## 2015 Annual Report

## Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2016

#### FY 2015 ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT



Name of M	unicipality:	City of Chicago	Reporting F	iscal Year:	2015
County:		Cook	Fiscal Year	End:	12/31/2015
Unit Code:		016/620/30			
		TIF Admi	inistrator Contact Inf	ormation	
First Name:	David L.		Last Name:	Reifman	
Address:	City Hall, 1	121 N. La Salle	Title:	Administrator	
Telephone:	(312) 744-	4190	City:	Chicago	Zip: 60602
Mobile	n/a		E-mail- required	TIFReports@cityo	fchicago.org
Mobile Provider	n/a		Best way to contact	X Email Mobile	Phone Mail
I attest to th	e best of m	ly knowledge, this report of	of the redevelopment p	project areas in: City	y/Village of Chicago
Act [65 ILC:	S 5/11-74,4	ate at the end of this report 3 et seq.]—Or the Indust	rial Jobs Recovery Lav		

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)\*)

FILL OUT ONE FOR <u>EACH</u> TIF DISTICT					
Name of Redevelopment Project Area Date Designated Date Terminated					
24th/Michigan	7/21/1999	7/21/2022			
26th and King Drive	1/11/2006	12/31/2030			
35th and Wallace	12/15/1999	12/31/2023			
35th/Halsted	1/14/1997	12/31/2021			
35th/State	1/14/2004	12/31/2028			
43rd/Cottage Grove	7/8/1998	12/31/2022			
47th/Ashland	3/27/2002	12/31/2026			
47th/Halsted	5/29/2002	12/31/2026			
47th/King Drive	3/27/2002	12/31/2026			
47th/State	7/21/2004	12/31/2028			
49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue	1/10/1996	12/31/2020			
51st/ Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024			
51st/Lake Park	11/15/2012	12/31/2036			
53rd Street	1/10/2001	12/31/2025			
60th and Western	5/9/1996	5/9/2019			
63rd/Ashland	3/29/2006	12/31/2030			
63rd/Pulaski	5/17/2000	12/31/2024			
67th/Cicero	10/2/2002	12/31/2026			
67th/Wentworth	5/4/2011	12/31/2035			
69th/Ashland	11/3/2004	12/31/2028			
71st and Stony Island	10/7/1998	10/7/2021			
73rd/University	9/13/2006	12/31/2030			
79th and Cicero	6/8/2005	12/31/2029			

<sup>\*</sup>All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

Name of Municipality:ChicagoReporting Fiscal Year:2015County:CookFiscal Year End:12 /31/2015

Unit Code: 016/620/30

79th Street Corridor	7/8/1998	7/8/2021
79th Street/Southwest Highway	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
79th/Vincennes	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
83rd/Stewart	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
87th/Cottage Grove	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
95th and Western	7/13/1995	12/31/2019
105th/Vincennes	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
107th Halsted	4/2/2014	12/31/2038
111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
119th and Halsted	2/6/2002	12/31/2026
119th/I-57	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
126th and Torrence	12/21/1994	12/21/2017
Addison Corridor North	6/4/1997	6/4/2020
Addison South	5/9/2007	12/31/2031
Archer Courts	5/12/1999	12/31/2023
Archer/ Central	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Archer/Western	2/11/2009	12/31/2033
Armitage/Pulaski	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Austin Commercial	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
Avalon Park/South Shore	7/31/2002	12/31/2026
Avondale	7/29/2009	12/31/2033
Belmont/Central	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Belmont/Cicero	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Bronzeville	11/4/1998	12/31/2022
Bryn Mawr/Broadway	12/11/1996	12/11/2019
Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road	7/29/1998	7/29/2021
Calumet River	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Canal/Congress	11/12/1998	12/31/2022
Central West	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Chicago/ Kingsbury	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Chicago/Central Park	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Chicago Lakeside Development – Phase 1 (USX)	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Cicero/Archer -	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Clark Street and Ridge Avenue	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
Clark/Montrose	7/7/1999	7/7/2022
Commercial Avenue	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
Devon/Sheridan	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
Devon/Western	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Diversey/Narragansett	2/5/2003	12/31/2027
Division/Homan	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Drexel Boulevard	7/10/2002	12/31/2026
Edgewater/ Ashland	10/1/2003	12/31/2027
<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>		

Name of Municipality:	Chicago	Reporting Fiscal Year:	2015
County:	Cook	Fiscal Year End:	12 /31/2015
Unit Code:	016/620/30		

.

Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor	7/19/2007	12/31/2031
Englewood Mall	11/29/1989	12/31/2025
Englewood Neighborhood	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Ewing Avenue	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive	7/13/1994	12/31/2018
Foster California	4/2/2014	12/31/2038
Fullerton/ Milwaukee	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Galewood/Armitage Industrial	7/7/1999	12/31/2023
Goose Island	7/10/1996	7/10/2019
Greater Southwest Industrial Coπidor (East)	3/10/1999	12/31/2023
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West)	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area	3/14/2007	12/31/2031
Harrison/Central	7/26/2006	12/31/2030
Hollywood/Sheridan	11/7/2007	12/31/2031
Homan-Arthington	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Humboldt Park Commercial	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Irving Park/Elston	5/13/2009	12/31/2033
Irving/Cicero	6/10/1996	12/31/2020
Jefferson Park Business District	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Jefferson/ Roosevelt	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Kennedy/Kimball	3/12/2008	12/31/2032
Kinzie Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2022
Lake Calumet Area Industrial	12/13/2000	12/31/2024
Lakefront	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
LaSalle Central	11/15/2006	12/31/2030
Lawrence/ Kedzie	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Lawrence/Broadway	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Lawrence/Pulaski	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lincoln Avenue	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland	11/2/1994	12/31/2018
Little Village East	4/22/2009	12/31/2033
Little Village Industrial Corridor	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Madden/Wells	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
Madison/Austin Corridor	9/29/1999	12/31/2023
Michigan/Cermak	9/13/1989	12/31/2025
Midway Industrial Corridor	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Midwest	5/17/2000	12/31/2036
Montclare	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Montrose/Clarendon	6/30/2010	12/31/2034
Near North	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
North Branch (North)	7/2/1997	12/31/2021
North Branch (South)	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
North Pullman	6/30/2009	12/31/2033
North-Cicero	7/30/1997	7/30/2020

Name of Municipality: Chicago 2015 Reporting Fiscal Year: Fiscal Year End: County: Cook 12 /31/2015

Unit Code: 016/620/30 Northwest Industrial Corridor 12/2/1998

Northwest Industrial Corridor	12/2/1998	12/31/2022
Ogden/Pulaski ·	4/9/2008	12/31/2032
Ohio/Wabash	6/7/2000	12/31/2024
Pershing/King	9/5/2007	12/31/2031
Peterson/Cicero	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Peterson/Pulaski	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Pilsen Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2022
Portage Park	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area	6/23/2004	12/31/2028
Pulaski Corridor	6/9/1999	12/31/2023
Randolph and Wells	6/9/2010	12/31/2034
Ravenswood Corridor	3/9/2005	12/31/2029
Read-Dunning	1/11/1991	12/31/2027
River South	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
River West	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
Roosevelt/Canal	3/19/1997	12/31/2015
Roosevelt/Cicero	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Roosevelt/Racine	11/4/1998	12/31/2034
Roosevelt/Union	5/12/1999	5/12/2022
Roseland/Michigan	1/16/2002	12/31/2026
Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal	7/24/1991	12/31/2027
South Chicago	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
South Works Industrial	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Stevenson/Brighton	4/11/2007	12/31/2031
Stockyards Annex	12/11/1996	12/31/2020
Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial	2/26/1992	12/31/2016
Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors	6/10/1998	12/31/2034
Touhy/Western	9/13/2006	12/31/2030
Washington Park	10/8/2014	12/31/2038
Weed/Fremont .	1/8/2008	12/31/2032
West Irving Park	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
West Woodlawn	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Western Avenue North	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western Avenue Rock Island	2/8/2006	12/31/2030
Western Avenue South	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western/Ogden	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Wilson Yard	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Woodlawn	1/20/1999	1/20/2022

## SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for <u>each</u> redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.] FY 2015

Name of Redevelopment Project Area: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project
Area
Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed
If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types: Industrial/Commercial
Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one):
Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X Industrial Jobs Recovery Law

	No	Yes
Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State		
Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)]		
If yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A	X	
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all		
of the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-		
74.6-22 (d) (3)]		
Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B		Χ
Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and	il signification	
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)]	E de di moni	
Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C		Χ
Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan,		
including any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities		
undertaken? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)]	1	
If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D	X	
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment		
of any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary?		
[65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)]	1	
If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E	X	
Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by		
the municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D)		
and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)]		
If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F	X	
Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have		
received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65		
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)]		
If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G	X	
Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65		
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)]		
If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H	X	
Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and		
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)]		
If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I	X	
Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of		
obligation and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-		
74.4-5 (d) (8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)]	1	
If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J	X	
Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation		
fund? 65 iLCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2)		
If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund	1	
labeled Attachment K		Х
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into		
the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)]	[	
If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled	( l	
Attachment L		Х
A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2015, to which the municipality is a part, and		
an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant		
to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]		
If yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M	x	
in yes, please enclose hat only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M	_ ^	

<sup>\*</sup> Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

## SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

FY 2015

Property Tax Increment

TIF NAME: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY:

Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period

\$ 18,513,166

Reporting Year

2,612,361

Cumulative\*

28,618,482

% of Total

State Sales Tax Increment			0%
Local Sales Tax Increment			0%
State Utility Tax Increment			0%
Local Utility Tax Increment			0%
Interest	52,655	1,116,510	1%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds			0%
Bond Proceeds		37,992,034	46%
Transfers from Municipal Sources	2,300,381	13,446,326	16%
Private Sources			0 <u>%</u>
Miscellaneous Revenue	133,674	725,018	1%
	*must be complet populated	ed where 'Reportin	ıg Year' is
Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation	• •		
Fund During Reporting Period	5,099,071		
	<u> </u>		
Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts		\$ 81,898,370	100%
Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2)	4,207,488		
Distribution of Surplus	-		
Total Expenditures/Disbursements	4,207,488		
NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS	891,583		
FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD*  * if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3	\$ 19,404,749		
Total Amount Restricted (Carried forward from Section 3.3)	\$ 19,404,749		

<sup>(</sup>a) Cumulative figures for the categories of 'Interest,' 'Land/Building Sale Proceeds' and 'Other' may not be fully available for this report due to either: (i) the disposal of certain older records pursuant to the City's records retention policy, or (ii) the extraordinary administrative burden of developing cumulative City records prior to the City's conversion to its current accounting system in 2003.

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

#### ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND

(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

		3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED
Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6 10 (o)]	Amounts	Reporting Fiscal Year
1. Costs of studies, administration and professional services—Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1)	and the second second second	
	.79,608	enalistica di Libraria di Califor
		CONTRACTOR AND AND ADDRESS.
		CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
		Control of the second
		The State of the S
		\$ 79,608
2. Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6)	100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100	no sel qui le control de la
100	THE PROPERTY OF STREET OF STREET OF STREET	
		and the second section
		大型电子和电影电子型
		ALCOHOLOGICAL
		\$ -
0 B		Ψ -
3. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs.		1 THE RESERVE TO BE \$1.00
Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3)	1 200 270	
	1,236,673	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		PERMITTER SERVICE
		Principal Control of the Control
	<del>                                     </del>	
U1 101		
		\$ 1,236,673
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling of existing public or private    Costs of rehabilitation   Costs of Costs   Costs of Costs		<b>电影机 医多斯斯斯斯氏毒菌科</b>
buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4)	CANCELE OF CHARLES	a disensi bakan makada ke
	67,872	energe et soen et soe dage
		Less transfer a la section de la constante de
		Physical Arthurst (1977)
		Company of the company of the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	CONTRACTOR OF THE SEC
		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.
		6 07.070
		\$ 67,872
5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5)		and the second property for
	69,752	the part of the same of the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Are Carlot Marie Sales Con
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Cartillation (Cartilla
		# 57 50 F F 1 1140.
		<b>明红沙山</b> 山 (1972年)
		\$ 69,752
6. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs		
Recovery TIFs ONLY	o di care a regionali di la	
	A STATE OF THE STA	Statement of Administration (Ac.)
	<del> </del>	
	· ·	
	<del>                                     </del>	
	1	
:		\$ -
10 800 800 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1	l

SECTION 3.2 A		
PAGE 2		
7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) and (o)(12)	2100 H	a ±ng=j sedensi od Kadenda a ka
	7,080	
8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8)		\$ 7,080
	2,746,503	
		en en jaron eta en
		The Market of the Control of
9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9)		\$ 2,746,503
S. Approved capital costs. Subsection (d)(7) and (o)(5)		
		and the second second
	•	in and a hard and a second
		\$ -
10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10)		-
`		
12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11)	En Experience (Control	
13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12)	Alteración de la constante de	
County and County (c)(12)		
		in the second se
	<del></del>	\$

.

SECTION 3.2 A			
PAGE 3			Super Substitute
14. Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (o)(13)(A-E)			
			373
		A SALA TEN	4900
<u> </u>			
·			<u> </u>
<del></del>			<u> </u>
<u> </u>		s	
15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households.		3	
Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		0.00	
	1/4		
		Militaria (Salar di 1946)	
		P\$ (1-2,0)-p-0/49	
		F 1 - 7 - 1 44	, 1904.
		en distribution	
		76-12-12-12-12	
		\$	
16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY	THE PERSON		
Tax increment Allocation Received priment   TPS ONLY			
			14.00
		TO THE SECTION	
		\$	
	.,.		
TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES		\$ 4,2	07,4

.

#### Section 3.2 B

#### FY 2015

TIF NAME: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.

Name	Service	Amount
City Staff Costs 1	Administration	\$67,092
Neighborhood Housing Service Chicago	Development	\$1,236,673
SomerCor 504, Inc.	Rehabilitation Program	\$67,872
Ciorba Group	Public Improvement	\$10,000
HDR Engineering	Public Improvement	\$51,313
Wells Fargo Bank	Financing	\$628,508
Amalgamated Bank of Chicago	Financing	\$2,117,995

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

<sup>\*</sup> This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

#### SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

### Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period

FY 2015

SURPLUS\*/(DEFICIT)

TIF NAME: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD			\$	19,404,749
		unt of Original Issuance	Amo	ount Restricted
1. Description of Debt Obligations	<b>,</b>			
Restricted for debt service	\$	6,420,000	\$	3,903,868
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		28,885,000		
				·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Amount Restricted for Obligations	\$	35,305,000	\$	3,903,868
2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid				
Restricted for future redevelopment project costs		n welcheel er	\$	15,500,881
		(9/00/15/7/2)		
		A BURNEY OF		
·				
		in a self all the self-		
	100			
Total Amount Restricted for Project Costs			\$	15,500,881
,				
TOTAL AMOUNT RESTRICTED			\$	19,404,749

<sup>\*</sup> NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts (See instructions and statutes)

#### SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

X No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

## SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G) PAGE 1

#### FY 2015

TIF NAME: Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 5 PROVIDES PAGES 1-3 TO ACCOMMODATE UP TO 25 PROJECTS. PAGE 1 <u>MUST BE INCLUDED</u> WITH TIF REPORT. PAGES 2-3 SHOULD BE INCLUDED <u>IF PROJECTS</u> ARE LISTED ON THESE PAGES

Check here if NO projects were undertaken by the Mu	ınicipality	Within the Rede	velopment Project		
Area:			•		
ENTER total number of projects undertaken by the M	unicipality	Within the Rede	evelopment Project		
Area and list them in detail below*.			,	3_	_
			Estimated		
			investment for		
			Subsequent Fiscal		I Estimated to
TOTAL:	_	/1/99 to Date	Year		nplete Project
Private Investment Undertaken	\$	20,775,950	\$ -	\$	3,600,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	7,414,501	\$ 350,000	\$	2,354,600
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	ļ	2 77/96			1 9/17
Ducta et de					
Project 1: Small Business Improvement Fund (SBIF) **	Droine	t is Opening ***			
Private Investment Undertaken	Projec	t is Ongoing ***	<del></del>	\$	3,600,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	968,568	\$ 300,000	\$	1,800,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	Ψ	0	υ 300,000	Ψ	2
Trade of Fired and Infection			EDBOL BERBERONES FROM DELETER E		
Project 2:					
Laborers' Union - Training Center	Proiec	t Completed			
Private Investment Undertaken	\$	20,775,950			
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	6,113,197			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	- · · ·				0
Project 3:					
TiFWorks - Galewood Armitage **	Projec	t is Ongoing ***			
Private Investment Undertaken					
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	332,736	\$ 50,000	\$	554,600
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0			0
Project 4:	$\neg$				
irtoject 4.					
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			<u> </u>		
Public Investment Undertaken					-
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0			0
<u></u>			00000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Project 5:	$\neg$				
			<u></u>		
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)					
Public Investment Undertaken					
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0			0
/D	<del>_</del>				
Project 6:					
Drivete Investment Undertaken (Con Instruction)					
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken					
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0		· · · · · ·	<del></del>
Ivado oi Etivater ubile investinent					

#### PAGE 2

Project 7:			
Drivete Investment   Indertaken (See Instructions)		<del></del>	
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 8:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 9:		_	
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 10:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 11:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		T	
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 12:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0

#### **General Notes**

- (a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenue, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.
- (b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator or to the ultimate grantee as each ultimate grantee's work is approved under the program.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

Optional: Information in the following sections is not required by law, but would be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

s	F	C	TI	O	N	6

FY 2015

TIF NAME:

Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area

Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area

designated	Base EAV	EAV
project area was	Doos EAV	Reporting Fiscal Year
rear redevelopment		

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area. If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

\_\_\_ The overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

Overlapping Taxing District	Surplus Distributed from redevelopment project area to overlapping districts				
	- \$				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	-				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	- \$				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	- \$				
	\$ -				

#### **SECTION 7**

Provide information about job creation and retention


Number of Jobs Retained	Number of Jobs Created	Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent) of Jobs	Total Salaries Paid
			\$ -
			\$ -
	<u>-</u>		\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
· ·			\$ -
			\$ -

#### **SECTION 8**

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries:

Optional Documents	Enclosed	
Legal description of redevelopment project area		
Map of District	X	

# Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area 2015 Annual Report



STATE OF ILLINOIS	)	
	) SS	Attachment B
COUNTY OF COOK	)	

#### CERTIFICATION

TO:

Leslie Geissler Munger Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

James R. Dempsey
Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Jasso Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Forrest Claypool Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 42 West Madison Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

#### Attachment B

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2015, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
  - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2016.

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

1



#### DEPARTMENT OF LAW

June 30, 2016

#### CITY OF CHICAGO

Attachment C

Leslie Geissler Munger Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Forrest Claypool Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 42 West Madison Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Michael Jasso Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Galewood/Armitage Industrial

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

#### Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.

Opinion of Counsel for 2015 Annual Report Page 2 June 30, 2016

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

very trajy yours,

Stephen R. Patton Corporation Counsel

#### **ATTACHMENT K**

# CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

**DECEMBER 31, 2015** 

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

#### CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net position and governmental funds balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental funds revenues,	3-5 6
expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements	7 8-11
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	12

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

Tel: (312) 263-2700 Fax: (312) 263-6935 www.bk-cpa.com

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

The financial statements present only the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2015, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



#### Other Matters

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, such information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Bensley and Kilner, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

June 23, 2016

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2015. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

#### Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

#### Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the Government-Wide Financial Statements and the Governmental Fund Financial Statements. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

#### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net position includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net position and how they have changed. Net position – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

#### Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

## <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

#### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental funds financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

#### Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

#### Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

#### Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$2,748,730 for the year. This was a decrease of 13 percent over the prior year. The change in net position (including other financing sources) produced an increase in net position of \$2,514,524. The Project's net position deficiency decreased by 21 percent from the prior year making it necessary for \$(9,746,158) to be funded in future years. Expenses decreased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was not substantially complete or available.

#### Debt Administration

General Obligation Bonds (Modern Schools Across Chicago Program) outstanding at December 31, 2015 amounted to \$30,060,000. More detailed information about the Project's long-term liabilities is presented in Note 2 of the financial statements.

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

#### Government-Wide

	2015	2014	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$ 21,906,612	\$ 21,234,587	\$ 672,025	3%
Total liabilities	31,652,770	33,495,269	(1,842,499)	-6%
Total net position (deficiency)	\$ (9,746,158)	\$ (12,260,682)	\$ 2,514,524	21%
Total revenues	\$ 2,935,059	\$ 3,389,727	\$ (454,668)	-13%
Total expenses	2,720,916	10,041,377	(7,320,461)	-73%
Other financing sources	2,300,381	2,304,932	(4,551)	-%
Changes in net position	2,514,524	(4,346,718)	6,861,242	158%
Ending net position (deficiency)	\$ (9,746,158)	\$ (12,260,682)	\$ 2,514,524	21%

## CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2015

<u>ASSETS</u>	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Net Position
Cash and investments	\$19,074,414	\$ -	\$ 19,074,414
Property taxes receivable	2,799,004	-	2,799,004
Accrued interest receivable	33,194		33,194
Total assets	\$21,906,612	\$ -	\$ 21,906,612
LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS			·
Vouchers payable	\$ 30,093	\$ -	\$ 30,093
Due to other City funds	70,277	-	70,277
Accrued interest payable	14,365	-	14,365
Other accrued liability	775	-	775
Bonds payable (Note 2): Due within one year Due after one year	<u>-</u>	2,525,000 29,012,260	2,525,000 29,012,260
Total liabilities	115,510	31,537,260	31,652,770
Deferred inflows	2,386,353	(2,386,353)	
FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION			•
Fund balance:  Restricted for debt service  Restricted for future redevelopment  project costs	3,903,868 15,500,881	(3,903,868)	-
Total fund balance	19,404,749	(15,500,881)	
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance	\$21,906,612	(10,101,140)	
Net position (deficiency): Restricted for economic development projects Restricted for debt service Restricted for future redevelopment project costs  Total net position (deficiency)		1,643 3,928,120 (13,675,921) \$ (9,746,158)	1,643 3,928,120 (13,675,921) \$ (9,746,158)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are diff	ferent because:		
Total fund balance - governmental funds			\$ 19,404,749
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.			
Long-term liabilities applicable to the Project's governmental activities are not due payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fund liabilities long-term liabilities are reported in the statement of net position.			(31,537,260)
Total net position (deficiency) - governmental activities			\$ (9,746,158)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

# STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

Revenues:         \$ 2,612,361         \$ 136,369         \$ 2,748,70           Property tax Interest         52,655         - 62,655         - 62,655           Miscellaneous revenue         2,798,690         136,369         2,935,055           Total revenues         2,798,690         136,369         2,935,055           Expenditures/expenses:         2,798,690         136,369         2,935,055           Economic development projects         1,480,985         - 7         1,480,985           Debt service:         Principal retirement Interest         1,285,000         (1,285,000)         1,259,331           Total expenditures/expenses         4,207,488         (1,486,572)         2,720,916           Excess of expenditures over revenues         (1,408,798)         1,622,941         214,143           Other financing sources:         2,300,381         - 2         2,300,381           Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures         891,583         (891,583)         - 2,514,524         2,514,524           Expending in net position (deficiency):         8,91,583         (891,583)         (12,260,682)           End of year         18,513,166         (30,773,848)         (12,260,682)           End of year         18,513,464         (30,773,848)         (12,		Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Activities	
Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects  1,460,985  Debt service: Principal retirement Interest 1,285,000 Interest Total expenditures/expenses 1,461,503  Total expenditures/expenses 1,461,503  Total expenditures over revenues 1,402,7488  Excess of expenditures over revenues 1,408,798  Excess of expenditures over revenues 1,408,798  Excess of expenditures over revenues 1,408,798  Excess of expenditures over revenues 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources: Operating transfers in (Note 3)  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583  Expenditur	Property tax Interest	52,655		52,655	
Economic development projects 1,460,985 - 1,460,985  Debt service: Principal retirement 1,285,000 (1,285,000) - 1,259,931  Total expenditures/expenses 1,461,503 (201,572) 1,259,931  Total expenditures over revenues (1,408,798) 1,622,941 214,143  Other financing sources: Operating transfers in (Note 3) 2,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures expenditures and other financing sources over expenditures expenditures 891,583 (891,583) - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583 (891,583) - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583 (891,583) - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583 (891,583) - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources 1,514,524 2,514,524  Excess of revenues and other financing 1,514,524 2,514,524  Excess of revenues and other financing 1,514,524 2,514,524  Excess of revenues and other financing 1,514,524 2,514,524  Excess of revenues 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues 9,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues 9,300,381  Excess of re	Total revenues	2,798,690	136,369	2,935,059	
Principal retirement Interest 1,285,000 (1,285,000) (201,572) 1,259,931 (201,572) 1,25	·	1,460,985	-	1,460,985	
Excess of expenditures over revenues (1,408,798) 1,622,941 214,143  Other financing sources: Operating transfers in (Note 3) 2,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583 (891,583) -  Change in net position (deficiency): Beginning of year 18,513,166 (30,773,848) (12,260,682)  End of year 18,513,166 (30,773,848) (12,260,682)  End of year 19,404,749 \$(29,150,907) \$(9,746,158)  Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds amonthis	Principal retirement		, , , , , ,	- 1,259,931	
Other financing sources: Operating transfers in (Note 3)  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures  891,583  Reginning of year  End of year  Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds amont, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  2,300,381 2,300,381 2,300,381 2,300,381 3,891,583 (891,583) 2,514,524 2,514,5	Total expenditures/expenses	4,207,488	(1,486,572)	2,720,916	
Operating transfers in (Note 3) 2,300,381 - 2,300,381  Excess of revenues and other financing sources over expenditures 891,583 (891,583) - Change in net position  Change in net position (deficiency): Beginning of year 18,513,166 (30,773,848) (12,260,682)  End of year 91,404,749 (29,150,907) (12,260,682)  End of year 91,404,749 (29,150,907) (12,260,682)  Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds and the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of activities.	Excess of expenditures over revenues	(1,408,798)	1,622,941	214,143	
Change in net position - 2,514,524 2,514,524  Fund balance/net position (deficiency): Beginning of year 18,513,166 (30,773,848) (12,260,682)  End of year 91,404,749 (29,150,907) (12,260,682)  Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds 891,583  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 891,583  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds. 201,572		2,300,381		2,300,381	
Fund balance/net position (deficiency): Beginning of year  End of year  18,513,166  (30,773,848)  (12,260,682)  8 19,404,749  (29,150,907)  (9,746,158)  Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.	<u> </u>	891,583	(891,583)	-	
End of year \$19,404,749 \$(29,150,907) \$(9,746,158)  Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds \$891,583  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  201,572	Change in net position	-	2,514,524	2,514,524	
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:  Net change in fund balance - governmental funds  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  1,285,000  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  201,572	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	18,513,166	(30,773,848)	(12,260,682)	
Net change in fund balance - governmental funds  Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  \$ 891,583	End of year	\$19,404,749	\$ (29,150,907)	\$ (9,746,158)	
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  1,285,000  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  201,572	Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:				
"available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.  Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  1,285,000  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  201,572	Net change in fund balance - governmental funds		\$ 891,583		
and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities.  1,285,000  Premium received on the issuance of long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  201,572	• •	136,369			
funds, but rather is amortized over the life of the bonds.  201,572	and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result				
Change in net position - governmental activities \$ 2,514,524		ntal	201,572		
	Change in net position - governmental activities			\$ 2,514,524	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### (a) Reporting Entity

In July 1999, the City of Chicago (City) established the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the capital project, debt service and special revenue funds of the City.

#### (b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). GASB Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position, standardized the presentation of deferred outflows and inflows of resources and their effect on the Project's net position. The financial impact resulting from the implementation of GASB Statement No. 63 is primarily the change in terminology from Net Assets to Net Position. In addition, GASB Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities, was implemented to establish accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify as deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as liabilities.

#### (c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis* of *accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis* of *accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

#### (d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Position

#### Cash and Investments

Cash being held by the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

#### Deferred Inflows

Deferred inflows represent deferred property tax revenue amounts to be recognized as revenue in future years in the governmental funds financial statements.

#### Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental funds but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of changes in net position) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental funds as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

#### (e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

#### Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

#### Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection. The semi-annual principal and interest payments are made solely from incremental real property taxes, which are paid in this redevelopment district and other contiguous redevelopment districts needed to fulfill the debt service requirements.

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 2 - Bonds Payable

In January 2007, the City issued \$6,420,000 of General Obligation Bonds (Modern Schools Across Chicago Program), Series 2007F at a premium. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 3.60 to 4.00 percent and maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2008 to December 1, 2021. Net proceeds of \$6,420,000 will be used to pay for a portion of the costs for construction, renovation, design and acquisition of elementary and high schools that are part of the school system operated by the Board of Education of the City of Chicago (the "Board") and refund certain outstanding obligations of the Board.

In August 2010, the City issued \$28,885,000 of General Obligation Bonds (Modern Schools Across Chicago Program), Series 2010A and B at a premium. Series B bonds (\$8,660,000) are Build America Bonds. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 3.00 percent to 5.364 percent and maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2011 to December 1, 2023. Net proceeds of \$31,300,000 will be used to pay for a portion of the costs for construction, renovation, design and acquisition of elementary and high schools that are part of the school system operated by the Board. The bonds fund Phase II of the Modern Schools Across Chicago Program.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2015 was as follows:

Beginning balance	\$31,345,000
Additions Reductions	- (1,285,000)
Subtotal	30,060,000
Plus unamortized premium	1,477,260
Ending balance	<u>\$31,537,260</u>
Amounts due within one year	<u>\$ 2,525,000</u>

The principal aggregate maturities of the bonds are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	<u>Series 2007</u>	Series 2010A	Series 2010B	<u>Total</u>
2016	\$ 455,000	\$ 2,070,000	\$ -	\$ 2,525,000
2017	650,000	2,430,000	-	3,080,000
2018	675,000	2,655,000	-	3,330,000
2019	685,000	2,865,000	<u></u>	3,550,000
2020	825,000	-	3,230,000	4,055,000
2021-2023	<u>850,000</u>	7,240,000	5,430,000	13,520,000
Total	<u>\$4,140,000</u>	<u>\$17,260,000</u>	<u>\$8,660,000</u>	\$30,060,000

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

#### Note 2 – Bonds Payable (Concluded)

The interest aggregate maturities of the bonds are as follows:

Year Ending <u>December 31,</u>	Series 2007	Series 2010A	Series 2010B	<u>Total</u>
2016	\$172,375	\$ 819,850	\$ 410,895	\$1,403,120
2017	154,175	724,800	410,895	1,289,870
2018	128,987	627,600	410,895	1,167,482
2019	100,300	505,250	410,895	1,016,445
2020	71,188	362,000	410,895	844,083
2021-2023	<u>36,125</u>	773,250	<u>518,395</u>	1,327,770
Total	<u>\$663,150</u>	<u>\$3,812,750</u>	<u>\$2,572,870</u>	<u>\$7,048,770</u>

#### Note 3 - Operating Transfers In

During 2015, in accordance with State statutes, the Project received \$458,837 from two contiguous Redevelopment Projects (Belmont/Central \$295,845 and Northwest Industrial Corridor \$162,992) to fund debt service for Phase I of the Modern Schools Across Chicago Bonds, Series 2007. In addition, the Project received \$1,841,544 from two contiguous Redevelopment Projects (Belmont/Central \$1,753,851 and Northwest Industrial Corridor \$87,693) to fund debt service for Phase II of the Modern Schools Across Chicago Bonds, Series 2010.

#### Note 4 - Commitments

As of December 31, 2015, the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$112,000 for services and construction projects.



## <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>GALEWOOD/ARMITAGE INDUSTRIAL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

#### Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$ 79,608

Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land

1,236,673

Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings and fixtures

67,872

Costs of the construction of public works or improvements

69,752

Costs of job training and retraining projects

7,080

Costs of financing, including but not limited to all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto

2,746,503

\$ 4,207,488

#### ATTACHMENT L



O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD TEL: (312) 263-2700 SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

FAX: (312) 263-6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, which comprise the statement of net position and governmental funds balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statement of activities and governmental funds revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and we have issued our report thereon dated June 23, 2016.

However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Project's noncompliance with the above referenced regulatory provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Galewood/Armitage Industrial Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

June 23, 2016

