2015 Annual Report

Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2016

FY 2015 ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT



STATE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER LESLIE GEISSLER MUNGER

Name of Municipa	ality: City of Chicago	Reporting F	iscal Year:	2015
County:	Cook	Fiscal Year	End:	12/31/2015
Unit Code:	016/620/30			
<u> </u>	TIF Administra	ator Contact Inf	formation	
First Name: David		Last Name:		١
Address: City H	lall, 121 N. La Salle	Title:	Administrator	<u> </u>
Telephone: (312)		City:	Chicago	Zip: 60602
Mobile n/a		E-mail-	TIFReports@cityofc	·
Mobile		Best way to	XEmail	Phone
Provider n/a	·····	contact	Mobile	Mail
is complete and a	of my knowledge, this report of the ccurate at the end of this reporting F -74.4-3 et seq.1 Or the Industrial Jo	iscal year under	the Tax Increment A	llocation Redevelopment
	(A)	•••	June 28, 21	016
Written signature of	of TIF Administrator		Date	
	S 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS FILL OUT ONE Redevelopment Project Area	FOR EACH TIP		Date Terminated
24th/Michigan	Redevelopment i soject Area		7/21/1999	7/21/2022
26th and King Drive			1/11/2006	12/31/2030
35th and Wallace			12/15/1999	12/31/2023
35th/Haisted			1/14/1997	12/31/2021
35th/State			1/14/2004	12/31/2028
43rd/Cottage Grove			7/8/1998	12/31/2022
47th/Ashland	·····		3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/Haisted			5/29/2002	12/31/2026
47th/King Drive	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/State			7/21/2004	12/31/2028
49th Street/St. Lawre	ence Avenue		1/10/1996	12/31/2020
51st/ Archer			5/17/2000	12/31/2024
51st/Lake Park			11/15/2012	12/31/2036
53rd Street	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1/10/2001	12/31/2025
60th and Western			5/9/1996	5/9/2019
63rd/Ashland			3/29/2006	12/31/2030
63rd/Pulaski			5/17/2000	12/31/2024
67th/Cicero			10/2/2002	12/31/2026
67th/Wentworth			5/4/2011	12/31/2035
69th/Ashland			11/3/2004	12/31/2028
71st and Stony Island	1		10/7/1998	10/7/2021
73rd/University			9/13/2006	12/31/2030
79th and Cicero			6/8/2005	12/31/2029

*All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

Name of Municipality:	Chicago	Reporting Fiscal Year:	2015
County:	Cook	Fiscal Year End:	12 /31/2015
Unit Code:	016/620/30		

		•
79th Street Corridor	7/8/1998	7/8/2021
79th Street/Southwest Highway	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
79th/Vincennes	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
83rd/Stewart	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
87th/Cottage Grove	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
95th and Western	7/13/1995	12/31/2019
105th/Vincennes	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
107th Halsted	4/2/2014	12/31/2038
111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
119th and Haisted	2/6/2002	12/31/2026
119th/l-57	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
126th and Torrence	12/21/1994	12/21/2017
Addison Corridor North	6/4/1997	6/4/2020
Addison South	5/9/2007	12/31/2031
Archer Courts	5/12/1999	12/31/2023
Archer/ Central	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Archer/Western	2/11/2009	12/31/2033
Armitage/Pulaski	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Austin Commercial	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
Avalon Park/South Shore	7/31/2002	12/31/2026
Avondale	7/29/2009	12/31/2033
Belmont/Central	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Belmont/Cicero	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Bronzeville	11/4/1998	12/31/2022
Bryn Mawr/Broadway	12/11/1996	12/11/2019
Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road	7/29/1998	7/29/2021
Calumet River	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Canal/Congress	11/12/1998	12/31/2022
Central West	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Chicago/ Kingsbury	4/12/2000 ,	12/31/2024
Chicago/Central Park	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Chicago Lakeside Development - Phase 1 (USX)	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Cicero/Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Clark Street and Ridge Avenue	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
Clark/Montrose	7/7/1999	7/7/2022
Commercial Avenue	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
Devon/Sheridan	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
Devon/Western	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Diversey/Narragansett	2/5/2003	12/31/2027
Division/Homan	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Drexel Boulevard	7/10/2002	12/31/2026
Edgewater/ Ashland	10/1/2003	12/31/2027

.

· .

Name of Municipality:	Chicago	Reporting Fiscal Year:	2015
County:	Cook	Fiscal Year End:	12 /31 /2015
Unit Code:	016/620/30		

.

Eiston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor	7/19/2007	12/31/2031
Englewood Mail	11/29/1989	12/31/2025
Englewood Neighborhood	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Ewing Avenue	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive	7/13/1994	12/31/2018
Foster California	4/2/2014	12/31/2038
Fullerton/ Milwaukee	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Galewood/Armitage Industrial	7/7/1999	12/31/2023
Goose Island	7/10/1996	7/10/2019
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (East)	3/10/1999	12/31/2023
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West)	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area	3/14/2007	12/31/2031
Harrison/Central	7/26/2006	12/31/2030
Hollywood/Sheridan	11/7/2007	12/31/2031
Homan-Arthington	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Humboldt Park Commercial	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Irving Park/Elston	5/13/2009	12/31/2033
Irving/Clcero	6/10/1996	12/31/2020
Jefferson Park Business District	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Jefferson/ Roosevelt	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Kennedy/Kimball	3/12/2008	12/31/2032
Kinzie Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2022
Lake Calumet Area Industrial	12/13/2000	12/31/2024
Lakefront	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
LaSalle Central	11/15/2006	12/31/2030
Lawrence/ Kedzie	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Lawrence/Broadway	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Lawrence/Pulaski	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lincoln Avenue	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland	11/2/1994	12/31/2018
Little Village East	4/22/2009	12/31/2033
Little Village Industrial Corridor	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Madden/Wells	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
Madison/Austin Corridor	9/29/1999	12/31/2023
Michigan/Cermak	9/13/1989	12/31/2025
Midway Industrial Corridor	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Midwest	5/17/2000	12/31/2036
Montclare	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Montrose/Clarendon	6/30/2010	12/31/2034
Near North	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
North Branch (North)	7/2/1997	12/31/2021
North Branch (South)	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
North Pullman	6/30/2009	12/31/2033
North-Cicero	7/30/1997	7/30/2020

`

Name of Municipality:	Chicago	Reporting Fiscal Year:	2015
County:	Cook	Fiscal Year End:	12 /31/2015
Unit Code:	016/620/30	_	

Northwest Industrial Corridor	12/2/1998	12/31/2022
Ogden/Pulaski	4/9/2008	12/31/2032
Ohio/Wabash	6/7/2000	12/31/2024
Pershing/King	9/5/2007	12/31/2031
Peterson/Cicero	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Peterson/Pulaski	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Pilsen Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2022
Portage Park	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area	6/23/2004	12/31/2028
Pulaski Corridor	6/9/1999	12/31/2023
Randolph and Wells	6/9/2010	12/31/2034
Ravenswood Corridor	3/9/2005	12/31/2029
Read-Dunning	1/11/1991	12/31/2027
River South	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
River West	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
Rooseveit/Canal	3/19/1997	12/31/2015
Roosevelt/Cicero	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Roosevelt/Racine	11/4/1998	12/31/2034
Roosevelt/Union	5/12/1999	5/12/2022
Roseland/Michigan	1/16/2002	12/31/2026
Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal	7/24/1991	12/31/2027
South Chicago	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
South Works Industrial	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Stevenson/Brighton	4/11/2007	12/31/2031
Stockyards Annex	12/11/1996	12/31/2020
Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial	2/26/1992	12/31/2016
Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors	6/10/1998	12/31/2034
TouhyWestern	9/13/2006	12/31/2030
Washington Park	10/8/2014	12/31/2038
Weed/Fremont	1/8/2008	12/31/2032
West Irving Park	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
West Woodlawn	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Western Avenue North	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western Avenue Rock Island	2/8/2006	12/31/2030
Western Avenue South	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western/Ogden	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Wilson Yard	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Woodlawn	1/20/1999	1/20/2022

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for <u>each</u> redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.] FY 2015

If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types: Commercial/Residential/Public Facilities Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated	d2 (abaak ar	<u>)</u>
Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X Industrial Jobs Recovery Law	ar (cneck or	ie):
	No	Yes
Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State		
Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)]	l f	
If yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A	X	
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with	and the state of the	
all of the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and		
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (3)]		v
Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B		<u>X</u>
Dpinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4)		
and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)]		v
Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C		<u> </u>
Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan,		
ncluding any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities	,	
undertaken? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)]		
f yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D	X	
Nere any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment		
of any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax		
Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)]		
f yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E	X	
is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by		
the municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D)		
and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)]		
If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F	X	
Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that		
have received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same		
TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)]	N N	
f yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G	X	
Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65		
LCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)]		
f yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H	X	
Nere any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and		
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)]		
f yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I	X	
Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of		
obligation and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-		
74.4-5 (d) (8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)]		
If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J	X	
Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation	1	
und? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2)		
f yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund		
abeled Attachment K		X
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made		
nto the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)]		
f yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled		
Attachment L		<u>X</u>
A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2015, to which the municipality is a part, and		
an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year		
oursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]		
f yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M		

* Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

FY 2015

.

TIF NAME: Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area

Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period

Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation

.

\$ 176,859

Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY:	Reporting Year	Cumulative*	% of Total
Property Tax Increment	(98)	\$ 445,664	6%
State Sales Tax Increment			0%
Local Sales Tax Increment			0%
State Utility Tax Increment			0%
Local Utility Tax Increment			0%
Interest	485	7,202	0%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds			0%
Bond Proceeds			0%
Transfers from Municipal Sources	T	7,025,826	94%
Private Sources			0%
Other (identify source; if multiple other sources, attach schedule)			
			0%

*must be completed where 'Reporting Year' is populated

Fund During Reporting Period	387
Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts	\$ 7,478,692 100%
Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2)	3,180
Distribution of Surplus	-
Total Expenditures/Disbursements	3,180
NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS	(2,793)
FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD* * if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3	\$ 174,066
Total Amount Restricted (Carried forward from Section 3.3)	\$ 174,066

SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area

ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND (by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

FOR AMO	UNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3	3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED
Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74 10 (o)]	Amounts	Reporting Fiscal Year
1. Costs of studies, administration and professional servicesSubsections (q)(1) and (o) (1)		
	3,180	
		and the second second second
		和主义的"全国中心"的[4][3]
		the state of the second state of the second state of the
		and the second
		\$ 3,180
2. Cost of marketing sites-Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6)	and the second	THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF
		No. 19 Contraction
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
		and the second
	<u></u>	to a set of the lease of the set of
		entropy of the second
		\$
3. Property assembly, demotition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs.		
Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3)		· 新加加加加加加加加加加加加加
	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
······································		
		S -
4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling of existing public or private		φ - [
buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4)		and the manufacture of the
		THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF
		The second second second second second
		The second second second second
		A TANK OF THE REAL
		Contraction of the second
		of the second state of the second
		\$
5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5)		NUMBER OF STREET, STREE
		and the second states and second
	······································	THE STREET STREET, STRE
		AND REAL PROPERTY AND
		MANUTE AND STREET, I
		\$.
6. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jot		This is the second state of the
Recovery TIFs ONLY	S Construction of the second sec	建设和外国社会会 同时
	- <u> </u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	+	
······································		
		\$ -
		<u> </u>

SECTION 3.2 A		
PAGE 2 7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5),	Totan gilliging a terminister at the	MINING STREET, SALES
(o)(7) and (o)(12)		
	``	
		And Sold States of States and
		Constant and the second second
······································		\$ -
8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8)		
		and the second state of the
		distant incare of the
	<u> </u>	s
9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9)	winds date the first of	<u>Ψ</u> *
		CALL CONTRACTOR OF STREET
		Standard Street Street Street Street
		E CONTRACTOR OF THE REAL
		<u>\$</u>
10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (g)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
nojecto, oubsection (g)(7.5) - rax increment Anocation Redevelopment TFS ONLY		
	<u> </u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	definition of the states in the state of the
		A DECEMBER OF STREET,
		\$
11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10)		man man in the second
		and the rest of the second
	<u> </u>	
······	<u> </u>	and the second
	- 	
		\$ -
12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (0)(11)		And Anna Anna Anna
		and the second second and the
		and the second second states
	<u> </u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	-	
······································	· · · · · ·	S -
13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other		
axing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12)		
	ļ	
	1	
		A THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
		s -

SECTION 3.2 A PAGE 3		
 Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (o)(13)(A-E) 		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		and the state of the second
		at a clear carrier the drift
		and the second sec
		An and the second second second
		\$
15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households. Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
		the of a state of the state of the state
		动动动的 网络 拉马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯马斯
		The same of the same section of the section of
	<u> </u>	A STATISTICS AND A STATISTICS
		\$
16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
		Carl State Carl 7 at 1
		State of the second second
······································		
		A 2015年1月1日1日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日
	<u> </u>	\$
TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES		\$ 3,180

.

.

.

Section 3.2 B

.

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.

<u>X</u> There were no vendors, including other municipal funds, paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting period.

SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD

\$ 174,066

- '

	stricted
\$ - \$	
	Amount of Original issuance Amount Re \$ - \$

\$

Total Amount Restricted for Obligations

- \$

2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid

Restricted for future redevelopment project costs	\$	174,066
	动用 系统 "你要说要这么。"	

Total Amount Restricted for Project Costs	\$ 174,066
TOTAL AMOUNT RESTRICTED	\$ 174,066
SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)	\$ -

* NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts (See instructions and statutes)

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

X ____ No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G) PAGE 1

FY 2015

TIF NAME: Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area SECTION 5 PROVIDES PAGES 1-3 TO ACCOMMODATE UP TO 25 PROJECTS. PAGE 1 <u>MUST BE INCLUDED</u> WITH TIF REPORT. PAGES 2-3 SHOULD BE INCLUDED <u>ONLY IF</u> PROJECTS ARE LISTED ON THESE PAGES

Check here if NO projects were undertaken by the Munic					
ENTER total number of projects undertaken by the Muni	cipality Within	the Redevelopr	nent Project Area and		
list them in detail below*.	—	<u></u>		4	
	[Estimated		
			Investment for		
			Subsequent Fiscal		Estimated to
TOTAL:		1/99 to Date	Year		olete Project
Private Investment Undertaken	\$	97,818 <u>,</u> 665	\$	\$	1,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	7,374,740		\$	500,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	<u></u>	<u> </u>			2
Project 1:					
Neighborhood Improvement Fund (NIF) **	Projec	t is Ongoing ***			
Private Investment Undertaken		<u> </u>		\$	1,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken		12,500	·	\$	500,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment					2
Project 2:	I	0			
P.G. Stewart Phase I and II		t Completed			
Private Investment Undertaken	\$	57,335,612			
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	2,188,614	and and a state of the state of t		0
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	<u> </u>	20 14/71			
Project 3:	——				
Project S. P.G. Stewart - Bronzeville Family Apts.	Projec	t Completed			
Private Investment Undertaken	\$	24,836,391			
Public Investment Undertaken		2,500,000	·····		
Ratio of Private/Public Investment					0
Project 4:					
P.G. Stewart - Tower 5 Rehab	Project	t Completed			
Private Investment Undertaken	\$	15,646,662			
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	2,673,626			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		5 75/88			0
Project 5:]				
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)					
Public Investment Undertaken					
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0			0
Project 6:					
F 10 jeur 0.					
		···· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)					
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0			

PAGE 2

Project 7:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		<u>-</u>	
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Project 8:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 9:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 10:			
Drivete Investorent Undertelven (Con Instructione)			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 11:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken			
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0
Project 12:			
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		······································	
Public Investment Undertaken	<u> </u>		
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0

** Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator or to the ultimate grantee as each ultimate grantee's work is approved under the program.

*** As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

General Notes

(a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenue, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

(b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is to the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenue that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developers notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.

Optional: Information in the following sections is not required by law, but would be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

 SECTION 6

 FY 2015

 TIF NAME:
 Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area

 Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area

Year redevelopment		
project area was		Reporting Fiscal Year
designated	Base EAV	EAV

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area. If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

_ The overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

Overlapping Taxing District	erlapping Taxing District Surplus Distributed from redevelopment project area to overlapping districts				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	-				
······································	\$ -				
	\$ -				
	-				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$				

SECTION 7

Provide information about job creation and retention


Number of Jobs Retained	Number of Jobs Created	Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent) of Jobs	Total Salaries Paid	
			\$	-
			\$ ⁻	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	\$	•
			\$	-

SECTION 8

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries:

Optional Documents	Enclosed	
Legal description of redevelopment project area		}
Map of District	Х	

Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area 2015 Annual Report



STATE OF ILLINOIS

COUNTY OF COOK

)) SS)

Attachment B

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Leslie Geissler Munger Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Jasso Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Forrest Claypool Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 42 West Madison Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Pershing/King Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

Attachment B

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2015, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

ŧ,

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2016.

Emanuel GRP

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

1



DEPARTMENT OF LAW

June 30, 2016

CITY OF CHICAGO

Attachment C

Leslie Geissler Munger Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Jasso Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Forrest Claypool Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 42 West Madison Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Pershing/King

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.

Opinion of Counsel for 2015 Annual Report Page 2 June 30, 2016

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very trady yours,

IL J. Bet

Stephen R. Patton Corporation Counsel

•

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2015

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis	3-5
Statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet	6
Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues,	
expenditures and changes in fund balance	7
Notes to financial statements	8-9
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	10



O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD TEL: (312) 263-2700 SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

Fax: (312) 263-6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Pershing/King Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

The financial statements present only the Pershing/King Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2015, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Pershing/King Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, such information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Bandley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

June 27, 2016

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Pershing/King Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2015. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net position includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net position and how they have changed. Net position – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$(858) for the year. This was an increase of 47 percent over the prior year. The change in net position produced a decrease in net position of \$3,553. The Project's net position decreased by 2 percent from the prior year making available \$174,066 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area.

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

Government-Wide

	2015	2014	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$177,537	\$179,452	\$ (1,915)	-1%
Total liabilities	3,471	1,833	1,638	89%
Total net position	\$174,066	\$177,619	\$ (3,553)	-2%
Total revenues	\$ (373)	\$ (1,046)	\$ 673	64%
Total expenses	3,180	21,459	(18,279)	-85%
Changes in net position	(3,553)	(22,505)	18,952	84%
Ending net position	\$174,066	\$ 177,619	\$ (3,553)	-2%

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

.

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2015

ASSETS	Go	Governmental Fund				Adjustments		Statement of Net Position	
Cash and investments	\$	177,106	\$	-	\$	177,106			
Accrued interest receivable		431	,i			431			
Total assets	\$	177,537	\$		\$	177,537			
LIABILITIES									
Due to other City funds	\$	780	\$	-	\$	780			
Other accrued liability		2,691				2,691			
Total liabilities		3,471		-		3,471			
FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION									
Fund balance: Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	174,066	(1	74,066)		-			
	ф 	177,537							
Net position: Restricted for future redevelopment project costs			1	74,066		174,066			
Total net position			\$ 1	74,066	\$	174,066			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

	Governme Fund		Adjustments		Statement of Activities	
Revenues: Property tax Interest		(98) \$ -85	6 (760) 	\$	(858) 485	
Total revenues	3	87	(760)		(373)	
Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects		80			3,180	
Excess of expenditures over revenues	(2,7	93)	2,793		-	
Change in net position	-		(3,553)		(3,553)	
Fund balance/net position: Beginning of year	176,8	59	760		177,619	
End of year	<u>\$ 174,0</u>	66 _\$		\$	174,066	
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of	activities are dif	ferent be	ecause:			

Net change in fund balance - governmental fund	\$ (2,793)
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.	(760)
Change in net position - governmental activities	\$ (3,553)

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In September 2007, the City of Chicago (City) established the Pershing/King Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position,* standardized the presentation of deferred outflows and inflows of resources and their effect on the Project's net position. The financial impact resulting from the implementation of GASB Statement No. 63 is primarily the change in terminology from Net Assets to Net Position. In addition, GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities,* was implemented to establish accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify as deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as liabilities.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Position

Cash and Investments

Cash being held by the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Deferred Inflows

Deferred inflows represent deferred property tax revenue amounts to be recognized as revenue in future years in the governmental fund financial statements.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of changes in net position) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>PERSHING/KING REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$3,180

ATTACHMENT L



O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD TEL: (312) 263-2700 SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

FAX: (312) 263-6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of Pershing/King Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, which comprise the statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and we have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2016.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (g) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Pershing/King Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Project's noncompliance with the above referenced regulatory provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Baneley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

June 27, 2016

