

FY 2022

ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT



STATE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER SUSANA A. MENDOZA

Name of Municipality: City of Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2022
County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31/2022
Unit Code: 016/620/30

FY 2022 TIF Administrator Contact Information-Required

First Name: Maurice D. Last Name: Cox
Address: City Hall, 121 N LaSalle Title: Administrator
Telephone: (312) 744-4190 City: Chicago Zip: 60602
E-mail TIFreports@cityofchicago.org

I attest to the best of my knowledge, that this FY 2022 report of the redevelopment project area(s) in the City/Village of: City of Chicago is complete and accurate pursuant to Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] and or Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.].
Written signature of TIF Administrator Date 6/29/2023

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

Table with 3 columns: Name of Redevelopment Project Area, Date Designated MM/DD/YYYY, Date Terminated MM/DD/YYYY. Lists various project areas like 105th/Vincennes, 107th/Halsted, etc.

*All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: The Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

	47th/State	7/21/2004	12/31/2028
	51st/Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
	51st/Lake Park	11/15/2012	12/31/2036
	53rd Street	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
	63rd/Ashland	3/29/2006	12/31/2030
	63rd/Pulaski	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
	67th/Cicero	10/2/2002	12/31/2026
	67th/Wentworth	5/4/2011	12/31/2035
	71st/Stony Island	10/7/1998	12/31/2034
	73rd/University	9/13/2006	12/31/2030
	79th Street Corridor	7/8/1998	12/31/2034
	79th/Cicero	6/8/2005	12/31/2029
	79th/Southwest Highway	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
	79th/Vincennes	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
	83rd/Stewart	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
	87th/Cottage Grove	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
	95th/Western	7/13/1995	12/31/2031
	Addison South	5/9/2007	12/31/2031
	Archer Courts	5/12/1999	12/31/2022
	Archer/Central	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
	Archer/Western	2/11/2009	12/31/2033
	Armitage/Pulaski	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
	Austin Commercial	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
	Avalon Park/South Shore	7/31/2002	12/31/2026
	Avondale	7/29/2009	12/31/2033
	Belmont/Central	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
	Belmont/Cicero	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
X	Bronzeville	11/4/1998	12/31/2034
	Bryn Mawr/Broadway	12/11/1996	12/31/2032
	Canal/Congress	11/12/1998	12/31/2034
	Central West	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
	Chicago/Central Park	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
	Chicago/Kingsbury	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
	Cicero/Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
	Cicero/Stevenson	7/20/2022	12/31/2046
	Clark/Montrose	7/7/1999	12/31/2023
	Clark/Ridge	9/29/1999	12/31/2023
	Commercial Avenue	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
	Cortland/Chicago River	4/10/2019	12/31/2043
	Devon/Sheridan	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
	Devon/Western	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
	Diversey/Chicago River	10/5/2016	12/31/2040
	Diversey/Narragansett	2/5/2003	12/31/2027
	Division/Homan	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
	Edgewater/Ashland	10/1/2003	12/31/2027
	Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor	7/19/2007	12/31/2031
	Englewood Mall	11/29/1989	12/31/2025
	Englewood Neighborhood	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
	Ewing Avenue	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
	Foster/California	4/2/2014	12/31/2038
	Foster/Edens	2/28/2018	12/31/2042
	Fullerton/Milwaukee	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
	Galewood/Armitage Industrial	7/7/1999	12/31/2023

Goose Island	7/10/1996	12/31/2032
Greater Southwest Industrial (East)	3/10/1999	12/31/2023
Greater Southwest Industrial (West)	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Harrison/Central	7/26/2006	12/31/2030
Hollywood/Sheridan	11/7/2007	12/31/2031
Homan/Arthington	2/5/1998	12/31/2034
Humboldt Park Commercial	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Jefferson Park	9/9/1998	12/31/2022
Jefferson/Roosevelt	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Kennedy/Kimball	3/12/2008	12/31/2032
Kinzie Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2034
Lake Calumet Area Industrial	12/13/2000	12/31/2024
Lakefront	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
LaSalle Central	11/15/2006	12/31/2030
Lawrence/Broadway	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Lawrence/Kedzie	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Lawrence/Pulaski	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lincoln Avenue	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Little Village East	4/22/2009	12/31/2033
Little Village Industrial Corridor	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Madden/Wells	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
Madison/Austin Corridor	9/29/1999	12/31/2023
Michigan/Cermak	9/13/1989	12/31/2025
Midway Industrial Corridor	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Midwest	5/17/2000	12/31/2036
Montclare	8/30/2000	12/31/2022
Montrose/Clarendon	6/30/2010	12/31/2034
Near North	7/30/1997	12/31/2033
North Branch South	2/5/1998	12/31/2022
North Pullman	6/30/2009	12/31/2033
Northwest Industrial Corridor	12/2/1998	12/31/2034
Ogden/Pulaski	4/9/2008	12/31/2032
Ohio/Wabash	6/7/2000	12/31/2024
Peterson/Cicero	2/16/2000	12/31/2022
Peterson/Pulaski	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Pilsen Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2034
Portage Park	9/9/1998	12/31/2022
Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area	6/23/2004	12/31/2028
Pulaski Industrial Corridor	6/9/1999	12/31/2035
Randolph/Wells	6/9/2010	12/31/2034
Red Line Extension	12/14/2022	12/31/2058
Red Purple Modernization Phase One (Transit TIF)	11/30/2016	12/31/2052
River West	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
Roosevelt/Cicero Industrial Corridor	2/5/1998	12/31/2034
Roosevelt/Clark	4/10/2019	12/31/2043
Roosevelt/Racine	11/4/1998	12/31/2034
Roosevelt/Union	5/12/1999	12/31/2022
Roseland/Michigan	1/16/2002	12/31/2026
Sanitary and Ship Canal	7/24/1991	12/31/2027
South Chicago	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Stevenson Brighton	4/11/2007	12/31/2031
Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial	2/26/1992	12/31/2028
Stony Island Commercial/Burnside Industrial	6/10/1998	12/31/2034

Touhy/Western	9/13/2006	12/31/2030
Washington Park	10/8/2014	12/31/2038
West Irving Park	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
West Woodlawn	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Western Avenue North	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western Avenue South	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western/Ogden	2/5/1998	12/31/2034
Western/Rock Island	2/8/2006	12/31/2030
Wilson Yard	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Woodlawn	1/20/1999	12/31/2023

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 8 must be completed for each redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed

*Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

If 'Combination/Mixed' List Component Types: Residential/Commercial

Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one):

Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X

Industrial Jobs Recovery Law

Please utilize the information below to properly label the Attachments.

	No	Yes
For redevelopment projects beginning prior to FY2022, were there any amendments, to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] If yes, please enclose the amendment (labeled Attachment A). For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY2022, were there any amendments, enactments or extensions to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] If yes, please enclose the amendment, enactment or extension, and a copy of the redevelopment plan (labeled Attachment A).		X
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (3)] Please enclose the CEO certification (labeled Attachment B).		X
Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)] Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion (labeled Attachment C).		X
Statement setting forth all activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan including any project implemented and a description of the redevelopment activities. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)] If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement (labeled Attachment D).	X	
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)] If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) (labeled Attachment E).		X
Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)] If yes, please enclose the Additional Information (labeled Attachment F).	X	
Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)] If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) (labeled Attachment G).	X	
Were there any reports <u>submitted to</u> the municipality <u>by</u> the joint review board? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report (labeled Attachment H).		X
Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)] If yes, please enclose any Official Statement (labeled Attachment I). If Attachment I is answered yes, then the Analysis must be attached (labeled Attachment J).	X	
An analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter, <u>chosen by the municipality</u> , setting forth the the nature and term of obligation; projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage; <u>and actual debt service.</u> [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)] If attachment I is yes, the Analysis and an accompanying letter from the municipality outlining the contractual relationship between the municipality and the financial advisor/underwriter <u>MUST</u> be attached (labeled Attachment J).	X	
Has a cumulative of \$100,000 of TIF revenue been deposited into the special tax allocation fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2) If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund (labeled Attachment K).		X
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental taxes revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)] If yes, the audit report shall contain a letter from the independent certified public accountant indicating compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 (labeled Attachment L).		X
A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)] If yes, please enclose the list only, not actual agreements (labeled Attachment M).		X
For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, did the developer identify to the municipality a stated rate of return for each redevelopment project area? Stated rates of return required to be reported shall be independently verified by a third party chosen by the municipality. If yes, please enclose evidence of third party verification, may be in the form of a letter from the third party (labeled Attachment N).	X	

SECTION 3.1 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d)(5)(a)(b)(d)) and (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)(a)(b)(d)]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:
Bronzeville

Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

Special Tax Allocation Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period \$ 38,792,340

SOURCE of Revenue/Cash Receipts:	Revenue/Cash Receipts for Current Reporting Year	Cumulative Totals of Revenue/Cash Receipts for life of TIF	% of Total
Property Tax Increment	\$ 6,718,217	\$ 79,413,985	85%
State Sales Tax Increment	\$ -	\$ -	0%
Local Sales Tax Increment	\$ -	\$ -	0%
State Utility Tax Increment	\$ -	\$ -	0%
Local Utility Tax Increment	\$ -	\$ -	0%
Interest	\$ (1,218,448)	\$ 2,182,502	2%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds	\$ -	\$ -	0%
Bond Proceeds	\$ -	\$ -	0%
Transfers from Municipal Sources	\$ -	\$ 10,400,000	11%
Private Sources	\$ -	\$ -	0%
Other (identify source _____; if multiple other sources, attach schedule)	\$ -	\$ 1,001,201	1%

All Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation Fund \$ 5,499,769

Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts \$ 92,997,688 100%

Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) \$ 6,039,402

Transfers to Municipal Sources \$ -

Distribution of Surplus \$ -

Total Expenditures/Disbursements \$ 6,039,402

Net/Income/Cash Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements \$ (539,633)

Previous Year Adjustment (Explain Below) \$ -

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD* \$ 38,252,707

*If there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

Previous Year Explanation:

(a) Cumulative figures for the categories of 'Interest,' 'Land/Building Sale Proceeds' and 'Other' may not be fully available for this report due to either: (i) the disposal of certain older records pursuant to the City's records retention policy, or (ii) the extraordinary administrative burden of developing cumulative City records prior to the City's conversion to its current accounting system in 2003.

**Schedule of "Other" Sources of Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY
(Total and Cumulative Values Carried Forward to Section 3.1)**

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

"Other" Sources	Reporting Year	Cumulative
Cumulative Revenue Prior to 2017		\$ 1,000,000
Note Proceeds		0
Non-compliance Payment		0
Excess Reserve Requirement		0
Build America Bonds Subsidy		0
Collection Returns		0
Credits from Expenditures		\$ 1,201

Total Schedule of "Other" Sources During Reporting Period \$ -

Cumulative Total Schedule of "Other" Sources \$ 1,001,201

SECTION 3.2 A [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) (c) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)(c)]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND

PAGE 1

Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)]	Amounts	Reporting Fiscal Year
1. Cost of studies, surveys, development of plans, and specifications. Implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan, staff and professional service cost.		
	202,581	
		\$ 202,581
2. Annual administrative cost.		
		\$ -
3. Cost of marketing sites.		
		\$ -
4. Property assembly cost and site preparation costs.		
		\$ -
5. Costs of renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, relocation, repair or remodeling of existing public or private building, leasehold improvements, and fixtures within a redevelopment project area.		
	3,500	
		\$ 3,500
6. Costs of the construction of public works or improvements.		
	5,833,321	
		\$ 5,833,321

SECTION 3.2 A

PAGE 2

7. Costs of eliminating or removing contaminants and other impediments.		
		\$ -
8. Cost of job training and retraining projects.		
		\$ -
9. Financing costs.		
		\$ -
10. Capital costs.		
		\$ -
11. Cost of reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects.		
		\$ -
12. Cost of reimbursing library districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects.		
		\$ -

SECTION 3.2 A

13. Relocation costs.		
		\$ -
14. Payments in lieu of taxes.		
		\$ -
15. Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational or career education.		
		\$ -
16. Interest cost incurred by redeveloper or other nongovernmental persons in connection with a redevelopment project.		
Costs of interest incurred by a developer related to the construction, renovation or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project.		
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income or very low income households.		
		\$ -
17. Cost of day care services.		
		\$ -
18. Other.		
		\$ -
TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES		\$ 6,039,402

Section 3.2 B [Information in the following section is not required by law, but would be helpful in creating fiscal transparency.]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.

Name	Service	Amount
City Staff Costs (1)	Administration	\$ 72,509.00
City Program Management Cost	Administration	\$ 16,382.00
S.B. Friedman Development Advisors, LLC	Professional Service	\$ 69,529.50
Sebis Direct	Professional Service	\$ 35,635.08
Chicago Department of Transportation	Public Improvement	\$ 148,928.45
Granite Construction Company	Public Improvement	\$ 3,376,558.60
MQ Sewer & Water Contractors	Public Improvement	\$ 39,617.29
Public Building Commission of Chicago	Public Improvement	\$ 44,017.50
Southwest Industries dba Anderson Elevator Company	Public Improvement	\$ 49,409.16
Tetra Tech Inc	Public Improvement	\$ 1,885,309.85

(1) Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

* This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

SECTION 3.3 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5d) 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5d)]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:
Bronzeville

Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period by source

FUND BALANCE BY SOURCE

\$	38,252,707
----	------------

1. Description of Debt Obligations	Amount of Original Issuance	Amount Designated
Total Amount Designated for Obligations	\$	\$ -

2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid	Amount of Original Issuance	Amount Designated
Restricted for future redevelopment project costs		\$ 38,252,707

Total Amount Designated for Project Costs	\$	38,252,707
TOTAL AMOUNT DESIGNATED	\$	38,252,707
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$	-

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

X	Indicate an 'X' if no property was acquired by the Municipality within the redevelopment project area.
Property (1):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (2):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (3):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (4):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (5):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (6):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (7):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	

SECTION 5 [20 ILCS 620/4.7 (7)(F)]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

PAGE 1

Page 1 must be included with TIF report. Pages 2 and 3 are to be included ONLY if projects are listed.

Select **ONE** of the following by indicating an 'X':

1. NO projects were undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area.	
2. The Municipality DID undertake projects within the Redevelopment Project Area. (If selecting this option, complete 2a.)	X
2a. The total number of ALL activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan:	7

LIST ALL projects undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area:

TOTAL:	11/1/99 to Date	Estimated Investment for Subsequent Fiscal Year	Total Estimated to Complete Project
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ 58,106,125	\$ -	\$ 6,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 6,758,942	\$ 0	\$ 3,175,500
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	8 40/67	-	1 8/9

Project 1: NIF - Bronzeville (Project is Ongoing***)**

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	0	-	\$ 2,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 976,668	-	\$ 1,000,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	2

Project 2: Pershing Court (Project Completed)

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ 16,077,853	-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 476,289	-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	33 59/78	-	-

Project 3: South Park Plaza (Project Completed)

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ 28,676,706	-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken	0	-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 4: Quad Communities (Project Completed)

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ 13,351,566	-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 4,300,000	-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	3 2/19	-	-

Project 5: SBIF - Bronzeville (Project is Ongoing***)**

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	0	-	\$ 2,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 424,012	-	\$ 1,000,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	2

Project 6: NIF - Bronzeville II (Project is Ongoing***)**

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	0	-	\$ 2,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 471,177	-	\$ 1,000,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	2

Project 7: TIFWorks - Bronzeville (Project is Ongoing***)**

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	0	-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 110,796	-	\$ 175,500
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 8:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 9:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 10:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 11:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 12:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 13:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 14:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 15:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Project 16:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		-	\$ -
Public Investment Undertaken		-	\$ -
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	-	-

Section 5 Notes

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area

Bronzeville

General Notes

(a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenue, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

(b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenue that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.

Project/Program-Specific Notes

** Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator or to the ultimate grantee as each ultimate grantee's work is approved under the program.

*** As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

SECTION 6 [Information requested in SECTION 6.1 is not required by law, but may be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

SECTIONS 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 are required by law, if applicable. (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d))

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

SECTION 6.1-For redevelopment projects beginning before FY 2022, complete the following information about job creation and retention.

Number of Jobs Retained	Number of Jobs Created	Job Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent)	Total Salaries Paid
			\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -

SECTION 6.2-For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, complete the following information about projected job creation and actual job creation.

The number of jobs, if any, projected to be created at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement	The number of jobs, if any, created as a result of the development to date, for the reporting period, under the same guidelines and assumptions as was used for the projections used at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement

* see footnote on following page

** see footnote on following page

SECTION 6.3-For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, complete the following information about increment projected to be created and actual increment created.

The number increment projected to be created at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement	The amount of increment created as a result of the development to date, for the reporting period, using the same assumptions as was used for the projections used at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement

^ see footnote on following page

^ see footnote on following page

SECTION 6.4-For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, provide the stated rate of return identified by the developer to the municipality and verified by an independent third party, if any:

N/A

Section 6 Notes

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

General Notes

Section 6.2:

* All RDAs shown were entered into during or after FY 2022. The number of jobs is limited to permanent, full-time or full-time-equivalent, jobs that are either required or indicated as aspirational in the RDA and are anticipated to be created or retained at some time during the term of the RDA. Jobs that are part-time, construction, temporary or seasonal are not shown. RDAs are removed once the job covenant ends or the RDA is terminated. RDAs with no jobs covenant are not shown. TIFWorks and similar job training programs are not shown.

** The number of jobs shown is limited to those created or retained, cumulatively, from the year the RDA was entered into through the end of the reporting year.

Section 6.3:

^ All RDAs shown were entered into during or after FY 2022. The amount of increment increase projected is the cumulative amount that is projected to be created for all PINs in the RDA over the term of the RDA. RDAs are removed once the RDA is terminated. RDAs involving tax-exempt properties and those with no increment increase projected by the City over the term of the respective RDA, are not shown.

^^ The amount shown is the increase in cumulative PIN increment collected from the year the RDA was entered into through the end of the reporting year, to the extent the information is available from tax records.

SECTION 7 [Information in the following sections is not required by law, but may be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.]

FY 2022


Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries.

Optional Documents	Enclosed
Legal description of redevelopment project area	
Map of District	X

Bronzeville TIF Annual Report





OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
CITY OF CHICAGO

LORI E. LIGHTFOOT
MAYOR

September 21, 2022

TO THE HONORABLE, THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO

Ladies and Gentlemen:

At the request of the Commissioner of Planning and Development, I transmit herewith ordinances authorizing amendments to six TIF redevelopment plans and projects to extend the term of the districts by 12 years.

Your favorable consideration of these ordinances will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Mayor

ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, pursuant to ordinances adopted on November 4, 1998, and published in the Journal of Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago (the "Journal") for such date at pages 80645 to 80778, and under the provisions of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4.1 et seq., as amended (the "Act"), the City Council (the "Corporate Authorities") of the City of Chicago (the "City"): (i) approved a redevelopment plan and project (the "Original Plan") for a portion of the City known as the "Bronzeville Redevelopment Project Area" (the "Area") (the "Original Plan Ordinance"); (ii) designated the Area as a "redevelopment project area" within the requirements of the Act (the "Designation Ordinance"); and (iii) adopted tax increment financing for the Area (the "TIF Adoption Ordinance" and together with the Original Plan Ordinance and the Designation Ordinance, referred to herein collectively as the "TIF Ordinances"); and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities amended the Original Plan Ordinance pursuant to ordinances adopted on July 29, 2003, and published in the Journal for such date at pages 4990 to 5009 ("Amendment No. 1"), on December 7, 2005, and published in the Journal for such date at pages 63450 to 63460 ("Amendment No. 2"), on October 8, 2014, and published in the Journal for such date at pages 91588 to 91752 ("Amendment No. 3"), and on June 28, 2017, and published in the Journal for such date at pages 50671 to 50674 ("Amendment No. 4," and together with the Original Plan, Amendment No. 1, Amendment No. 2, and Amendment No. 3, the "Plan"); and

WHEREAS, the Original Plan established the estimated dates of completion of the redevelopment project described in the Plan and of the retirement of obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs to be November 4, 2021 which date is not more than twenty-three (23) years from the date of the adoption of the Designation Ordinance, and the Corporate Authorities made a finding in the Original Plan Ordinance that such date was not more than twenty-three (23) years from the date of the adoption of the Designation Ordinance in accordance with the provisions of Section 11-74.4-3(n)(3) of the Act in effect on the date of adoption of the TIF Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 91-478, which became effective November 1, 1999, amended Section 11-74.4-3(n)(3) of the Act, among other things, to provide that the estimated dates of completion of certain redevelopment projects and retirement of obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs shall not be later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in Section 11-74.4-8(b) of the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving a redevelopment project area is adopted; and

WHEREAS, Amendment No. 1 extended the completion date of redevelopment projects and retirement of obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs in the Area to December 31, 2022; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 102-0627 (the "Amendatory Act"), which became effective August 27, 2021, amended the Act, among other things, to add the Area to the list of authorized redevelopment project areas set forth in Section 11-74.4-3.5(c) of the Act by which redevelopment projects must be completed and obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs must be retired to be no later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to a municipal treasurer as provided in Section 11-74.4-8(b) of the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the thirty-fifth calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving a redevelopment project area is adopted; and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities desire further to amend and supplement the Plan to conform to Section 11-74.4-3.5(c) of the Act, as amended by the Amendatory Act, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 11-74.4-3(n)(3) of the Act;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO:

SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

SECTION 2. Approval of Amendment Number 5 to the Plan. "Amendment No. 5 to the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance Program Redevelopment Plan and Project," a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, is hereby approved.

SECTION 3. Finding. The Corporate Authorities hereby find that the estimated dates of completion of the redevelopment project described in the Plan and of the retirement of obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs set forth in the Plan, as amended by Amendment No. 5, conform to the provisions of Section 11-74.4-3(n)(3) and Section 11-74.4-3.5(c) of the Act.

SECTION 4. Invalidity of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 5. Superseder. All ordinances (including, without limitation, the TIF Ordinances), resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflicts.

SECTION 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage and approval.

EXHIBIT A

AMENDMENT NO. 5 TO THE BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA TAX
INCREMENT FINANCE PROGRAM REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AND PROJECT

Under Section V entitled "Bronzeville Redevelopment Plan and Project," Subsection E, "Issuance of Obligations," the first sentence of the second paragraph shall be deleted and replaced with the following:

"All obligations issued by the City pursuant to this Redevelopment Plan and the Act shall be retired no later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the thirty-fifth calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving the Project Area was adopted, such ultimate retirement date occurring on December 31, 2034."

Under Section N entitled "Phasing and Scheduling of Redevelopment," the last sentence of the second paragraph shall be deleted and replaced with the following:

"The estimated date of completion of the Redevelopment Plan and Project shall be no later than December 31, 2034."

Attachment B

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Susana Mendoza
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
555 W. Monroe Street, 1400S-A
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Attention: Rosanna Barbaro-Flores,
Director of Local Government

Pedro Martinez
Chief Executive Officer
Chicago Board of Education
42 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Daryl Okrzesik, Treasurer
City Colleges of Chicago
3901 South State Street
Chicago, Illinois 60609

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District
of Greater Chicago
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Xochitl Flores, Bureau Chief
Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Charles Givines, President
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Damon Howell, Chief Financial Officer
Forest Preserve District of Cook County
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060
Chicago, IL 60602

Rosa Escareno, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Brandon Johnson, in connection with the annual report (the “Report”) of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the “Act”), with regard to the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project Area (the “Redevelopment Project Area”), do hereby certify as follows:

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the “City”) and, as such, I am the City’s Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.


Attachment B

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2022, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Acting Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this June 29, 2023.



Brandon Johnson, Mayor
City of Chicago, Illinois



DEPARTMENT OF LAW

CITY OF CHICAGO

June 29, 2023

Susana Mendoza
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
555 W. Monroe Street, 1400S-A
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Attention: Rosanna Barbaro-Flores,
Director of Local Government

Daryl Okrzesik, Treasurer
City Colleges of Chicago
3901 South State Street
Chicago, Illinois 60609

Xochitl Flores, Bureau Chief
Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Damon Howell, Chief Financial Officer
Forest Preserve District of Cook County
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060
Chicago, IL 60602

Pedro Martinez, Chief Executive Officer
Chicago Board of Education
42 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District
of Greater Chicago
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Charles Givines, President
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Rosa Escareno, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Bronzeville Redevelopment Project Area
(the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am the Acting Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.


Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such City Departments and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Acting Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the factual certification of the Commissioner of the Department of Planning and Development attached hereto as Schedule 1, along with the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed.

Based on the foregoing, it is my opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,


Mary B. Richardson-Lowry
Acting Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

June 29, 2023

CERTIFICATION

Commissioner
Department of Planning and Development
City of Chicago

I, Maurice D. Cox, am the Commissioner of the Department of Planning and Development ("DPD") of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of DPD. I am also the TIF Administrator for the City for purposes of the Report (defined below). In such capacity, I am providing this Certification for the Corporation Counsel of the City to rely upon in connection with the opinion required by either Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), or by Section 11-74.6-22(d)(4) of the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq. (the "Law"), as the case may be, in connection with the submission of an annual report for calendar year 2022 (the "Report") containing the information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act or Section 11-74.6-22(d) of the Law for each of the Redevelopment Project Areas listed in Section 1 of the Report and hereby incorporated into this Certification (the "Redevelopment Project Areas").

I hereby certify the following to the Corporation Counsel of the City:

1. DPD has overall responsibility for and is familiar with the activities in each of the Redevelopment Project Areas. DPD personnel are familiar with the requirements of the Act and the Law and are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the City's Department of Law with respect to legal issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act and the Law.
2. DPD personnel have monitored compliance with the requirements of the Act and the Law during the previous fiscal year under my supervision and to my reasonable satisfaction in connection with each of the Redevelopment Project Areas.

Based on the foregoing, I hereby certify to the Corporation Counsel of the City that, in all material respects, DPD has taken the appropriate actions to ensure that the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act and the Law in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to each of the Redevelopment Project Areas.

This Certification is given in an official capacity and not personally, and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Further, this Certification may be relied upon only by the Corporation Counsel of the City in providing the required legal opinion in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,


Maurice D. Cox, Commissioner
Department of Planning and Development

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

Agreements entered into concerning the disposition or redevelopment of property within the Project Area during the preceding fiscal year are listed below

<u>Parties to Agreement with City</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Address</u>
N/A	Construction of Mixed Use Property	3809 S WABASH AVE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

MEETING OF THE
JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Re: Bronzeville Tax Increment Financing Program
Redevelopment Plan and Project Amendment No.6

Videoconference
Via Zoom

Thursday, October 6, 2022
10:27 a.m.

BOARD MEMBERS:

- Beth O'Reilly, Chairperson, Park District
- Trasjuan Creed, Chicago Public Schools
- John Zukosky, City Colleges of Chicago
- Tricia Marino Ruffolo, Cook County
- Kara Breems, City of Chicago
- Michael Brown, CMAP

PRESENT:

- Ryan Slattery, Department of Planning and Development
- JoAnn Worthy, Department of Planning and Development
- Elena Caminer, S.B. Friedman
- Geoffrey Dickinson, S.B. Friedman

Reported by: Nick D. Bowen
CSR No. 084-001661

1 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Okay. Now we're
2 moving on to the Bronzeville TIF Amendment No. 6
3 JRB meeting.

4 For the record, again, my name is
5 Beth O'Reilly. I am the representative of the
6 Chicago Park District under - which, under Section
7 11-74.4-5 of the TIF -- of the Tax Increment
8 Allocation Redevelopment Act, is one of the
9 statutorily designated members of the Joint Review
10 Board. Until election of a chairperson, I will
11 moderate this Joint Review Board meeting.

12 For the record, this will be a
13 meeting to review the proposed Bronzeville TIF
14 District Amendment No. 6. The date of this meeting
15 was announced at and set by the Community
16 Development Commission of the City of Chicago at
17 its meeting of September 13th, 2022. Notice of the
18 meeting in the Joint -- of the Joint Review Board
19 was also provided by certified mail to each taxing
20 district represented on the board, which includes
21 the Chicago Board of Education, the Chicago
22 Community College District 508, Chicago Park
23 District, Cook County, the City of Chicago, and the
24 public member. Public notice of this meeting was

1 also posted on Tuesday, October 4th, in various
2 locations in the City -- City Hall.

3 Our first order of business is to
4 elect a chairperson of this Joint Review Board.

5 Are there any nominations.

6 MS. CREED: I nominate you, Beth O'Reilly.

7 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Thank you, Tracey.

8 Let the record reflect that there
9 were no other nominations.

10 All in favor of this nomination,
11 please say aye.

12 (Chorus of ayes.)

13 All opposed, please say no.

14 (No response.)

15 Let the record reflect that Beth
16 O'Reilly has been elected as chairperson and will
17 now serve as the chairperson for the remainder of
18 the meeting.

19 As I mentioned, at this meeting we
20 will be reviewing a plan for the Bronzeville TIF
21 District Amendment No. 6 proposed by the City of
22 Chicago. Staff of the City's Department of
23 Planning and Development, Law, as well as other
24 departments have reviewed this plan amendment,

1 which was introduced to the City's Community
2 Development Commission on October 4th, 2022. We
3 will listen to a presentation by the consultants
4 on the plan.

5 Following the presentation, you can
6 address any questions that the members might have
7 for the consultant or the City staff.

8 Following the question period for
9 the board members, I will call upon members of the
10 public who have used raise-your-hand feature to be
11 recognized. However, due to the capacity
12 limitations, you will -- and available technology,
13 a limit of 15 members of the public may be called
14 upon to speak and will be limited to three minutes
15 each.

16 An amendment to the TIF Act requires
17 us to base our recommendation to approve or
18 disapprove the proposed Bronzeville TIF District
19 Amendment No. 6 on the basis of the area and the
20 plan satisfying the plan requirements, the
21 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act, and
22 the objectives of the TIF Act.

23 If the board approves the plan,
24 the board will then issue an advisory nonbinding

1 recommendation by the vote of the majority of those
2 members present and voting. Such recommendation
3 shall be submitted to the City within 30 days after
4 the board meeting. Failure to submit such
5 recommendation shall be deemed to constitute
6 approval by the board.

7 If the board disapproves of the
8 proposed plan, the board must issue a written
9 report describing why the plan and the area fail to
10 meet one or more of the objectives of the TIF Act
11 and both the plan requirements and the eligibility
12 criteria of the TIF Act.

13 The City will then have 30 days to
14 resubmit a revised plan. The board and the City
15 must also confer during that time to resolve the
16 issues that led to the board's disapproval. If
17 said issues cannot be resolved or the revised plan
18 is disapproved, the City may proceed with the plan
19 but can only be approved with three-fifths of the
20 vote of City Council, excluding positions of
21 members that are vacant and those members that are
22 ineligible to vote because of conflicts of
23 interest.

24 We are now going to hear the

1 presentation on the Bronzeville TIF Amendment
2 No. 6. The consultant on this project is S.B.
3 Friedman Development Advisory, LLC. Thank you.

4 MR. SLATTERY: Thanks, Beth. I like your
5 updated video screen.

6 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Why am I pink? Am I
7 pink to you guys?

8 MR. SLATTERY: You are pink, yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: What happened?

10 MR. SLATTERY: I don't know.

11 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Why did it all of a
12 sudden turn to pink? You know, I like the color.

13 MR. SLATTERY: I like it too.

14 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: I think I'm going to
15 try to turn it off.

16 MR. SLATTERY: Okay. Good morning, all. My
17 name is Ryan Slattery, and I'm the project manager
18 with the Department of Planning and Development.
19 Here today with me is Geoff Dickinson and Elena
20 Caminer, both of S.B. Friedman, the TIF consultant
21 for this TIF amendment.

22 The proposed -- the purpose of this
23 meeting is to discuss the Bronzeville Tax Increment
24 Financing District.

1 Oh, I didn't show you the -- sorry.
2 I didn't screen share. I apologize.

3 The main purpose for why we're here
4 today is to increase the Bronzeville TIF District
5 budget. Also we're here to propose to expand the
6 boundaries to include vacant lands and a portion
7 of the future Bronzeville Trail. As part of the
8 extension process, we will also be updating the
9 land use plan and making minor changes to the plan
10 language prior to receiving Council approval.

11 The Bronzeville TIF is generally
12 bounded by the Stevenson Expressway to the north,
13 Moe Drive to the east, 40th Street to the south,
14 and the Dan Ryan Expressway to the west. The
15 entire TIF area measures 491 acres.

16 The added area, as you can see in
17 the hatch, includes the Michael Reese expansion
18 area, the Pilgrim Gospel expansion area, and then
19 the Kenwood expansion area.

20 In order to establish a TIF
21 district, the state act requires that certain
22 eligibility factors are legally demonstrated within
23 the area as blighted to be present. For vacant
24 land, there are two paths for blighting

1 eligibility. The first path requires two of six
2 factors to be present to a meaningful extent and
3 reasonably distributed throughout the area. The
4 second path requires at least one of six possible
5 factors also be present to a meaningful extent and
6 reasonably distributed throughout the area.

7 After the review, it was demonstra- --
8 it was determined that the vacant area exhibited
9 both paths for blighting factors for the Michael
10 Reese expansion area. The two factor requirement
11 path included lack of growth in EAV and obsolete
12 platting. The EAV growth for the expanded area has
13 been less than the growth of the City and CPI for
14 five of the last five years.

15 In the aerial map to the right, you
16 can see that all three parcels do not have adequate
17 right of ways, alleys, or parcel size shape in line
18 with City standards.

19 The added area for Michael Reese and
20 Pilgrim Gospel met the one factor eligibility for
21 vacant land. A flooding study indicating that the
22 runoff of 100 percent of the added area contributed
23 to flooding within the watershed for both of those
24 areas.

1 For the improved lands, at least
2 three of thirteen factors must be present in the
3 area to qualify as a conservation area. A study
4 was performed. It was determined that the Kenwood
5 extension area qualifies as improved area for the
6 following factors:

7 Deterioration. As you can see in
8 the crumbling sidewalk and gutters on the photo to
9 the right.

10 Presence of structures below minimum
11 code.

12 And then inadequate utilities.

13 The redevelopment plan includes a
14 general land use plan for future development within
15 the TIF district. The entire area is identified as
16 mixed use, which generally includes commercial,
17 residential, institutional, open space, and right
18 of way.

19 Each TIF district has a lot of --
20 has a list of goals and objectives. The general
21 goal for the TIF is to reduce or eliminate the
22 conditions that qualify the area, and the
23 objectives include assisting future economic
24 development projects throughout the area, improve

1 infrastructure, parks and schools, and to create
2 job opportunities within the redevelopment project
3 area.

4 TIF funds have been used
5 successfully in many projects in the area,
6 including the arts and rec center at Ellis Park,
7 formerly known as Quad Communities Arts and Rec
8 Center. TIF funds were also used for Phillips
9 Academy High School, a gymnasium annex. And then
10 Williams Park fieldhouse improvements. And then
11 street improvements.

12 In order to make future projects a
13 reality, the redevelopment plan includes an updated
14 budget that captures the increment that will be
15 generated during the additional 12 years of the
16 TIF. The expected increment to be generated is
17 \$260 million. As there -- as you can see, the
18 majority of the funds for the TIF are for public
19 infrastructure, affordable housing construction,
20 and property assembly items.

21 We anticipate the future TIF-funded
22 projects within the area include the redevelopment
23 of the 21st District police station, currently in
24 process is the Renaissance Apartments, and then the

1 Small Business Improvement Fund, or SBIF.

2 Thank you for your time. And S.B.
3 Friedman and I are happy to answer any questions
4 you guys may have.

5 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Okay. I'm back. I'm
6 keeping my little sticker on my camera because I
7 don't know why I turned pink.

8 But based on the presentation, do
9 any members of the Joint Review Board have any
10 questions?

11 MR. ZUKOSKY: Ryan, could you please go back
12 to the map?

13 MR. SLATTERY: Yep.

14 MR. ZUKOSKY: Thank you. Yes, that one.
15 Okay.

16 MR. SLATTERY: There was a -- originally the
17 Michael Reese extension area, the northern -- the
18 northeastern one was included in the previous minor
19 amendment, which I think was minor Amendment 3.
20 But the legal description wasn't correct, I
21 believe. So that's why we aren't listing that.

22 But -- and then also just for
23 reference, the Kenwood extension area, that's for
24 the Bronzeville Trail. So that's a future similar

1 to the 606 idea. So we wanted to include that as
2 potentially being able to use TIF in order to help
3 subsidize a portion of that project.

4 MR. ZUKOSKY: Yeah. And I think we're in
5 that at Dawson Tech, which is State and Pershing,
6 right by that trail proposal, which is -- again, I
7 think is just a really cool thing. So we might be
8 talking at some point. Okay?

9 MR. SLATTERY: That sounds great.

10 MR. ZUKOSKY: That area is -- again, it's
11 ripe for, you know, the spirit of TIF, I think. So
12 that's why I wanted to just look at that again.
13 Thank you.

14 MR. SLATTERY: Of course.

15 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Any further questions
16 from the members of the Joint Review Board?

17 MR. BROWN: Yes. This is Michael. I have a
18 question.

19 Ryan, could you speak to the
20 community meeting? I'm assuming one was had. Talk
21 about any comments, conversations, questions that
22 community members or the alderman's office had.

23 MR. SLATTERY: Sure. Yeah. So we had -- we
24 had a public meeting in July. It was a combination

1 of actually all three of these TIFs districts since
2 they're adjacent to each other. There's a lot of
3 overlappings of a lot of the communities, the same
4 communities. They want to have them all together
5 so they can see all three of them.

6 Their -- the community was very
7 supportive overall of the extensions of all these
8 and expansions. For this one, they were excited
9 about the Bronzeville Trail. That was definitely
10 something that was -- interested people. People
11 were interested in the Neighborhood Improvement
12 Program, NIP. It's for housing within the area.
13 They were questioning if they were able to include
14 that. As of right now, we don't have that. And
15 within the area, we used to. But we talked with
16 the alderman's office and potentially adding that
17 in the future as well as a program that could be
18 used within this area and also 43rd and Cottage
19 Grove.

20 But we had a hundred -- it was over
21 a hundred for sure. We had two meetings back to
22 back; one was 125 and one was 100. And I believe
23 this one was the 100. So there was a good turnout
24 for the community.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. This is also an extension
2 for 12 years. Could you, I guess, kind of
3 summarize and speak to how the original timeframe --
4 the -- I guess the percentage of the funds that
5 were captured, how much was used from the original
6 budget? Because I didn't see that information.

7 MR. SLATTERY: You know what? Let me -- I
8 can get you that figure. I don't have that offhand
9 right now. I know I probably have it somewhere,
10 but I don't have those, you know, like right in
11 front of me.

12 But -- so, you know, a good amount
13 of it has been used for the Michael Reese site.
14 There was -- it's a -- which is way above me. It's
15 engineering -- whatever. But -- so there was a
16 whole bunch of remediation that needed to occur
17 within that area that we have paid for through TIF.
18 So that was a large -- that was probably the
19 largest component that we've used for it. But I
20 can get you the figure of how much we expended so
21 far. I can send that to you. I can send that
22 along to you.

23 MR. BROWN: And the reason I ask, I guess
24 with the extension, I'm hoping that there is a plan

1 within the next 12 years to exhaust as much of the
2 funds for projects as possible. I do appreciate
3 you putting up the three projects, I think, that
4 you share that are in line for next redevelopment,
5 but hopefully we can put more projects up to make
6 sure we exhaust all the money.

7 MR. SLATTERY: Yeah. And we -- you know, so
8 for 43rd and Cottage Grove, we had the Bronzeville
9 Trail. I think we could have also put that here as
10 well. But the three that we put up were the ones
11 that either are like in process right now or like
12 known. But there definitely is quite a bit of
13 schools and parks within Bronzeville. So that's a
14 great advantage for CPS and Park Districts that
15 they can continue to avail those resources. And
16 now John's mentioned that City Colleges is right
17 there at State and Pershing. So that's also a
18 benefit for them to be able to in the future to use
19 as well. So there is plans -- there is the
20 building out for the next 12 years. And I think
21 hopefully we'll see more development occurring
22 throughout the -- throughout this TIF district
23 because it is a -- it's a rather large TIF that
24 still has definitely some need with -- definitely

1 has need without -- throughout the area.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you. I appreciate your
3 comments.

4 MR. SLATTERY: Yeah. Thank you.

5 MS. RUFFOLO: Ryan, just one comment, a
6 general comment. You know, as we -- as we're on
7 Amendment No. 6, it might be helpful to see what --
8 just a one sentence, moving forward, on what the
9 other amendments are -- were for instead of having
10 to go read back -- you know, it's getting
11 challenging to read the original plan and then
12 substitute in all the five different changes. I'm
13 sure you guys have talked about -- you know,
14 obviously you want to keep it streamlined. But not
15 having them all together and not understanding what
16 they are can make it complicated for someone who is
17 not familiar with them.

18 MR. SLATTERY: No. That's fair. And I think
19 maybe we can do that in the executive summary of
20 just doing Amend 1 occurred here and Amendment 2
21 and then going through that process and list the
22 reasons behind it. Yeah, that makes sense. And,
23 yes, it is -- this one specifically is -- I don't
24 know if we have any one that has more than six

1 amendments.

2 MS. RUFFOLO: Hopefully not.

3 MR. SLATTERY: But yeah. No. That's
4 definitely noted. And it was very hard to review
5 these plans because of that. So Madden/Wells was
6 much easier to understand compared to this one,
7 so ...

8 MS. RUFFOLO: That's it.

9 MR. SLATTERY: Thank you.

10 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Any further comments,
11 questions?

12 (No response.)

13 Ryan, are there any public members
14 on this call?

15 MR. SLATTERY: There are not.

16 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Okay. Then we'll just
17 skip that section.

18 If there are no further questions, I
19 will entertain a motion that this Joint Review
20 Board finds the proposed Bronzeville TIF Amendment
21 No. 6 satisfies the redevelopment plan requirements
22 under the TIF Act, the eligibility criteria defined
23 in Section 11-74.4-3 of the TIF Act, and the
24 objectives of the TIF Act, and that based on such

1 findings approve such proposed plan amendment under
2 the TIF Act.

3 Is there a motion?

4 MR. ZUKOSKY: So moved.

5 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Thank you.

6 Is there a second for the motion?

7 MS. RUFFOLO: This is Tricia Ruffolo.

8 Second.

9 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: Thank you.

10 Is there any further discussion?

11 MR. ZUKOSKY: Did you hear my dog voicing
12 approval for the motion there? Sorry. Sorry for
13 that, everyone.

14 CHAIRPERSON O'REILLY: No worries.

15 If not, all in favor, please vote by
16 saying aye.

17 (Chorus of ayes.)

18 All opposed, please vote by saying
19 no.

20 (No response.)

21 Let the record reflect the Joint
22 Review Board's approval of the proposed Bronzeville
23 TIF Amendment No. 6 under the IF Act.

24 We are now going to adjourn

1 Bronzeville TIF Act Amendment No. 6 JRB meeting.

2 Thank you.

3 (The proceedings adjourned at
4 10:46 a.m.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Nick D. Bowen, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings of said hearing as appears from my stenographic notes so taken and transcribed under my direction.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this 25th day of October 2022.



Illinois CSR No. 084-001661

<hr/> \$ <hr/>	<hr/> A <hr/>	<hr/> B <hr/>
\$260 10:17	a.m. 19:4	18 14:17 16:1
<hr/> 1 <hr/>	Academy 10:9	areas 8:24
1 16:20	acres 7:15	arts 10:6,7
100 8:22 13:22,23	act 2:8 4:16,21,22 5:10,12 7:21 17:22,23,24 18:2,23 19:1	assembly 10:20
10:46 19:4	added 7:16 8:19,22	assisting 9:23
11-74.4-3 17:23	adding 13:16	assuming 12:20
11-74.4-5 2:7	additional 10:15	avail 15:15
12 10:15 14:2 15:1,20	address 4:6	aye 3:11 18:16
125 13:22	adequate 8:16	ayes 3:12 18:17
13th 2:17	adjacent 13:2	<hr/> B <hr/>
15 4:13	adjourn 18:24	back 11:5,11 13:21,22 16:10
<hr/> 2 <hr/>	adjourned 19:3	base 4:17
2 16:20	advantage 15:14	based 11:8 17:24
2022 2:17 4:2	advisory 4:24 6:3	basis 4:19
21st 10:23	aerial 8:15	benefit 15:18
<hr/> 3 <hr/>	affordable 10:19	Beth 2:5 3:6,15 6:4
3 11:19	alderman's 12:22 13:16	bit 15:12
30 5:3,13	alleys 8:17	blighted 7:23
<hr/> 4 <hr/>	Allocation 2:8	blighting 7:24 8:9
40th 7:13	Amend 16:20	board 2:10,11,18,20,21 3:4 4:9,23, 24 5:4,6,7,8,14 11:9 12:16 17:20
43rd 13:18 15:8	amendment 2:2,14 3:21,24 4:16, 19 6:1,21 11:19 16:7,20 17:20 18:1,23 19:1	board's 5:16 18:22
491 7:15	amendments 16:9 17:1	boundaries 7:6
4th 3:1 4:2	amount 14:12	bounded 7:12
<hr/> 5 <hr/>	annex 10:9	Bronzeville 2:2,13 3:20 4:18 6:1, 23 7:4,7,11 11:24 13:9 15:8,13 17:20 18:22 19:1
508 2:22	announced 2:15	BROWN 12:17 14:1,23 16:2
<hr/> 6 <hr/>	anticipate 10:21	budget 7:5 10:14 14:6
6 2:2,14 3:21 4:19 6:2 16:7 17:21 18:23 19:1	Apartments 10:24	building 15:20
606 12:1	apologize 7:2	bunch 14:16
	approval 5:6 7:10 18:12,22	business 3:3 11:1
	approve 4:17 18:1	<hr/> C <hr/>
	approved 5:19	call 4:9 17:14
	approves 4:23	called 4:13
	area 4:19 5:9 7:15,16,18,19,23 8:3, 6,8,10,12,19,22 9:3,5,15,22,24 10:3,5,22 11:17,23 12:10 13:12,15,	camera 11:6
		Caminer 6:20

MEETING, 10/06/2022

capacity 4:11	conversations 12:21	discussion 18:10
captured 14:5	Cook 2:23	distributed 8:3,6
captures 10:14	cool 12:7	district 2:6,14,20,22,23 3:21 4:18 6:24 7:4,21 9:15,19 10:23 15:22
center 10:6,8	correct 11:20	districts 13:1 15:14
certified 2:19	Cottage 13:18 15:8	dog 18:11
chairperson 2:1,10 3:4,7,16,17 6:6,9,11,14 11:5 12:15 17:10,16 18:5,9,14	Council 5:20 7:10	Drive 7:13
challenging 16:11	County 2:23	due 4:11
Chicago 2:6,16,21,22,23 3:22	CPI 8:13	
chorus 3:12 18:17	CPS 15:14	<hr/> E <hr/>
City 2:16,23 3:2,21 4:7 5:3,13,14, 18,20 8:13,18 15:16	create 10:1	easier 17:6
City's 3:22 4:1	CREED 3:6	east 7:13
code 9:11	criteria 4:21 5:12 17:22	EAV 8:11,12
College 2:22	crumbling 9:8	economic 9:23
Colleges 15:16	<hr/> D <hr/>	Education 2:21
color 6:12	Dan 7:14	elect 3:4
combination 12:24	date 2:14	elected 3:16
comment 16:5,6	Dawson 12:5	election 2:10
comments 12:21 16:3 17:10	days 5:3,13	Elena 6:19
commercial 9:16	deemed 5:5	eligibility 4:21 5:11 7:22 8:1,20 17:22
Commission 2:16 4:2	defined 4:21 17:22	eliminate 9:21
communities 10:7 13:3,4	demonstra- 8:7	Ellis 10:6
community 2:15,22 4:1 12:20,22 13:6,24	demonstrated 7:22	engineering 14:15
compared 17:6	Department 3:22 6:18	entertain 17:19
complicated 16:16	departments 3:24	entire 7:15 9:15
component 14:19	describing 5:9	establish 7:20
conditions 9:22	description 11:20	excited 13:8
confer 5:15	designated 2:9	excluding 5:20
conflicts 5:22	Deterioration 9:7	executive 16:19
conservation 9:3	determined 8:8 9:4	exhaust 15:1,6
constitute 5:5	development 2:16 3:23 4:2 6:3,18 9:14,24 15:21	exhibited 8:8
construction 10:19	Dickinson 6:19	expand 7:5
consultant 4:7 6:2,20	disapproval 5:16	expanded 8:12
consultants 4:3	disapprove 4:18	expansion 7:17,18,19 8:10
continue 15:15	disapproved 5:18	expansions 13:8
contributed 8:22	disapproves 5:7	expected 10:16
	discuss 6:23	expended 14:20

MEETING, 10/06/2022

Expressway 7:12,14	great 12:9 15:14	infrastructure 10:1,19
extension 7:8 9:5 11:17,23 14:1, 24	Grove 13:19 15:8	institutional 9:17
extensions 13:7	growth 8:11,12,13	interest 5:23
extent 8:2,5	guess 14:2,4,23	interested 13:10,11
<hr/>	gutters 9:8	introduced 4:1
F	guys 6:7 11:4 16:13	issue 4:24 5:8
<hr/>	gymnasium 10:9	issues 5:16,17
factor 8:10,20	<hr/>	items 10:20
factors 7:22 8:2,5,9 9:2,6	H	<hr/>
fail 5:9	<hr/>	J
Failure 5:4	Hall 3:2	job 10:2
fair 16:18	happened 6:9	John's 15:16
familiar 16:17	happy 11:3	Joint 2:9,11,18 3:4 11:9 12:16 17:19 18:21
favor 3:10 18:15	hard 17:4	JRB 2:3 19:1
feature 4:10	hatch 7:17	July 12:24
fieldhouse 10:10	hear 5:24 18:11	<hr/>
figure 14:8,20	helpful 16:7	K
Financing 6:24	High 10:9	<hr/>
findings 18:1	hoping 14:24	keeping 11:6
finds 17:20	housing 10:19 13:12	Kenwood 7:19 9:4 11:23
flooding 8:21,23	hundred 13:20,21	kind 14:2
forward 16:8	<hr/>	<hr/>
Friedman 6:3,20 11:3	I	L
front 14:11	<hr/>	<hr/>
Fund 11:1	idea 12:1	lack 8:11
funds 10:4,8,18 14:4 15:2	identified 9:15	land 7:9,24 8:21 9:14
future 7:7 9:14,23 10:12,21 11:24 13:17 15:18	improve 9:24	lands 7:6 9:1
<hr/>	improved 9:1,5	language 7:10
G	Improvement 11:1 13:11	large 14:18 15:23
<hr/>	improvements 10:10,11	largest 14:19
general 9:14,20 16:6	inadequate 9:12	Law 3:23
generally 7:11 9:16	include 7:6 9:23 10:22 12:1 13:13	led 5:16
generated 10:15,16	included 8:11 11:18	legal 11:20
Geoff 6:19	includes 2:20 7:17 9:13,16 10:13	legally 7:22
goal 9:21	including 10:6	limit 4:13
goals 9:20	increase 7:4	limitations 4:12
good 6:16 13:23 14:12	increment 2:7 6:23 10:14,16	limited 4:14
Gospel 7:18 8:20	indicating 8:21	list 9:20 16:21
	ineligible 5:22	listen 4:3
	information 14:6	

MEETING, 10/06/2022

listing 11:21	moving 2:2 16:8	parcel 8:17
LLC 6:3		parcels 8:16
locations 3:2	<hr/> N <hr/>	Park 2:6,22 10:6,10 15:14
lot 9:19 13:2,3	needed 14:16	parks 10:1 15:13
<hr/> M <hr/>	Neighborhood 13:11	part 7:7
Madden/wells 17:5	NIP 13:12	path 8:1,4,11
mail 2:19	nominate 3:6	paths 7:24 8:9
main 7:3	nomination 3:10	people 13:10
majority 5:1 10:18	nominations 3:5,9	percent 8:22
make 10:12 15:5 16:16	nonbinding 4:24	percentage 14:4
makes 16:22	north 7:12	performed 9:4
making 7:9	northeastern 11:18	period 4:8
manager 6:17	northern 11:17	Pershing 12:5 15:17
map 8:15 11:12	noted 17:4	Phillips 10:8
meaningful 8:2,5	notice 2:17,24	photo 9:8
measures 7:15	<hr/> O <hr/>	Pilgrim 7:18 8:20
meet 5:10	O'REILLY 2:1,5 3:6,7,16 6:6,9,11, 14 11:5 12:15 17:10,16 18:5,9,14	pink 6:6,7,8,12 11:7
meeting 2:3,11,13,14,17,18,24 3:18,19 5:4 6:23 12:20,24 19:1	objectives 4:22 5:10 9:20,23 17:24	plan 3:20,24 4:4,20,23 5:8,9,11,14, 17,18 7:9 9:13,14 10:13 14:24 16:11 17:21 18:1
meetings 13:21	obsolete 8:11	Planning 3:23 6:18
member 2:24	occur 14:16	plans 15:19 17:5
members 2:9 4:6,9,13 5:2,21 11:9 12:16,22 17:13	occurred 16:20	plating 8:12
mentioned 3:19 15:16	occurring 15:21	point 12:8
met 8:20	October 3:1 4:2	police 10:23
Michael 7:17 8:9,19 11:17 12:17 14:13	offhand 14:8	portion 7:6 12:3
million 10:17	office 12:22 13:16	positions 5:20
minimum 9:10	open 9:17	posted 3:1
minor 7:9 11:18,19	opportunities 10:2	potentially 12:2 13:16
minutes 4:14	opposed 3:13 18:18	Presence 9:10
mixed 9:16	order 3:3 7:20 10:12 12:2	present 5:2 7:23 8:2,5 9:2
moderate 2:11	original 14:3,5 16:11	presentation 4:3,5 6:1 11:8
Moe 7:13	originally 11:16	previous 11:18
money 15:6	overlappings 13:3	prior 7:10
morning 6:16	<hr/> P <hr/>	proceed 5:18
motion 17:19 18:3,6,12		proceedings 19:3
moved 18:4	paid 14:17	process 7:8 10:24 15:11 16:21
		program 13:12,17

MEETING, 10/06/2022

project 6:2,17 10:2 12:3	reflect 3:8,15 18:21	sentence 16:8
projects 9:24 10:5,12,22 15:2,3,5	remainder 3:17	September 2:17
property 10:20	remediation 14:16	serve 3:17
proposal 12:6	Renaissance 10:24	set 2:15
propose 7:5	report 5:9	shape 8:17
proposed 2:13 3:21 4:18 5:8 6:22 17:20 18:1,22	representative 2:5	share 7:2 15:4
provided 2:19	represented 2:20	show 7:1
public 2:24 4:10,13 10:18 12:24 17:13	requirement 8:10	sidewalk 9:8
purpose 6:22 7:3	requirements 4:20 5:11 17:21	similar 11:24
put 15:5,9,10	requires 4:16 7:21 8:1,4	site 14:13
putting 15:3	residential 9:17	size 8:17
	resolve 5:15	skip 17:17
	resolved 5:17	Slattery 6:4,8,10,13,16,17 11:13, 16 12:9,14,23 14:7 15:7 16:4,18 17:3,9,15
<hr/> Q <hr/>	resources 15:15	Small 11:1
Quad 10:7	response 3:14 17:12 18:20	sounds 12:9
qualifies 9:5	resubmit 5:14	south 7:13
qualify 9:3,22	review 2:9,11,13,18 3:4 8:7 11:9 12:16 17:4,19 18:22	space 9:17
question 4:8 12:18	reviewed 3:24	speak 4:14 12:19 14:3
questioning 13:13	reviewing 3:20	specifically 16:23
questions 4:6 11:3,10 12:15,21 17:11,18	revised 5:14,17	spirit 12:11
	ripe 12:11	staff 3:22 4:7
<hr/> R <hr/>	Ruffolo 16:5 17:2,8 18:7	standards 8:18
raise-your-hand 4:10	runoff 8:22	state 7:21 12:5 15:17
read 16:10,11	Ryan 6:17 7:14 11:11 12:19 16:5 17:13	station 10:23
reality 10:13		statutorily 2:9
reason 14:23	<hr/> S <hr/>	Stevenson 7:12
reasons 16:22	S.B. 6:2,20 11:2	sticker 11:6
rec 10:6,7	satisfies 17:21	streamlined 16:14
receiving 7:10	satisfying 4:20	street 7:13 10:11
recognized 4:11	SBIF 11:1	structures 9:10
recommendation 4:17 5:1,2,5	School 10:9	study 8:21 9:3
record 2:4,12 3:8,15 18:21	schools 10:1 15:13	submit 5:4
redevelopment 2:8 9:13 10:2,13, 22 15:4 17:21	screen 6:5 7:2	submitted 5:3
reduce 9:21	section 2:6 17:17,23	subsidize 12:3
Reese 7:17 8:10,19 11:17 14:13	send 14:21	substitute 16:12
reference 11:23	sense 16:22	successfully 10:5

MEETING, 10/06/2022

sudden 6:12	utilities 9:12
summarize 14:3	
summary 16:19	<hr/> V <hr/>
supportive 13:7	vacant 5:21 7:6,23 8:8,21
<hr/> T <hr/>	video 6:5
Talk 12:20	voicing 18:11
talked 13:15 16:13	vote 5:1,20,22 18:15,18
talking 12:8	voting 5:2
Tax 2:7 6:23	<hr/> W <hr/>
taxing 2:19	wanted 12:1,12
Tech 12:5	watershed 8:23
technology 4:12	ways 8:17
thing 12:7	west 7:14
thirteen 9:2	Williams 10:10
three-fifths 5:19	worries 18:14
TIF 2:2,7,13 3:20 4:16,18,21,22 5:10,12 6:1,20,21 7:4,11,15,20 9:15,19,21 10:4,8,16,18 12:2,11 14:17 15:22,23 17:20,22,23,24 18:2,23 19:1	written 5:8
TIF-FUNDED 10:21	<hr/> Y <hr/>
TIFS 13:1	years 8:14 10:15 14:2 15:1,20
time 5:15 11:2	<hr/> Z <hr/>
timeframe 14:3	ZUKOSKY 11:11,14 12:4,10 18:4, 11
today 6:19 7:4	
Tracey 3:7	
trail 7:7 11:24 12:6 13:9 15:9	
Tricia 18:7	
Tuesday 3:1	
turn 6:12,15	
turned 11:7	
turnout 13:23	
<hr/> U <hr/>	
understand 17:6	
understanding 16:15	
updated 6:5 10:13	
updating 7:8	

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2022

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

C O N T E N T S

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis	3-5
Statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet	6
Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance	7
Notes to financial statements	8-10
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	11



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Brandon Johnson, Mayor
Members of the City Council
City of Chicago, Illinois

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the City of Chicago, Illinois, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Emphasis of Matter

As described in Note 1, the financial statements of the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project, City of Chicago, Illinois, are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position, of only that portion of the special revenue funds of the City of Chicago, Illinois that is attributable to the transactions of the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project. They do not purport to, and do not, present the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2022 and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery,

intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Chicago's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.


We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, such information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



June 29, 2023

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(UNAUDITED)

As management of the Bronzeville Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2022. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statement provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net position includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net position and how they have changed. Net position – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(UNAUDITED)
(Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$6,988,183 for the year. This was an increase of 6 percent over the prior year. The change in net position produced a decrease in net position of \$269,667. The Project's net position decreased by 1 percent from the prior year making available \$43,947,196 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Expenses increased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was substantially complete and available.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(UNAUDITED)
(Concluded)

Government-Wide

	<u>2022</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
Total assets	\$ 45,336,779	\$ 44,534,605	\$ 802,174	2%
Total liabilities	<u>1,389,583</u>	<u>317,742</u>	<u>1,071,841</u>	337%
Total net position	<u>\$ 43,947,196</u>	<u>\$ 44,216,863</u>	<u>\$ (269,667)</u>	-1%
Total revenues	\$ 5,769,735	\$ 6,627,257	\$ (857,522)	-13%
Total expenses	<u>6,039,402</u>	<u>1,891,183</u>	<u>4,148,219</u>	219%
Changes in net position	<u>(269,667)</u>	<u>4,736,074</u>	<u>(5,005,741)</u>	-106%
Ending net position	<u>\$ 43,947,196</u>	<u>\$ 44,216,863</u>	<u>\$ (269,667)</u>	-1%

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND
GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 2022

<u>ASSETS</u>	<u>Governmental Fund</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Statement of Net Position</u>
Cash and investments	\$ 37,477,991	\$ -	\$ 37,477,991
Property taxes receivable	7,751,789	-	7,751,789
Accrued interest receivable	106,999	-	106,999
Total assets	<u>\$45,336,779</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 45,336,779</u>
<u>LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS</u>			
Vouchers payable	\$ 1,297,192	\$ -	\$ 1,297,192
Due to other City funds	92,391	-	92,391
Total liabilities	<u>1,389,583</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,389,583</u>
Deferred inflows	<u>5,694,489</u>	<u>(5,694,489)</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION</u>			
Fund balance:			
Restricted for future redevelopment project costs	<u>38,252,707</u>	<u>(38,252,707)</u>	-
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance	<u>\$45,336,779</u>		
Net position:			
Restricted for future redevelopment project costs		<u>43,947,196</u>	<u>43,947,196</u>
Total net position		<u>\$ 43,947,196</u>	<u>\$ 43,947,196</u>
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:			
Total fund balance - governmental fund			\$ 38,252,707
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.			<u>5,694,489</u>
Total net position - governmental activities			<u>\$ 43,947,196</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022

	<u>Governmental Fund</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Statement of Activities</u>
Revenues:			
Property tax	\$ 6,718,217	\$ 269,966	\$ 6,988,183
Interest income (loss)	(1,218,448)	-	(1,218,448)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total revenues	5,499,769	269,966	5,769,735
Expenditures/expenses:			
Economic development projects	6,039,402	-	6,039,402
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Excess of expenditures over revenues	(539,633)	539,633	-
Change in net position	-	(269,667)	(269,667)
Fund balance/net position:			
Beginning of year	38,792,340	5,424,523	44,216,863
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
End of year	<u>\$ 38,252,707</u>	<u>\$ 5,694,489</u>	<u>\$ 43,947,196</u>

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balance - governmental fund	\$ (539,633)
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.	<hr/> 269,966
Change in net position - governmental activities	<u>\$ (269,667)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) *Reporting Entity*

In November 1998, the City of Chicago (City) established the Bronzeville Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

The financial statements present only the activities of the Bronzeville Tax Increment Redevelopment Project and do not purport to present the financial position and the changes in financial position of any other special revenue funds of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2022 and for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

(b) *Accounting Policies*

The accounting policies of the Project are based upon accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

(c) *Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements*

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) and the governmental fund financial statements (i.e., the balance sheet and the statement of governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance) report information on the Project. See Note 1(a).

(d) *Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation*

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(d) *Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation (Concluded)*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(e) *Assets, Liabilities and Net Position*

Cash and Investments

Cash being held by the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned and fair market value adjustments on pooled investments are allocated to participating funds based on their average combined cash and investment balances. Since investment income is derived from pooled investments, the fair value measurement and fair value hierarchy disclosures of GASB 72 will not be separately presented in a note disclosure.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are recognized at amortized cost. In 2022, due to fair value adjustments, investment income is showing a loss.

Deferred Inflows

Deferred inflows represent deferred property tax revenue amounts to be recognized as revenue in future years in the governmental fund financial statements.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e., infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Concluded)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

(f) *Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability*

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

Note 2 – Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

As of December 31, 2022, the Project has various outstanding service and construction projects with encumbrances for approximately \$5,885,487.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
BRONZEVILLE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing	\$ 202,581
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings and fixtures	3,500
Costs of the construction of public works or improvements	<u>5,833,321</u>
	<u><u>\$ 6,039,402</u></u>



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Brandon Johnson, Mayor
Members of the City Council
City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, which comprise the statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet as of December 31, 2022, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and we have issued our report thereon dated June 29, 2023.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Bronzeville Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Project's noncompliance with the above referenced regulatory provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Prado & Renteria

June 29, 2023

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS

FY 2022

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Bronzeville

A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2022 to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]

Name of Agreement	Description of Agreement	Amount Transferred Out	Amount Received
IGA - CPD - Dog Park	Improvements to park	\$6,680	