28, 2002

Daniel W. Hynes  
Comptroller of the State of Illinois  
James R. Thompson Center  
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
Attention: Carol Reckamp, Director of Local  
Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer  
City Colleges of Chicago  
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Rm. 1149  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director  
Cook County Department of Planning &  
Development  
69 West Washington Street, Room 2900  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
Attn: Kay Kosmal

Dean L. Viverito, Comptroller  
Forest Preserve District of Cook County  
536 North Harlem Avenue  
River Forest, Illinois 60305  
Attn: Barbara McKinzie

Michael Koldyke, Chairman  
Chicago School Finance Authority  
135 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 3800  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

David Doig, General Superintendent &  
CEO  
Chicago Park District  
541 N. Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer  
Chicago Board of Education  
125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Mary West, Director of Finance  
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District  
of Greater Chicago  
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429  
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Lawrence Gulotta, Treasurer  
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement  
District  
155th & Dixie Highway  
P.O. Box 1030  
Harvey, Illinois 60426  
Attn: Dr. K. Lime, Manager

Re: Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area (the  
"Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.



June 28, 2002


Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,



Mara S. Georges  
Corporation Counsel

**SCHEDULE 1**

(Exception Schedule)

No Exceptions

Note the following Exceptions:

**Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area  
2001 Annual Report**

---

**(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)**

During 2001, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(6) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2001, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.



## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)**

- (A)** Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- (B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- (D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E)** Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F)** Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G)** Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/00 to 12/31/01, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in Year 2002; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/00 to 12/31/01, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)**

During 2001, no projects were implemented.

### **(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)**

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2001, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

### **(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)**

During 2001, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

**(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)**

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

**(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)**

During 2001, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

**(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)**

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

**(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)**

During 2001, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2001, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2002.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

CITY OF CHICAGO  
JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing  
before the City of Chicago, Joint Review  
Board held on March 16, 2001, at 10:00 a.m.  
City Hall, Room 1003, Conference Room,  
Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by  
Mr. Gary Gordon, Chairman.

PRESENT:

MR. GARY GORDON, CHAIRMAN  
MS. SUSAN MAREK  
MS. KAY KOSMAL  
MR. MATT WOS  
MS. IVETTE CAO ROMERO  
MR. EDUARDO AROCHO

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service  
200 N. LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

1                   CHAIRMAN GORDON: Let me begin by  
2                   introducing the Members of the Board. I'm Gary  
3                   Gordon from Chicago Park District.

4                   MS. KOSMAL: Kay Kosmal, representing  
5                   Gwendolyn Clemmons, Cook County.

6                   MS. MAREK: Susan Marek representing Ken  
7                   Gotsch from Chicago Board of Education.

8                   MR. WOS: Matt Wos, representing John  
9                   McCormick for the City of Chicago.

10                  MS. CAO ROMERO: Ivette Cao Romero,  
11                  representing -- position from --

12                  CHAIRMAN GORDON: For the record my name  
13                  is Gary Gordon, I'm the representative of the  
14                  Chicago Park District, which under Section 11-74.4-  
15                  5 of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act  
16                  is one of the statutorily designated members of the  
17                  Joint Review Board.

18                  Until election of the Chairperson, I  
19                  will moderate the Joint Review Board meetings. For  
20                  the record there will be two meetings of the Joint  
21                  Review Board. One to review the Proposed  
22                  Division/Homan Tax Increment Financing District and  
23                  one to review the Proposed Humboldt Park Commercial  
24                  Tax Increment Financing District.

1           The date of this meeting was announced  
2           at and set by the Community Development Commission  
3           of the City of Chicago at its January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2001  
4           meeting.

5           Notice of this meeting of the Joint  
6           Review Board was also provided by Certified Mail to  
7           each taxing district represented on the Board which  
8           includes the Chicago Board of Education, the  
9           Chicago Community College District 508, the Chicago  
10          Park District, Cook County, and the City of  
11          Chicago, and the Public Member.

12          Public notice of this meetings was  
13          also posted as of Wednesday, March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2001, in  
14          various locations throughout City Hall. When a  
15          Proposed Redevelopment Plan would result in the  
16          displacement of residents of ten or more inhabited  
17          residential units or would include 75 or more  
18          inhabited residential units, the TIF Act requires  
19          that the Public Member of the Joint Review Board  
20          must reside in the Proposed Redevelopment Project  
21          Area.

22          In addition if a Municipality Housing  
23          Impact Study determines that the majority of  
24          residential units in the Proposed Redevelopment

1 Project Area are occupied by very low, low, or  
2 moderate income households as defined in Section 3  
3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the Public  
4 Member must be a person who resides in a very low,  
5 low, or moderate income housing within the Proposed  
6 Redevelopment Area.

7 Although the City of Chicago's  
8 Proposed Redevelopment Plans for Division/Homan and  
9 Humboldt Park Commercial District do not include  
10 the Housing Impact Studies because the Plan  
11 certified that no displacement of inhabited  
12 residential units will occur.

13 The City has attempted to find a  
14 resident of each of these areas to fill the  
15 position of the Public Member. With us today we  
16 have a Public Member Ivette, and I apologize if I  
17 say your last name incorrectly, Cao Romero?

18 MS. CAO ROMERO: Uh-huh.

19 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Can I ask you some, I  
20 need to ask you some questions.

21 MS. CAO ROMERO: Yes, please.

22 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Are you familiar with  
23 the boundaries of the proposed Division/Homan Tax  
24 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area?



1 MS. CAO ROMERO: Yes, I am.

2 CHAIRMAN GORDON: What is the address of  
3 your primary residence?

4 MS. CAO ROMERO: 1112 North Spaulding.

5 CHAIRMAN GORDON: And is such address  
6 within the boundaries of the proposed Tax Increment  
7 Financing Redevelopment Project Area?

8 MS. CAO ROMERO: Yes, sir.

9 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Have you provided  
10 representatives of the City of Chicago's Department  
11 of Planning and Development with accurate  
12 information concerning your income and the income  
13 of any other members of the household residing at  
14 such address?

15 MS. CAO ROMERO: Yes, I have.

16 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Based on the information  
17 provided to you by the Department of, excuse me,  
18 provided to you by the Department of Planning and  
19 Development regarding applicable income levels for  
20 very low, low, and moderate income households, do  
21 you qualify as a member of a very low, low, or  
22 moderate income household?

23 MS. CAO ROMERO: Yes, I do.

24 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Are you willing to serve

1 as the public member for the Joint Review Board for  
2 the Division/Homan Tax Increment Financing  
3 Redevelopment Project Area?

4 MS. CAO ROMERO: Yes.

5 CHAIRMAN GORDON: I'll entertain a motion  
6 from the Board that she serve as the public member.

7 MR. WOS: So moved.

8 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a second?

9 MS. KOSMAL: Second.

10 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor?  
11 Signify by saying aye.

12 (Chorus of ayes.)

13 All those opposed say no. Let the  
14 record reflect that Ivette Cao Romero has been  
15 selected as the public member for the  
16 Division/Homan Tax Increment Financing  
17 Redevelopment Project Area.

18 Our next order of business is to  
19 select a chairperson for this Joint Review Board.  
20 Are there any nominations?

21 MS. MAREK: I'd like to nominate Gary  
22 Gordon.

23 MS. KOSMAL: I'll second that.

24 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor vote

1 by saying aye.

2 (Chorus of ayes.)

3 All opposed vote by saying no. Let  
4 the record reflect that Gary Gordon has been  
5 elected as chairperson and will now serve as the  
6 chairperson for the remainder of the meeting.  
7 Sometimes somebody else should get that.

8 (Laughing.)

9 As I mentioned at this meeting we will  
10 be reviewing a plan for the Division/Homan TIF  
11 District proposed by the City of Chicago. Staff of  
12 the City's Department of Planning and Development  
13 and Law and other Departments have reviewed this  
14 plan which was introduced at the City's Community  
15 Development Commission on January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2001.

16 We will listen to a presentation by  
17 the consultant on the plan. Following the  
18 presentation we can address any questions that the  
19 members might have to the consultant or City Staff.  
20 The recent amendment to the TIF Act requires us to  
21 base our recommendations to approve or disapprove  
22 the Division/Homan Plan and the designation of the  
23 Division/Homan TIF Area on the basis of the Area  
24 and the Plan satisfying the Plan requirements, the

1 Eligibility Criteria defined in the TIF Act, and  
2 the Objectives of the TIF Act.

3 If the Board approves the Plan and the  
4 designation of the Area, the Board will then issue  
5 an advisory non-binding recommendation by the vote  
6 of majority of those members present and voting.  
7 Such recommendation will be submitted to the City  
8 within 30 days after the Board meeting.

9 Failure to submit such recommendation  
10 shall be deemed to constitute approval by the  
11 Board. If the Board disapproves the Plan and the  
12 designation of the Area the Board must issue a  
13 written report describing why the Plan and Area  
14 failed to meet one or more of the Objectives of the  
15 TIF Act and both the Plan Requirements and the  
16 Eligibility Criteria of the TIF Act.

17 The City will then have 30 days to  
18 resubmit a revised plan. The Board and the City  
19 must also confer during this time to try to resolve  
20 the issues that led to the Board's disapproval. If  
21 such issues cannot be resolved or if the revised  
22 Plan is disapproved, the City may proceed with the  
23 Plan, but the Plan can be approved only with a  
24 three fifths vote of the City Council, excluding

1 positions of members that are vacant and those  
2 members that are ineligible to vote because of  
3 conflicts of interest.

4 With that I turn it over to the  
5 Department of Planning and Development for  
6 presentation on this TIF Plan.

7 MR. SCHNEIDER: My name is John Schneider.  
8 I'm Executive Vice President of Louik/Schneider &  
9 Associates and we were the firm that was hired to  
10 work on this particular plan. Before we get into  
11 the Eligibility Criteria I thought it was important  
12 for everyone to know the process which we went into  
13 with this particular Plan and also the Humboldt  
14 Park Plan.

15 It's been a six year process that was  
16 basically community led with Near Northwest  
17 Neighborhood Network being the umbrella  
18 organization representing about 80 community  
19 organizations.

20 The process started about six years  
21 ago, when the community through the Department of  
22 Planning and the Alderman's Office went and looked  
23 at the land uses that were existing within the  
24 Humboldt Park general area. Then they came up with

1 a Humboldt Park Commercial Land Use Plan dated June  
2 1996.

3 As an off-broke of that the Planning  
4 Department working with the Community Groups looked  
5 at how did they end up making, to pass up some  
6 plans that were their work and through an effort of  
7 about two years they went through and they  
8 designated a much larger than we're going to talk  
9 about today as the Humboldt Park Redevelopment Plan  
10 and Designation. That was in done January 12<sup>th</sup>,  
11 1999.

12 What you're going to see here are  
13 segments of that larger, those areas that  
14 qualified. They need to be qualified. And this is  
15 an area that's on the southwest part of the  
16 original plan. The area is bounded by North Avenue  
17 at Potomac on the north. It goes west to Hamlin  
18 and goes east to California.

19 And you'll see that in terms of public  
20 space that we've added we have Humboldt Park. That  
21 was added because it's an important part of this  
22 community. Additionally two schools were, are  
23 within the area, one is Cameron Elementary School,  
24 the other one is Pablo Casal's Elementary School.

1                   This particular area is a mix. It's a  
2 mixed use area. It has residential, it has  
3 industrial, it has some commercial. The process  
4 has been long. There's been four public notified  
5 TIF meetings out in the community. And probably on  
6 the TIF process alone I think we've had an  
7 additional four to five meetings with different,  
8 six meetings with different neighborhood groups.  
9 And the latest one having been about three nights  
10 ago.

11                   You have a copy of our Plan. I think  
12 what I'd like to do now is have Rodrigo Del Conto  
13 from Macondo Corp. (phonetic) who is our Sub and  
14 did the Eligibility Study, present the Eligibility  
15 Findings, and then if you have specific questions  
16 about that or the Plan in general we can discuss  
17 that. With that Rodrigo?

18                   MR. DEL CONDO: Good morning. The  
19 Eligibility Study coming out in this area followed  
20 the typical requirements of the legislation. In  
21 this particular area we found most of the factors  
22 that may qualify this area as a redevelopment area  
23 are present. However, the major elements present  
24 that led us to believe that this area qualifies as

1 a redevelopment area, TIF eligible area were  
2 obsolescence, deterioration and deleterious land  
3 use.

4 You will see from the plan that any  
5 time that we have diagonal streets in the City that  
6 is very much of a pentagram is north/south,  
7 east/west streets. The diagonal streets do break  
8 up land parcels and shapes that are odd for  
9 redevelopment.

10 We also found in this brown area that  
11 you see here, sort of at the center right, center  
12 left, center west of the area, all these brown  
13 areas are industrial areas. The industries located  
14 in these particular areas are really, they lack  
15 expansion possibilities, they are landlocked, and  
16 they again are situated adjacent to parcels that  
17 cannot be developed properly for the current  
18 requirements of modern industry.

19 There is a very strong need in this  
20 area for additional large commercial enterprise  
21 that will furnish this community with goods and  
22 services that we don't have presently. Therefore,  
23 the area to the west of the railroad tracks there  
24 that is in ground is a very much underdeveloped



1 commercial area.

2           There are two shop, two large grocery  
3 stores that have closed over the last few years and  
4 one of them has been turned into a state office.  
5 We believe that this area will provide the  
6 potential for redevelopment as there are no other  
7 parcels of that size in the community.

8           MS. MAREK: Excuse me, would you mind --

9           MR. DEL CONTO: Sure.

10          MS. MAREK: Thank you.

11          MR. DEL CONTO: In blue you see some of  
12 the most important institutional users. John just  
13 talked about the two schools. We also have a very  
14 large social service agency here, Association  
15 House, they've got almost 100,000 square feet of  
16 office space that we lease to other not-for-profit  
17 organizations that provide social services to this  
18 community.

19                   It is important that we are able to  
20 maintain that kind of facilities present in the  
21 area. The park has been undergoing some  
22 improvements that have been strong and long present  
23 desire to rehabilitate the stables of this park as  
24 well as do something with the state armory in this

1 corner.

2 And this we hope will be possible to  
3 really study the potential for redevelopment of  
4 those parcels through the TIF plan. Any questions?

5 MS. MAREK: When you talked about  
6 redeveloping those park facilities are you talking  
7 about using them as public use, still, or?

8 MR. DEL CONTO: Well that's still to be  
9 determined. The stable has been -- on the  
10 exterior, however, materials have -- There has been  
11 a strong interest for some time as part of this  
12 process in the community to have a cultural center,  
13 and that's still part of the plan and part of the  
14 possible uses for this --

15 CHAIRMAN GORDON: These are park district  
16 facilities --

17 MS. CAO ROMERO: Sir, and with the armory  
18 hall is that the same kind of plan?

19 MR. DEL CONTO: The same kind of plan.  
20 The -- Salvation Army -- the --

21 PARTICIPANT: National Guard.

22 MR. DEL CONTO: ROTC the --

23 PARTICIPANT: National Guard.

24 MR. DEL CONTO: National Guard. The

1 National Guard are the ones that are using this  
2 building presently. It's a very large structure,  
3 it's underutilized. It provides potential for a  
4 facility for youth in a community that really don't  
5 have many places for to go.

6 There is however the YMCA under  
7 construction but that's not going to be able to  
8 address all of the users in the community. It's  
9 much larger -- YMCA --

10 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Another question that I  
11 had, looking at the two TIF plans we're going to be  
12 looking at today, what are the reasons these are  
13 being presented as two separate TIFs --

14 MR. DEL CONTO: Well you have very  
15 different characters. This one is as John has  
16 mentioned is a very mixed used area, we have  
17 residential pocket, we have industrial area that  
18 needs to be taken care of, we have the commercial  
19 street, and we have this very large public  
20 facility.

21 You'll see as we -- it's strictly a  
22 commercial strip that goes along North Avenue --  
23 really a very different type of uses and the needs  
24 for redevelopment are very different.

1 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Does anyone have any  
2 questions on this particular piece? I have some  
3 questions on the budget. I don't know if --

4 MS. MAREK: Do you have a future land use  
5 proposed?

6 MR. DEL CONTO: This is the proposed --

7 MR. MAREK: Of the --

8 (Pause.)

9 And are you proposing acquiring any  
10 land or any --

11 MR. SCHNEIDER: There's an acquisition  
12 proposed of a industrial facility here and, that  
13 you see, the gray in this map is industrial  
14 buildings, straight industrial. And what we're  
15 proposing is that they become both mixed industrial  
16 and commercial.

17 It's one of the things this community  
18 has expressed over and over again, is a need for a  
19 larger grocery store. And one of the things that's  
20 happened we've gone to Jewel, we've gone to  
21 Dominick's and we have not been successful, we're  
22 trying to get those users in here.

23 And the Alderman along with the  
24 community groups have actually gone to Puerto Rico

1 to propose and try to find a chain there to maybe  
2 come here and service this area. So we've got some  
3 innovative ideas in terms of you know how do we get  
4 commercial in here to serve the people that are  
5 here now.

6 Dominick's is working for an area  
7 change before they would vacant so that's the major  
8 piece that's being acquired, that may be acquired.

9 MS. THOMAS: For the record, Cindy Thomas,  
10 Department of Planning and Development. I just  
11 wanted to clarify there's no acquisition map for  
12 this plan, correct?

13 MR. SCHNEIDER: Yeah, there's a, there is  
14 a property that may be acquired which is the  
15 industrial.

16 MS. THOMAS: Okay.

17 MR. SCHNEIDER: I mean the intent of both  
18 this plan and the next plan is to not have  
19 acquisition take place. We'd much rather have the  
20 things happen from within. But this is --

21 MS. KOSMAL: Is there a current operating  
22 business on that one parcel?

23 MR. SCHNEIDER: There is a current  
24 operating business.

1 MS. KOSMAL: And I'm assuming that they  
2 would be given assistance with relocating and --

3 MR. SCHNEIDER: Absolutely. There's,  
4 within the budget there's dollars for relocation.  
5 There's dollars for acquisition. The Department  
6 has been working with, has talked with the business  
7 a couple, two, three times. -- relocation of  
8 million dollars. We're not looking to relocate  
9 people, so.

10 CHAIRMAN GORDON: And is this project  
11 based on current increment, is this based on  
12 possible bonds?

13 MR. SCHNEIDER: The plan is to basically  
14 work at doing this pay as you go basis. With the  
15 issuance of bonds it would have to be years out  
16 because there's, we're looking at, there's no, in  
17 this particular case there is a potential of having  
18 an income generator, a shopping center.

19 There's vacant parcels of property  
20 within the residential. And one of the things is  
21 that we're looking at those properties being  
22 developed for residential and so there's no big --  
23 taking place right away where we could issue bonds.

24 MS. MAREK: And then the infrastructure is

1 in pretty good shape, or are there a lot of other?

2 MR. SCHNEIDER: Well I think in terms of  
3 infrastructure, you know, there's an assortment. I  
4 mean there are streets that need paving, that need  
5 some activity, need some work done. Even on the  
6 Park District property I think you know there's the  
7 roadway, the roadway needs some work.

8 There's, the stable is something  
9 that's, is of interest. The exterior is done, the  
10 interior wasn't done. But to make any kind of site  
11 available for commercial development you know,  
12 probably there's going to have to be some dollars  
13 expended from this TIF to kind of encourage that to  
14 happen, so.

15 But for the most part the streets  
16 are --

17 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Are there any other  
18 questions from the Board? None, okay. That  
19 completes the --

20 And if there are no further questions  
21 I will entertain --

22 MS. MAREK: Oh, I do have one. You  
23 mentioned that you had five or six meetings with  
24 the different community members. Are they

1 supporting this TIF?

2 MR. SCHNEIDER: We had four meetings that  
3 were noticed. We met with, we had one specific  
4 meeting with the business people on Division Street  
5 and North Avenue. We had a different meeting at  
6 Roberto Clementi and I think you know, when you  
7 look at this, you know, the umbrella organization  
8 has done a great job in the past in terms of  
9 bringing together.

10 And really when you look at the goals  
11 and the objectives and the actions, they're really  
12 community based. I mean these are what they want,  
13 so yeah, I think having a large area like this, I  
14 was pleasantly surprised at how you know groups  
15 have been very supportive of this.

16 I mean I think the leading questions  
17 are acquisition oriented questions. The others I  
18 think things have been out and around and people  
19 know a --

20 When they went through that original  
21 process I think -- there were hundreds and hundreds  
22 of people that showed up for, to public meetings on  
23 the original redevelopment plan.

24 MR. PEREZ: For the record my name is Adel



1           Perez, City Project Manager for the Area -- We had  
2           probably several hundred people show up at the  
3           public meeting to, a hundred people actually showed  
4           up to the public meeting to not support the  
5           project. -- underlying redevelopment.

6                       MS. CAO ROMERO: And I'd like to just  
7           answer the question from the perspective of the  
8           community. We've been quite involved in the  
9           process. We've been to all the meetings and do  
10          spend a lot of interest, part of the educational  
11          component, the social service components, and we  
12          are 80 different organizations.

13                      We have -- explained and done leg work  
14          so that community's members and residents  
15          understand what is it that's happening in the  
16          community. And we envisioned this as a very  
17          positive project and we hope to see a positive  
18          outcome for the residents and if new industries are  
19          coming in that we can work with them, maintain and  
20          elevate all those services that are given in our  
21          community.

22                      So we feel real good about this.  
23          We've had a lot of brochures, we've done a lot of  
24          flyers, gone door to door, different neighbors,

1 different ethnic backgrounds. We've gone to  
2 everyone so that they can understand this.  
3 Community residents are very happy with the  
4 project.

5 CHAIRMAN GORDON: If there are no further  
6 questions I'll entertain a motion of this Joint  
7 Review Board finds that the Proposed Redevelopment  
8 Plan Division/Homan Yard Tax Increment Financing  
9 Redevelopment Project Area, satisfies the  
10 redevelopment plan required under the TIF Act, the  
11 Eligibility Criteria defined in Section 11.74.4-3  
12 of the TIF Act and the Objectives of the TIF Act,  
13 and that based on such findings -- said proposed  
14 plan and designation of such area as a  
15 Redevelopment Project Area under the TIF Act.

16 Is there a motion?

17 MR. WOS: So moved.

18 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a second?

19 MS. KOSMAL: Second.

20 MS. MAREK: Second.

21 MS. CAO ROMERO: Second.

22 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor  
23 please signify by saying aye.

24 (Chorus of ayes.)

1 All those opposed please vote by  
2 saying no. Let the record reflect the Joint Review  
3 Board's approval of the proposed Division/Homan  
4 Redevelopment Plan and the designation of the  
5 Division/Homan Tax Increment Financing  
6 Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment  
7 Project Area under the TIF Act.

8 Before we can move on to the next we  
9 need to adjourn this meeting. Is there a motion to  
10 adjourn this meeting TIF Joint Review Board?

11 MS. MAREK: So moved.

12 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a second?

13 MR. MOS: Second.

14 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor  
15 signify by saying aye.

16 (Chorus of ayes.)

17 Those opposed? This meeting is  
18 adjourned. Thank you very much.

19 I'd like to call a meeting for the  
20 Humboldt Park Commercial Tax Increment Financing  
21 District. Again we'll begin with introductions.  
22 I'm Gary Gordon, representative from the Chicago  
23 Park District.

24 MS. KOSMAL: Kay Kosmal, with Cook County.

1 MS. MAREK: Susan Marek, Board of  
2 Education.

3 MR. WOS: Matt Wos, City of Chicago.

4 MR. AROCHO: Edwardo Arocho, Humboldt Park  
5 resident.

6 CHAIRMAN GORDON: For the record my name  
7 is Gary Gordon, I'm the representative of the  
8 Chicago Park District, which under Section 11-74.4-  
9 5 of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act  
10 is one of the statutorily designated members of the  
11 Joint Review Board for the Humboldt Park Commercial  
12 Tax Increment Financing District.

13 The date of this meeting was announced  
14 at and set by the Community Development Commission  
15 of the City of Chicago at its January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2001  
16 meeting.

17 Until election of a Chairperson for  
18 the Humboldt Park Commercial Joint Review Board I  
19 will moderate this meeting.

20 Notice of this meeting of the Joint  
21 Review Board was also provided by Certified Mail to  
22 each taxing district represented on the Board which  
23 includes the Chicago Board of Education, the  
24 Chicago Community College District 508, the Chicago

1 Park District, Cook County, and the City of  
2 Chicago, and the Public Member.

3 Public notice of this meetings was  
4 also posted as of Wednesday, March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2001, in  
5 various locations throughout City Hall. When a  
6 Proposed Redevelopment Plan would result in the  
7 displacement of residents from ten or more  
8 inhabited residential units, or would include 75 or  
9 more inhabited residential units, the TIF Act  
10 requires that the Public Member of the Joint Review  
11 Board must reside in the Proposed Redevelopment  
12 Project Area.

13 In addition if a Municipality Housing  
14 Impact Study determines that the majority of  
15 residential units in the Proposed Redevelopment  
16 Project Area are occupied by very low, low, or  
17 moderate income households as defined in Section 3  
18 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the Public  
19 Member must be a person who resides in a very low,  
20 low, or moderate income housing within the Proposed  
21 Redevelopment Area.

22 Although the City of Chicago's  
23 Proposed Redevelopment Plan for the Humboldt Park  
24 Commercial District does not include the Housing

1 Impact Studies because the Plan certifies that no  
2 displacement of inhabited residential units will  
3 occur.

4 The City has attempted to find a  
5 member of the Humboldt Park Commercial Area to fill  
6 the position of Public Member. With us today is  
7 Edwardo Arocho. Are you familiar with the  
8 boundaries of the Proposed Humboldt Park Commercial  
9 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area?

10 MR. AROCHO: Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN GORDON: And what is the address  
12 of your primary residence?

13 MR. AROCHO: 2638 West Division.

14 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is such address within  
15 the boundaries of the Proposed Humboldt Park  
16 Commercial Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment --

17 MR. AROCHO: Yes.

18 CHAIRMAN GORDON: And have you provided a  
19 representative of the City of Chicago Department  
20 Planning and Development with accurate information  
21 concerning your income and the income of any other  
22 members of the household residing at such address?

23 MR. AROCHO: Yes.

24 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Based on the information

1 provided to you by the Department of Planning and  
2 Development regarding applicable income levels for  
3 very low, low and moderate income households, do  
4 you qualify as a member of a very low, low or  
5 moderate income household?

6 MR. AROCHO: Yes.

7 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Mr. Arocho are you  
8 willing to serve as the public member for the Joint  
9 Review Board for the Humboldt Park Commercial Tax  
10 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area?

11 MR. AROCHO: Yes.

12 CHAIRMAN GORDON: I will entertain a  
13 motion that Edwardo Arocho be selected as the  
14 public member. Is there a motion?

15 MR. WOS: So moved.

16 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a second?

17 MS. MAREK: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor vote  
19 by saying aye.

20 (Chorus of ayes.)

21 Let the record reflect that Edwardo  
22 Arocho has been selected as the public member for  
23 the Humboldt Park Commercial Tax Increment  
24 Financing Redevelopment Project Area.

1                   Our next order of business is to  
2                   select a Chairperson for the Joint Review Board.  
3                   Are there any nominations?

4                   MS. MAREK: I'll nominate Gary Gordon.

5                   CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a second?

6                   MS. KOSMAL: I'll second.

7                   CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor vote  
8                   by saying aye.

9                   (Chorus of ayes.)

10                   Let the record reflect that Gary  
11                   Gordon has been elected as the Chairperson and will  
12                   now serve as the Chairperson for the remainder of  
13                   the meeting.

14                   As I mentioned at this meeting we will  
15                   be reviewing a plan for the Humboldt Park  
16                   Commercial TIF District proposed by the City of  
17                   Chicago. Staff of the City's Department of  
18                   Planning and Development and Law and other  
19                   Departments have reviewed this plan which was  
20                   introduced at the City's Community Development  
21                   Commission on January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2001.

22                   We will listen to a presentation by  
23                   the consultant on the plan. Following the  
24                   presentation we can address any questions that the



1 members might have to the consultant or City Staff.  
2 The recent amendment to the TIF Act requires us to  
3 base our recommendation to approve or disapprove  
4 the Humboldt Park Commercial Plan and the  
5 designation of the Humboldt Park Commercial TIF  
6 Area on the basis of the Area and the Plan  
7 satisfying the Plan requirements, the Eligibility  
8 Criteria defined in the TIF Act, and the Objectives  
9 of the TIF Act.

10 If the Board approves the Plan and the  
11 designation of the Area, the Board will then issue  
12 an advisory non-binding recommendation by the vote  
13 of majority of those members present and voting.  
14 Such a recommendation will be submitted to the City  
15 within 30 days after the Board meeting.

16 Failure to submit such recommendation  
17 shall be deemed to constitute approval by the  
18 Board. If the Board disapproves the Plan and the  
19 designation of the Area, the Board must issue a  
20 written report describing why the Plan and Area  
21 failed to meet one or more of the Objectives of the  
22 TIF Act and both the Plan Requirements and the  
23 Eligibility Criteria of the TIF Act.

24 The City will then have 30 days to

1 resubmit a revised plan. The Board and the City  
2 must also confer during this time to try to resolve  
3 the issues that led to the Board's disapproval. If  
4 such issues cannot be resolved or if the revised  
5 Plan is disapproved, the City may proceed with the  
6 Plan, but the Plan can be approved only with a  
7 three fifths vote of the City Council, excluding  
8 positions of members that are vacant and those  
9 members that are ineligible to vote because of  
10 conflicts of interest.

11 And with that I turn over the  
12 presentation --

13 MR. SCHNEIDER: My name is John Schneider.  
14 I'm Executive Vice President of the Louik/Schneider  
15 & Associates. And we're the consulting firm that  
16 was hired to look at the Humboldt Park Commercial  
17 Area.

18 Along with me today is Rodrigo Del  
19 Condo who is a Subconsultant that worked on, we  
20 worked on the, doing the Eligibility Findings and  
21 also worked on the planning for this project.

22 This project is very similar in terms  
23 of how it was developed and how it occurred to a --  
24 last where we talked about the Division/Homan, this

1 is an outgrowth of a land use plan that was done in  
2 1996 by Near North West Neighborhood Network, an  
3 organization that has 80 community members.

4 From that land use plan they wanted to  
5 develop a redevelopment plan and designation that  
6 went through the CDC. This basically is, the TIF  
7 is actually the implementation tool to help spur  
8 the development that people were looking at and  
9 wanted within this area.

10 The area that we're asking you to  
11 designate today is basically made for commercial.  
12 It's the commercial area serving Humboldt Park. It  
13 goes on the west from the railroad tracks which  
14 would be Lawndale along North Avenue to the first  
15 alley to the north and the first alley to the  
16 south, east to Western, which would include a small  
17 portion east of Western.

18 But then goes from North Avenue to  
19 Division along Western Avenue which is also a  
20 commercial street. And then goes west along  
21 Division Street to basically California. Again for  
22 the first alley north to the first alley south.

23 And included in this area is the  
24 Roberto Clementi Elementary, uh, High School, St.

1 Elizabeth Hospital and a library. For the most  
2 part the rest of the area is made up of, this is  
3 the existing land use, is made up of commercial,  
4 residential and we have industrial that is very  
5 limited.

6 The difference between the existing  
7 land use and the proposed land use is we brought  
8 the uses down to two, a mixed land use which is a  
9 residential and commercial and institutional and  
10 industrial, and another one which is institutional.

11 This is very similar, almost exactly  
12 the land use plan that the community proposed in  
13 their study when we did the last designation.

14 Before Rodrigo speaks I'd just, I wanted to get to  
15 basically what some of the goals for this plan are.

16 And basically it's to promote  
17 rehabilitation. We're not looking at acquiring  
18 property within this area. We're looking at, this  
19 is commercial on the first floor with residential  
20 above. A lot of that residential is empty. We're  
21 looking at making that residential available for  
22 affordable and mixed income housing.

23 There isn't a lot of vacant property  
24 in the area. We're looking basically at how can

1 the community self fund itself to make improvements  
2 within. And one of those concepts that's out there  
3 and the community's been working on is Division  
4 Street, they're looking at the, they're actually  
5 working towards creating a restaurant row that's  
6 predominantly Puerto Rican in nature.

7 And that's been an effort that I know  
8 that there's been a lot of activity out there and  
9 TIF may be one of the ways in which they can help  
10 that. Now most of the goals and the objectives if  
11 you look at them, they're fairly similar if not  
12 identical to what the original plan was.

13 Again, this went to four public  
14 meetings that were noticed and there was community  
15 participation in all of those. There was also  
16 community meetings with different Chambers of  
17 Commerce -- call them and talked to them about this  
18 plan. So this is something that's been out there,  
19 and something that's well known.

20 With that I'll turn it over to Rodrigo  
21 to talk about the Eligibility Criteria.

22 MR. DEL CONTO: Out of the ten factors  
23 that qualifies an area as an eligible area we have  
24 six factors here, five to a major extent and one to

1 a minor extent. And again they have to do with the  
2 nature of the -- obsolescence -- uses parcels that  
3 have not, that cannot be developed for contemporary  
4 commercial use.

5 Age obviously is a major factor. We  
6 have a 95 percent of the properties are over 30  
7 years of age. What we found in reviewing the  
8 different factors is that changes occur in  
9 contemporary living standards and contemporary  
10 commercial standards to not have the potential to  
11 grow in this area.

12 And that's the reason that we found  
13 this area to qualify for a Redevelopment Plan. As  
14 John says the plan is for a Conservation Area.  
15 This is not for a dilapidated area. And we believe  
16 that the factors that we found in most of the  
17 properties as I said, six factors out of ten  
18 factors do make it qualify as a TIF District.

19 If you'd like to I can go into more  
20 details on the factors. Dilapidation is one, there  
21 is a lack of maintenance. It's an area that's  
22 really on the borderline that if we, if it's not  
23 part of this TIF District, probably will continue  
24 to decline both in the terms of the users and the

1 terms of the feasible qualifications of the --

2 MS. MAREK: So are most of the property  
3 owners active in the Chamber of Commerce or is it  
4 a, is it a pretty strong community group?

5 MR. SCHNEIDER: You know, I, that's a  
6 tough question to answer. There have been property  
7 owners, there have been businesses that have come  
8 to the meetings. There are Chambers of Commerce.  
9 Those folks are all involved, and they've been  
10 involved in this process throughout.

11 I think probably in parts of Western  
12 Avenue because of the uses that are there, car  
13 dealerships, we probably wouldn't see as many  
14 people as we did from Division Street and North  
15 Avenue. But they were all included in the process.  
16 They were all invited to be there.

17 So I think on Division Street that the  
18 Chamber there has been, they've been up front and  
19 doing a lot of things and trying to make their  
20 concepts and ideas happen. It's a very, so  
21 they're, it's one of the more interesting points  
22 they have worked on because there's been a lot of  
23 community participation.

24 MR. PERCY: If I can just jump in. For

1 the record my name is Adel Perez, City Planner and  
2 Project Manager for the Area. There are a number  
3 of agencies out here at work. I mean you've got  
4 the Puerto Rican Chamber of Commerce, Division  
5 Street Business Association, which are two business  
6 organizations right on Division Street actually  
7 working with the merchants there.

8 Each of those have members that are  
9 part of the organization. In addition on North  
10 Avenue we have the Near Northwest Neighborhood  
11 Network and the Humboldt Park Economic Development  
12 Corporation as well as the North Pulaski Armitage  
13 Chamber of Commerce who all three work on  
14 development initiatives along North Avenue.

15 So I think the area has, all of those  
16 have been part of the planning process, and so I  
17 think that that's, the business community in that  
18 sense have been very well represented.

19 MS. MAREK: So there really isn't like one  
20 big employer or big business in this area then.

21 MR. SCHNEIDER: No these are all 25 and,  
22 125 foot parcel properties that are a variety of  
23 different kinds of businesses.

24 MS. KOSMAL: I had a question regarding



1           acquisitions. I guess I was unclear. I only have  
2           a question mark here -- but I think the thing is  
3           unclear to me from this map exactly what parcels  
4           are target to be acquired because it, the  
5           acquisition map is, are a larger area than just the  
6           TIF District.

7                       MR. SCHNEIDER: Oh, off, the map that  
8           you're looking at is the Redevelopment Plan Map --

9                       MS. KOSMAL: Oh, okay.

10                      MR. SCHNEIDER: -- that was approved in  
11           1999. We wanted to make sure that you had that so  
12           you'd have information in terms of what was there.  
13           Cause where again with all these reports from now  
14           in is you're going to have those underlying  
15           redevelopment areas here included in there so you  
16           don't get, you know blind sighted and someone says  
17           well they're doing this is at, that's at.

18                      MS. KOSMAL: So they're actually, or  
19           appear to be, or as far as I can tell, maybe not  
20           more than a half dozen or so properties that are  
21           identified as being targeted for acquisition.

22                      MR. SCHNEIDER: Under the Redevelopment  
23           Plan, not under the TIF Plan.

24                      MS. KOSMAL: No I mean under the TIF. Oh,

1 so you're saying that what's targeted under the  
2 Redevelopment Plan is no longer applicable in the  
3 TIF District.

4 MR. SCHNEIDER: That is a separate  
5 statute.

6 MS. KOSMAL: I mean that's my confusion  
7 here. So it shows like that's first.

8 MR. SCHNEIDER: See cause it says the  
9 Humboldt Park --

10 MS. KOSMAL: Right.

11 MR. SCHNEIDER: -- Redevelopment Area.

12 MS. KOSMAL: So you're saying that even  
13 though it shows that for acquisition, which is  
14 included in the TIF District, it isn't really  
15 included in the TIF District for acquisition.

16 MR. SCHNEIDER: No, I think those --

17 MS. KOSMAL: Okay.

18 MR. SCHNEIDER: -- along Division Street  
19 that were under the Redevelopment Plan --

20 MS. KOSMAL: Are not part of the --

21 MR. PEREZ: Right, the map that you have  
22 is an amendment to the original Redevelopment Area  
23 and includes those properties for acquisition. In  
24 this TIF District there are -- parcel out for

1 acquisition.

2 MS. KOSMAL: That was --

3 MR. PEREZ: But we needed to reflect it to  
4 make sure that it was consistent and that people  
5 understood there is a difference between --

6 MS. KOSMAL: Okay.

7 MR. SCHNEIDER: And what you'll see here is  
8 actually how large the area was originally and it  
9 included all the residential. We took that out one  
10 because we felt that parts of it wouldn't qualify  
11 for TIF, and two because there's enough housing  
12 programs and other kinds of programs to do those  
13 kind of, meet those needs. And that wasn't the  
14 case with the commercial area.

15 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Any further questions  
16 from the Board? If there are no further questions  
17 I will entertain a motion that this Joint Review  
18 Board finds that the Proposed Redevelopment Plan  
19 Humboldt Park Commercial Tax Increment Financing  
20 Redevelopment Project Area satisfies the  
21 Redevelopment Plan Requirements and the TIF Act and  
22 the Eligibility Criteria defined in Section 11-  
23 74.4-3 of the TIF Act and the Objectives of the TIF  
24 Act, and that based on such findings approve said

1 Proposed Plan and the Designation of such area as a  
2 Redevelopment Project under the TIF Act.

3 Is there a motion?

4 MR. WOS: So moved.

5 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a second?

6 MS. MAREK: Second.

7 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor  
8 please signify by saying aye.

9 (Chorus of ayes.)

10 All those opposed please vote by  
11 saying no. Let the record reflect the Joint Review  
12 Board's approval of the Proposed, excuse me, let  
13 the record reflect the Joint Review Board's  
14 approval of the Proposed Humboldt Park Commercial  
15 Redevelopment Plan and the Designation of the  
16 Humboldt Park Commercial Tax Increment Financing  
17 Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment  
18 Project under the TIF Act.

19 Is there a motion to adjourn the  
20 meeting?

21 MR. SCHNEIDER: Gary, can I just say one  
22 more thing, I'm sorry.

23 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Sure.

24 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'd like to thank everyone

1 for being here -- but also I'd like to recognize  
2 that there's people from the community that came  
3 out today to see how this process works and what  
4 took place and took time out of their days to come,  
5 so I'd like to thank them.

6 MR. PEREZ: It's actually a very good turn  
7 out, presented.

8 CHAIRMAN GORDON: Is there a motion to  
9 adjourn?

10 MS. MAREK: So moved.

11 CHAIRMAN GORDON: All those in favor  
12 please signify by saying aye.

13 (Chorus of ayes.)

14 The meeting is adjourned.

15 (Whereupon the meeting  
16 adjourned at 10:55 a.m.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24


STATE OF ILLINOIS     )  
                                  ) SS.  
COUNTY OF C O O K     )

I, JACK ARTSTEIN, depose and say  
that I am a verbatim court reporter doing business  
in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that  
I caused to be transcribed the proceedings  
heretofore identified and that the foregoing is  
a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid  
hearing.

*Jack Artstein*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JACK ARTSTEIN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO  
BEFORE ME THIS 19th DAY  
OF April,  
A.D. 2001.

*Ronald N. Legrand, Jr.*  
\_\_\_\_\_



## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

**(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE  
MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)**

During 2001, there were no obligations issued for this Project Area.

## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)**

During 2001, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.



## **Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report**

---

### **(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)**

During 2001, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

# Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area 2001 Annual Report

## (11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Humboldt Park Commercial Redevelopment Project Area generally includes both sides of North Avenue between North Ridgeway and North Claremont; both sides of North Western Avenue between North Avenue and West Haddon, extending east to North Oakley between West Division and West Haddon; and both sides of West Division between North Oakley and North Mozart. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

