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## I. INTRODUCTION

This document is to serve as a redevelopment plan for the Pilsen Community, which includes a majority of the industrial corridor and commercial areas within the community. This area is subsequently referred to in this document as the Pilsen Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area, (the "Project Area").

As part of its strategy to encourage managed growth and stimulate private investment within the Pilsen Community, the City engaged Trkla, Pettigrew, Allen \& Payne, Inc. ("TPAP") to study whether the Project Area of approximately 907 acres qualifies as a "conservation area," a "blighted area" or an "industrial park/conservation area" under the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act ( 65 ILCS 5/11/74.43), (the "Act"). The Project Area is generally bounded by Cullerton Avenue (between Ashland Avenue and Morgan Street) and 16th Street (between Morgan Street and Stewart Avenue) on the north, Stewart Avenue and the Chicago River on the east, the Stevenson Expressway and 33rd Street on the south, and Western Avenue on the west.

The Pilsen Community is one of Chicago's oldest and most unique urban neighborhoods. The neighborhood's special character can be attributed to its continual service as an important port of entry for immigrants dating back to the late 1800's. Throughout its history, Pilsen has been home to Polish, Czechoslovakian, Lithuanian, Irish, German, and Bohemian immigrants and is now predominately populated by Hispanic families. The urban flavor of Pilsen originated from its early settlement pattern of small compact residences located very close to the growing industry along Pilsen's riverbanks. As Pilsen grew over time, this development pattern took precedence, resulting in a patchwork of various land uses within the community. Even though this type of development does not exhibit the best planning practices due to industrial activities being adjacent to residential uses, Pilsen has used it to its advantage and has remained a viable urban neighborhood to date. The community is very local by nature, utilizing the close proximity of local industrial employment opportunities, commercial activities, and residential areas.

However, despite the available local labor pool, much of Pilsen's industrial corridor is vacant or underutilized. The physical conditions of both the buildings and infrastructure have continued to deteriorate. To better assess the needs and past trends of Pilsen's industrial area, the Chicago industrial market and how it relates to the industrial activity within Pilsen has been examined. Such examination helps identify specific weaknesses and strengths within the area's market and identifies possible solutions for the Pilsen Community to remain competitive within the Chicago industrial market as a whole.

## Chicago Industrial Market

The Chicago metropolitan area maintains one of the country's largest inventories of industrial space, with a mid-1997 inventory of 861.7 million square feet. The market is very active; over the last year, approximately 24.3 million square feet of industrial space have been leased in the metropolitan area, 12.1 million square feet were sold, and 11.1 million square feet of new construction were completed.'

For purposes of industrial market analysis, real estate professionals divide the City of Chicago into two submarkets: Chicago North and Chicago South, with Roosevelt Road (1200 south) as the dividing line. The make-up of the City's inventory is significantly different from that of the suburban inventory. Seventy-three percent of the City's inventory is manufacturing space and $27 \%$ is warehouse and distribution space; less than $1 \%$ is office/service center. In contrast, $52 \%$ of the suburban inventory is manufacturing space, $44 \%$ is warehouse and distribution space, and $4 \%$ is office/service center. These proportions reflect the fact that the City has an older inventory of industrial space that was typically developed for large manufacturers.'

The Chicago South submarket is where the Project Area is located. This South submarket, with 11.2 million square feet available and a vacancy rate of $8.3 \%$, represents $17.0 \%$ of the available industrial space in the Chicago metropolitan area. Chicago South has $11 \%$ of the Chicago metropolitan area's inventory of warehouse/distributionspace and $18.5 \%$ of the entire Chicago metropolitan market's available space in that category. Much of this space is obsolete in its current condition and requires substantial renovation to attract modern industries.'

Industrial development occurred from the late 1800s through the early 1970s in the Chicago South submarket, taking advantage of the shipping opportunities provided by the south branch of the Chicago River, the Illinois and Michigan Canal, Lake Michigan ports, and the confluence of multiple railroad lines. Construction of the Dan Ryan and Stevenson expressways (Interstate Routes 90/94 and 55, respectively), two of the earliest sections of the federal highway system, further strengthened the South Chicago industrial market. However, more recently, the region's expanding expressway system has made suburban markets more accessible, unfortunately facilitating the development of attractive suburban markets. Also, the changing industrial needs and obsolete facilities have led to the abandonment of many industrial properties in the Chicago South submarket. But despite these current trends of industrial development in the suburban markets, the Chicago South submarket retains its strong locational advantages for many types of industrial users.

[^0]When analyzed as a whole, the Chicago metropolitan area has a healthy and active industrial real estate market. Yet the City's South Side, representing $15.6 \%$ of the metropolitan area's inventory of industrial space, is not fully participating in this strong market. The South Side has a higher vacancy rate, achieves lower rents, and is not capturing any of the metropolitan area's considerable new construction activity.

The nature of industrial employment in Chicago has been affected by two major national factors.

- Starting in the 1970 s and continuing through the 1980 s, the job base shifted away from manufacturing toward a service-oriented economy. In 1985, industrial employment represented $52 \%$ of all private-sector employment in the six-county Chicago metropolitan area, and $46 \%$ of the City's total. In 1995, those figures had fallen to $39 \%$ and $27 \%$, respectively.
- Industrial jobs continued to move out of central urban areas to suburban communities. In 1985, 43\% of all jobs in the Chicago metropolitan area were located within the City; by 1995, the City's share had fallen to $34 \%$. The City's share of the industrial employment in the metropolitan area fell from $39 \%$ in 1985 to $26 \%$ in $1995{ }^{2}$

Despite these shifts in employment for the City and for the Metropolitan area as a whole, industrial employment remains very important to the job base of the Project Area. Within the zip code that covers the majority of the Project Area, half (49.7\%) of the private-sector employment in zip code 60608 is in manufacturing and wholesale trade. In comparison, only $21 \%$ of the City's private-sector employment is in the industrial categories, as reported by the Illinois Department of Employment Security. The entire Project Area west of Halsted Street is covered by the Zip code 60608 and approximately $75 \%$ of the industrial district is within this zip code.

The employment data show that while industrial employment within the Project Area continues to remain overwhelmingly industrial in nature, many jobs are leaving the Project Area. In the face of structural economic changes and the City's loss of jobs to the suburban areas, the City as a whole has an industrial job base that has remained relatively stable. The maintenance of this industrial job base is critical to the economic well being of the area and to the City.

[^1]
## The Project Area

The Project Area has roughly the same boundaries as the Pilsen Industrial Corridor, which is among eight corridors defined by the City in its report, Corridors of Industrial Opportunity: A Plan for Industry in Chicago's West Side. The designation by the City indicates that the corridor is already home to industrial companies as well as a resource of space for industrial development.

The Pilsen Industrial Corridor is one of the City's oldest industrial areas and one of the closest to the City's central area. The original industrial development of this corridor area occurred along the south branch of the Chicago River; and development expanded with the completion of the Illinois and Michigan Canal in 1848. Lumberyards, brickyards, and a limestone quarry were among the original industries.

The considerablephysical assets of the Project Area include the following features:

- The Stevenson Expressway (Interstate Route 55) roughly parallels the southern boundary of the proposed Pilsen TIF District.
- The Dan Ryan Expressway (I-90/94) intersects the eastern portion of the subject area, east of Halsted.
- Five rail lines are Burlington Northern, Santa Fe, Illinois Central Gulf; CONRAIL, and Union Pacific.
- The South Branch of the Chicago River provides a navigable waterway.
- The CTA provides Rapid Transit service with stations located outside the boundaries of the proposed district. Stations along the Douglas (Blue) Line are at $18^{\text {th }}$ Street west of Ashland and at Hoyne and Western. The Midway (Orange) Line, which runs south of and parallel to I-55, stops at near Halsted, Ashland, and Western.
- Major north-south arterials serving the area are Western Avenue, Ashland Avenue, and Halsted.
- A portion of the Project Area is within the City's Empowerment Zone.

The major physical constraint of the Project Area is the lack of east-west streets south of Cermak Road and Blue Island Avenue.

The Project Area enjoys strong locational assets, particularly its excellent highway, water, and rail access, and is a key resource of the City's industrial market. However, without reinvestment, the Project Area is likely to continue to erode as existing companies choose to relocate and prospective businesses find more attractive environments. With a modern industrial infrastructure and competitive buildings, the Project Area could successfully compete in the Chicago area.

The Project Area also includes a limited commercial district along Blue Island Avenue, Ashland Avenue, and Oakley Avenue. See Figure 1: Boundary Map for the boundaries of the commercial district. This commercial district contain some of the oldest and distinct commercial areas in the City. The conditions of both the buildings and infrastructure are quite old and require extensive repair. Due to the poor physical condition, it is important that the commercial district be included in the Project Area so that it will have the ability to be maintained and improved, having the means to support the Pilsen Community.

Recognizing the Project Area's continuing potential as a vital industrial and commercial corridor, the City of Chicago is taking a proactive step toward the economic renaissance of the Project Area. The City wishes to complement previous efforts to stabilize industrial land uses, such as the Model Industrial Corridors Program, and support industrial and business expansion and to encourage private investment and development activity through the use of Tax Increment Financing.

The Project Area, described in more detail below as well as in the accompanying Eligibility Study, has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and is not reasonably expected to be developed without the efforts and leadership of the City.

## A. Pilsen Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area

The Project Area contains 510 buildings and encompasses a total of approximately 907 acres and is located one mile southwest of downtown Chicago. All areas of the Project Area are improved with buildings. For a map depicting the boundaries and legal description of the Project Area, see Section II, Legal Description

In general, the Project Area can be divided into two parts: a) the "industrial district," which is generally bounded by 16 th Street on the north, Stewart Avenue and the Chicago River on the east, the Stevenson Expressway and 33rd Street on the south, and Western Avenue on the west; and b) the "commercial district," which is generally located along Ashland Avenue between Cermak and 21 st Street, Blue Island Avenue between Western Avenue and Laflin Street, and along Oakley Avenue between 23rd Place and 25th Street.

## The Pilsen Industrial District

The majority of the Project Area is encompassed by the Pilsen Industrial Corridor. In March of 1995, The Model Industrial Corridor Initiative: Pilsen Industrial Corridor Final Plan (the "Strategic Plan") was completed as a precursor to this Redevelopment Plan. The Strategic Plan forms the basis for many of the recommendations contained in this Redevelopment Plan.

The industrial district encompasses approximately 860 acres which contains mostly industrial uses with scattered commercial and residential properties. The industrial properties located north of Cermak Road are generally small in size and are interspersed with residential and commercial properties. The majority of the industrial properties located south of Cermak Road include much larger sites not broken up by east/west cross streets.

The industrial district contains approximately 150 businesses employing a total of approximately 7,800 people. Major employers include: Carmichael Leasing Co., Inc., Triple A Services, Inc., Brandenburg Industrial Services, East Balt Commissary Inc., V \& V Food Products Inc., Rubin Manufacturing Inc., Jefferson Smurfit Corporation, Premium Plastics Inc., Cozzi Iron \& Metal, Inc., Kramer \& Co., Inc., Allied Metal Co., Tool \& Engineering Co., Pines Trailer L.P., Illinois Recycling Services Inc., Dearborn Wholesale Grocers, and Coca-Cola Bottling Company.

The industrial district contains a mix of industrial buildings with 82 percent of the 343 buildings being over 35 years old. The area is characterized by aging infrastructure, safety concerns, fly dumping, vacant land and buildings, deteriorated site development, poor image and appearance, and the lack of cooperation among area businesses and owners. Also, many of the older industrial buildings have become functionally obsolete for contemporary industrial operations.

## The Commercial Redevelopment District

The commercial district along Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue, and Oakley Avenue contains approximately 47 acres. This area is compact and dense with no undeveloped land. The majority of the buildings are commercial use with residential on the upper floors. Single family homes are scattered throughout the commercial district. Ninety one percent of the 167 buildings are over 35 years old and require major repair or maintenance. Since 1994 the City of Chicago Building Department has issued building code violations for over 50 different buildings in the commercial area, indicating that many of the buildings in the area are in need of major repair. In addition, infrastructure improvements are needed in the area.

## The Project Area as a Whole

The Project Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise. Evidence of this lack of growth and development is detailed in Section $V I$ and summarized below.

- Numerous buildings show signs of obsolescence, deterioration, building code violations, excessive vacancies, and an overall depreciation of physical maintenance.
- The majority of the Project Area's infrastructure needs to be repaired or replaced. There are several viaducts that need to be reconstructed to enable passage of tractor trailers through the Project Area. The street conditions are quite poor, requiring rebuilding or resurfacing. Most of the Project Area's curbs, gutters, and sidewalks need replacement. Vaulted sidewalks are present in select areas of the Project Area. The replacement of these vaulted sidewalks are more difficult and costly as compared to the "non-vaulted" sidewalks. The retaining walls along the river are very old and may require maintenance and repair.
- Between $1 / 4 / 93$ to $2 / 20 / 98,121$ building permits were issued for new construction, additions, and alterations, which totaled approximately $\$ 8,100,000$. Over half of these permits were for smaller scale projects requiring less than $\$ 25,000$ worth of work, indicating no major developments or renovations in the area. Only $6 \%(\$ 488,500)$ of this work was for industrial type buildings and $5.4 \%(\$ 437,000)$ of the construction was for commercial/retail/office type buildings. About $52.5 \%(\$ 4,146,750)$ of the construction activity was for miscellaneous structures such as pumps, elevators, garages, and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ units. Overall, the investment is very scattered having little to no impact on the area.
- There have been over 25 demolition permits issued between 1/4/93 to $2 / 20 / 98$ years for the Project Area. This indicates a decline in business activity in the Project Area since these demolitions have not been replaced with new construction.
- Between 1991 and 1996, the assessed valuation (the "AV") of the Project Area decreased by 0.01 percent, while the AV of the City as a whole increased by 7.10 percent between 1991 and 1996.
- Between 1990 and 1995 there was a $25 \%$ decrease in durable goods manufacturing jobs, a $9 \%$ decrease in non-durable goods manufacturing jobs, and a $11 \%$ decrease in wholesale trade jobs within the area covered by Zip code 60608, according to the Illinois Department of Employment Security. This area is bounded by Roosevelt Road on the north, Halsted Street on the east, 35th Street on the south, and California Avenue on the west. The entire Project Area west of Halsted Street is covered by the Zip code, 60608 and approximately $75 \%$ of the industrial district is within this zip code.
- The industrial district is part of the Chicago South industrial submarket which has significantly lower rents than the Chicago North industrial submarket and the Metropolitan area. The dividing line between the North and South submarkets is

Roosevelt Road ( 1200 south). The average weighted rental rate for manufacturing in the south submarket is $\$ 2.53$ per square foot, as compared to $\$ 3.67 / \mathrm{sq}$. ft. and $\$ 3.55 / \mathrm{sq}$. ft . in the north submarket and metropolitan area (Source: Goodman Williams Group/Cushmanand Wakefield).

- The Chicago South industrial submarket has a significantly higher vacancy rate as compared to the Chicago North submarket and the metropolitan area. The vacancy rates are as follows: Chicago South submarket - $8.3 \%$, Chicago North submarket $5.6 \%$, and the metropolitan area - 7.7\%. (Source: Goodman Williams Group/Cushman and Wakefield).

Without a comprehensive and area-wide effort by the City to promote investment, the Project Area will not likely be subject to sound growth and development through private investment. Additionally, the Project Area would likely continue to be characterized by dilapidation, obsolescence, deterioration, structures below minimum code standards, excessive vacancies, the depreciation of physical maintenance and an overall lack of community planning.

While small-scale, piecemeal development might occur in limited portions of the Project Area, the City believes that the Project Area should be revitalized on a coordinated, comprehensive and planned basis to ensure continuity with the planning efforts of the surrounding industrial corridors and neighborhoods. A coordinated and comprehensive redevelopment effort will allow the City and other taxing districts to work cooperatively to prepare for the increased service demands that may arise from the conversion of underutilized land and buildings to more intensive uses. Such a comprehensive redevelopment plan will also encourage job training to assist in putting residents of the surrounding neighborhoods to work in the newly created jobs within the Project Area.

## B. Tax Increment Financing

In January 1977, Tax Increment Financing ("TIF") was made possible by the Illinois General Assembly through passage of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended (the "Act"). The Act provides a means for municipalities, after the approval of a redevelopment plan and project, to redevelop blighted, conservation, or industrial park conservation areas and to finance eligible "redevelopment project costs" with incremental property tax revenues. "Incremental Property Tax" or "Incremental Property Taxes" are derived from the increase in the current Equalized Assessed Value (the "EAV") of real property within the redevelopment project area over and above the "Certified Initial EAV" of such real property. Any increase in EAV is then multiplied by the current tax rate which results in Incremental Property Taxes. A decline in current EAV does not result in a negative Incremental Property Tax.

To finance redevelopment project costs, a municipality may issue obligations secured by Incremental Property Taxes to be generated within the project area. In addition, a municipality
may pledge towards payment of such obligations any part or any combination of the following: (a) net revenues of all or part of any redevelopment project; (b) taxes levied and collected on any or all property in the municipality; (c) the full faith and credit of the municipality; (d) a mortgage on part or all of the redevelopment project; or (e) any other taxes or anticipated receipts that the municipality may lawfully pledge.

Tax increment financing does not generate tax revenues by increasing tax rates; it generates revenues by allowing the municipality to capture, temporarily, the new tax revenues produced by the enhanced valuation of properties resulting from the municipality's redevelopment program, improvements and activities, various redevelopment projects, and the reassessment of properties. Under TIF, all taxing districts continue to receive property taxes levied on the initial valuation of properties within the redevelopment project area. Additionally, taxing districts can receive distributions of excess Incremental Property Taxes when annual Incremental Property Taxes received exceed principal and interest obligations for that year and redevelopment project costs necessary to implement the redevelopment plan have been paid. Taxing districts also benefit from the increased property tax base after redevelopment project costs and obligations are paid.

## C. The Redevelopment Plan for the Pilsen Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area

As evidenced in Section VI, the Project Area as a whole has not been subject to growth and development through private investment. Furthermore, it is not reasonable to expect that the Project Area as a whole will be redeveloped without the use of TIF.

Trkla, Pettigrew, Allen, \& Payne Inc. has prepared this Redevelopment Plan and the related eligibility study with the understanding that the City would rely on (i) the findings and conclusions of the Redevelopment Plan and the related eligibility study in proceeding with the designation of the Redevelopment Plan, and (ii) the fact that Trkla, Pettigrew, Allen \& Payne, Inc. has obtained the necessary information so that the Redevelopment Plan and the related eligibility study will comply with the Act.

This Pilsen Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Redevelopment Plan") has been formulated in accordance with the provisions of the Act and is intended to guide improvements and activities within the Project Area in order to stimulate private investment in the Project Area. The goal of the City, through implementation of this Redevelopment Plan, is that the entire Project Area be revitalized on a comprehensive and planned basis to ensure that private investment in rehabilitation and new development occurs:

1. On a coordinated rather than piecemeal basis to ensure that land use, access and circulation, parking, public services and urban design are functionally integrated and meet present-day principles and standards; and
2. On a reasonable, comprehensive and integrated basis to ensure that the factors of blight and conservation are eliminated; and
3. Within a reasonable and defined time period so that the Project Area may contribute productively to the economic vitality of the City.

Redevelopment of the Project Area will constitute a large and complex endeavor, and presents challenges and opportunities commensurate with its scale. The success of this redevelopment effort will depend to a large extent on the cooperation between the private sector and agencies of local government. Adoption of this Redevelopment Plan will make possible the implementation of a comprehensive program for redevelopment of the Project Area. By means of public investment, the Project Area will become a stable environment that will again attract private investment. Public investment will set the stage for area-wide redevelopment by the private sector. Through this Redevelopment Plan, the City will serve as the central force for directing the assets and energies of the private sector to ensure a unified and cooperative publicprivate redevelopment effort.

This Redevelopment Plan sets forth the overall "Redevelopment Project" to be undertaken to accomplish the City's above-stated goal. During implementation of the Redevelopment Project, the City may, from time to time: (i) undertake or cause to be undertaken public improvements and activities; and (ii) enter into redevelopment agreements with private entities to construct, rehabilitate, renovate or restore private improvements on one or several parcels (collectively referred to as "Redevelopment Projects").

This Redevelopment Plan specifically describes the Project Area and summarizes the conservation area factors which qualify a portion of the Project Area as a "conservation area" and the blight factors which qualify a portion of the Project Area as a "blighted area" as defined in the Act.

Successful implementation of this Redevelopment Plan requires that the City utilize Incremental Property Taxes and other resources in accordance with the Act to stimulate the comprehensive and coordinated development of the Project Area. Only through the utilization of TIF will the Project Area develop on a comprehensive and coordinated basis, thereby eliminating the existing and threatened blight and conservation area conditions which have precluded development of the Project Area by the private sector.

The use of Incremental Property Taxes will permit the City to direct, implement and coordinate public improvements and activities to stimulate private investment within the Project Area. These improvements, activities and investments will benefit the City, its residents, and all taxing districts having jurisdiction over the Project Area. These anticipated benefits include:

- An increased property tax base arising from new industrial development and the rehabilitation of existing buildings.
- An increased sales tax base resulting from new and existing commercial, retail and industrial development.
- An increase in construction, industrial, and other full-time employment opportunities for existing and future residents of the City.
- The construction of an improved system of roadways, utilities and other infrastructure which better serves existing industries and adequately accommodates desired new development.


## II. LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND PROJECT BOUNDARY

The boundaries of the Project Area have been drawn to include only those contiguous parcels of real property and improvements substantially benefited by the proposed Redevelopment Project to be undertaken as part of this Redevelopment Plan. The boundaries of the Project Area are shown in Figure 1, Project Boundary, and are generally described below:

The Project Area is generally bounded by Cullerton Avenue (between Ashland Avenue and Morgan Street) and 16th Street (between Morgan Street and Stewart Avenue) on the north, Stewart Avenue and the Chicago River on the east, the Stevenson Expressway and 33rd Street on the south, and Western Avenue on the west.

The boundaries of the Project Area are legally described in Exhibit I at the end of this report.


## III. ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS

The results summarized in this section are more fully described in a separate report which presents the definition, application and extent of the conservation and blight factors in the Project Area. The report, prepared by TPAP and entitled "Pilsen Project Area Tax Increment Financing Eligibility Study," is attached as Exhibit V to this Redevelopment Plan.

## A. Industrial District

Based upon surveys, inspections and analyses of the Project Area, the industrial district qualifies as a "blighted area" within the requirements of the Act. The industrial district area is characterized by the presence of a combination of five or more of the blight factors listed in the Act for improved areas, rendering the district detrimental to the public safety, health and welfare of the citizens of the City. Specifically,

- Of the 14 factors for improved, blighted areas set forth in the Act, nine are found to be present to a major extent and one is found to be present to a limited extent in the industrial district.
- These 10 factors are reasonably distributed throughout the industrial district.
- The entire industrial district is impacted by and shows the presence of these 10 factors.
- The industrial district includes only real property and improvements substantially benefited by the Redevelopment Program and potential Redevelopment Projects.


## B. Commercial District

Based upon surveys, inspections and analyses of the Project Area, the commercial district qualifies as a "conservation area" within the requirements of the Act. Ninety-one percent or more of the buildings in the commercial district have an age of 35 years or more, and the commercial district is characterized by the presence of a combination of three or more of the conservation factors listed in the Act, rendering the district detrimental to the public safety, health and welfare of the citizens of the City. The commercial district is not yet a blighted area, but it may become a blighted area. Specifically,

- Of the 167 buildings in the commercial district, 152 ( 91 percent) are 35 years of age or older.
- Of the remaining 14 factors set forth in the Act for conservation areas, six are found to be present to a major extent and three are found to be present to a limited extent in the commercial district.
- These nine factors are reasonably distributed throughout the commercial district.
- The entire commercial district is impacted by and shows the presence of these nine factors.
- The commercial district of the Project Area includes only real property and improvements thereon substantially benefited by the proposed redevelopment project improvements.


## C. Surveys and Analyses Conducted

The conservation and blight factors found to be present in the Project Area are based upon surveys and analyses conducted by TPAP. The surveys and analyses conducted for the Project Area include:

1. Exterior survey of the condition and use of each building;
2. Site surveys of streets, alleys, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general property maintenance;
3. Analysis of existing uses and their relationships;
4. Comparison of current land use to current zoning ordinance and the current zoning map;
5. Analysis of original and current platting and building size and layout;
6. Analysis of vacant sites and vacant buildings;
7. Analysis of building floor area and site coverage;
8. Analysis of building permits issued for the Project Area from 1/4/93 to 2/20/98;
9. Analysis of building code violations for the Project Area from 1/1/94 to 6/20/97; and
10. Review of previously prepared plans, studies and data.

## IV. REDEVELOPMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Comprehensive and coordinated area-wide investment in new public and private improvements and facilities is essential for the successful redevelopment of the Project Area and the elimination of conditions that have impeded redevelopment of the Project Area in the past. Redevelopment of the Project Area will benefit the City through improvements in the physical environment, an increased tax base, and additional employment opportunities.

This section identifies the general goals and objectives adopted by the City for redevelopment of the Project Area. Section V presents more specific objectives for development and design within the Project Area and the redevelopment activities the City plans to undertake to achieve the goals and objectives presented in this section.

## A. General Goals

Listed below are the general goals adopted by the City for redevelopment of the Project Area. These goals provide overall focus and direction for this Redevelopment Plan.

1. An improved quality of life in the Project Area and the surrounding community.
2. Elimination of the influences and manifestations of physical and economic deterioration and obsolescence within the Project Area.
3. An environment which will contribute more positively to the health, safety and general welfare of the Project Area and the surrounding community.
4. An environment which will preserve or enhance the value of properties within and adjacent to the Project Area.
5. An increased real estate and sales tax base for the City and other taxing districts having jurisdiction over the Project Area.
6. The retention and enhancement of sound and viable existing businesses and industries within the Project Area.
7. The attraction of new industrial and business development and the creation of new job opportunities within the Project Area.
8. Employ residents within and surrounding the Redevelopment Project Area in jobs in the Redevelopment Project Area and in adjacent redevelopment project areas.

## B. Redevelopment Objectives

Listed below are the redevelopment objectives which will guide planning decisions regarding redevelopment within the Project Area.

1. Reduce or eliminate those conditions which qualify the Project Area as a blighted and conservation area. These conditions are described in detail in Exhibit V to this RedevelopmentPlan.
2. Strengthen the economic well-being of the Project Area by increasing taxable values.
3. Assemble or encourage the assembly of land into parcels of appropriate shape and sufficient size for redevelopment in accordance with this Redevelopment Plan.
4. Create an environment which stimulates private investment in the upgrading and expansion of existing industries and the construction of new businesses and industrial facilities.
5. Encourage visually attractive buildings, rights-of-way and open spaces and encourage high standards of design, including river edge amenities where appropriate.
6. Provide needed improvements and facilities in proper relationship to the projected demand for such facilities and in accordance with present-day design standards for such facilities.
7. Provide needed incentives to encourage a broad range of improvements in business retention, rehabilitation and new development.
8. Establish job readiness and job training programs to provide residents within and surrounding the Project Area with the skills necessary to secure jobs in the Project Area and in adjacent redevelopment project areas.
9. Secure commitments from employers in the Project Area and adjacent redevelopment project areas to interview graduates of the Project Area's job readiness and job training programs.
10. Create new job opportunities for City residents utilizing first source hiring programs and appropriate job training programs.
11. Provide opportunities for women and minority businesses to share in the redevelopment of the Project Area.

## V. REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

This section presents the Redevelopment Project anticipated to be undertaken by the City and by private entities on behalf of the City in furtherance of this Redevelopment Plan. Several existing plans which include, The Model Industrial Corridor Initiative: Pilsen Industrial Corridor Final Plan 1995, The Corridors of Industrial Opportunity Plan 1992, Cityspace, An Open Space Plan for Chicago, and The City of Chicago Capital Improvement Program 19972001, have been reviewed and form the basis for many of the recommendations presented in this Redevelopment Plan. The Redevelopment Project described in this Redevelopment Plan and pursuant to the Act includes: a) the overall redevelopment concept, b) the land use plan, c) improvement and development recommendations for planning subareas, d) development and design objectives, e) a description of redevelopment improvements and activities, f) estimated redevelopment project costs, g) a description of sources of funds to pay estimated redevelopment project costs, h) a description of obligations that may be issued, and i) identification of the most recent EAV of properties in the Project Area and an estimate of future EAV.

## A. Overall Redevelopment Concept

The Project Area should be redeveloped as a cohesive and distinctive industrial, business and employment district. It should consist of industrial and business areas offering a range of site development opportunities; commercial areas that serve and support surrounding neighborhoods and employment centers; and a range of public facilities, open spaces and pedestrian amenities. The river's edge should be improved and enhanced as an open space amenity and pedestrian/bicyclecorridor.

The major portion of the Project Area should be redeveloped as a planned industrial district. Within the industrial district, viable existing industries should be retained and enhanced, and large-scale new industrial development should be undertaken.

The Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue commercial district should be upgraded and enhanced as retail and service areas that serve and support surrounding neighborhoods and employment areas.

The entire Project Area should be marked by improvements in safety and infrastructure, retention and expansion of jobs and industries, new industrial and business development, and enhancement of the area's overall image and appearance. Improvement projects should include: the rehabilitation and reuse of existing industrial and commercial buildings, new industrial and commercial construction, street and infrastructure improvements, landscaping
and other appearance enhancements, and the provision of new amenities which companies expect to find in a contemporary industrial park environment.

The Project Area should have good accessibility and should be served by a street system and public transportation facilities that provide safe and convenient access to and circulation within the Project Area.

The Project Area should be characterized by a planned network of open spaces and public amenities which will organize and provide focus to the Project Area. An open space network should be created which links major employment centers, commercial corridors, open spaces, landscaped streets and surrounding amenities.

The Project Area should have a coherent overall design and character. Individual developments should be visually distinctive and compatible. To the extent possible, the Project Area should respect Chicago's traditional neighborhood form which is characterized by a grid pattern of streets, with buildings facing the street.

The Project Area should become one of the City's premier employment centers that will complement and enhance surrounding community areas.

## B. Land Use Plan

Figure 2 presents the Land-Use Plan that will be in effect upon adoption of this Redevelopment Plan.

As indicated in Figure 2, the major portion of the Project Area will be redeveloped as a planned and cohesive industrial and employment district providing sites for a wide range of land uses, including manufacturing, distribution, assembly, warehousing and research and development uses.

The existing commercial corridors along Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue will be upgraded and enhanced as shopping and service areas that provide for the day-to-day needs for surrounding residents, employees and business patrons.

Existing public and institutional uses will be maintained, enhanced and upgraded as required. Throughout the Project Area, land uses will be arranged and located to minimize conflicts between different land use activities.

The Land Use Plan highlights numerous opportunities for industrial and commercial improvement, enhancement and new development within the Project Area. The Plan is focused on maintaining and enhancing sound and viable existing businesses, and promoting new business development at selected locations.


The Land Use Plan designates four general land use categories within the Project Area, as described below:

- The Industrial District encompasses the major portion of the Project Area and is the predominant land use. The industrial district is suitable for a wide mix of land uses, including manufacturing, assembly, distribution, warehousing, office, and research and development facilities. In addition, limited commercial development which serves and supports the industrial area will be permitted in selected locations. Within the industrial district, sound existing industries will be retained and enhanced, and large-scale, planned new industrial development will be promoted on vacant, severely deteriorated and underutilized properties.
- The Commercial District encompasses the northwest portion of the Project Area, and includes the Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue corridors. The commercial district is suitable for a mix of shoppers goods retail, convenience retail, personal and business services, financial institutions, professional offices, public and institutional uses, and off-street parking. Limited residential development will also be permitted in selected blocks, and residential units above the first floor will be encouraged. Within the commercial district, emphasis will be placed on improving and upgrading existing businesses and promoting compatible new commercial infill development.
- The Public Use District encompasses several existing public schools and parks located within the Project Area, including Benito Juarez High School, Perez Elementary School, De La Cruz School, Whittier Elementary School, and Dvorak Park. In general, these areas will be maintained and enhanced for public and institutional use. Existing residential uses will be allowed to remain, provided they are compatible with and do not adversely impact the public use facilities. Within this district, emphasis will be placed on improving and enhancing existing public facilities as focal points for the surrounding neighborhoods.
- The Mixed Use District encompasses several parcels included in the southwest portion of the Project Area and generally bounded by $33^{\text {rd }}$ Street on the south, Wolcott Avenue on the east, Hoyne Avenue on the west and the Stevenson Expressway on the north. Since these parcels lie on the perimeter of the industrial corridor and abut residential uses to the south, it is recommended that commercial uses be allowed as well as industrial. Within the mixed use district, emphasis will be placed on finding uses that are compatible with and supportive of the neighboring residential neighborhood.

Recommended land use strategies for specific subareas are presented in the following section of this Redevelopment Plan.

## C. Planning Subareas

The Project Area has been subdivided into eleven (11) subareas, each of which would be suitable for a different mix of uses and intensity of development, and each of which warrants a different approach to improvement and redevelopment (See Figure 3).

It should be emphasized that the boundaries of these subareas and the specification of uses within the subareas are for guidance only, and are subject to refinement and modification as a part of the City's planned development process.

## 1. Industrial Subareas

The major portion of the Project Area will be reserved for industrial use. Key recommendations for individual industrial subareas are highlighted below. More specific development and design objectives for industrial subareas are presented in a following section of this Redevelopment Plan.

## Subarea A

Subarea A encompasses the western portion of the Project Area, and is generally bounded by Blue Island Avenue on the north, Western Avenue on the west, the Stevenson Expressway on the south, and Ashland Avenue on the east. Major existing land uses include warehousing, distribution, trucking, and recycling yards. The area also includes auto - related parts and scrap yards, manufacturing and wholesale trade.

While Subarea A includes viable manufacturing, distribution and warehouse uses, it also includes several relatively large vacant and underutilized land parcels, particularly west of Wood Street extended. The largest of these is the 55 acre former Sears Distribution Warehouse site, located just south of the South Branch of the Chicago River, west of Ashland.

Subarea A should be the location for large-scale planned industrial development incorporating sound existing industries and significant new construction. Subarea A has a number of advantages for industrial development. It has good regional accessibility, and access to the rail and waterway systems. However, there are few interior streets, and portions of this subarea are essentially land-locked. Substantial infrastructure improvements will be required.


Subarea A should be designed to maximize flexibility for new industrial park development, and provide expansion opportunities for the firms presently located in the area. Design of this subarea should establish a strong and positive new visual identity for the industrial district.

## Subarea B

Subarea $B$ encompasses the central portion of the Project Area, and is generally bounded by Cermak Road on the north, Ashland Avenue on the west, the south Branch of the Chicago River on the south, and the Dan Ryan Expressway on the east.

Major existing uses include the Commonwealth Edison Power Plant, the Loop Recycling Plant, Sexton Disposal, the City Department of Streets and Sanitation facility, building material supply yards, printing, warehousing, and trucking firms. Subarea B is also the site of several "heavy commercial" uses such as Seigel's Lumber and Warshawski Auto Supply.

Subarea B is an older, established industrial area. While it is essentially built up, it does include several relatively large vacant industrial buildings and land parcels, particularly in the western portion of the subarea. Some of the older vacant industrial buildings have historic interest, and appear to have reuse potential.

Subarea B should be the location for large-scale new industrial development, including the reuse of vacant buildings and redevelopment of marginal and severely deteriorated properties. Subarea B has good regional accessibility and visibility, as well as access to the rail and waterway systems. However, there are few interior streets, several land parcels are essentially land-locked, and infrastructure improvements will be required.

The enhancement of the river corridor in this area should be encouraged. Possible amenities should include a bicycle/pedestrian path that will continue along the river and be linked to other open space networks.

## Subarea C

Subarea C encompasses the southwest portion of the Project Area, generally south of the Stevenson Expressway and west of Archer Avenue. Subarea C is a relatively small industrial enclave, and is isolated from the other industrial subareas. Major existing uses include auto and truck parts and repair services, trucking firms, and Pallet Supply Company.

While Subarea C is essentially developed, it does include several vacant and marginal properties that should be redeveloped for small-scale new industrial or business use.

Subarea C is closely bordered by residential uses to the south. Improvement and development of this subarea should include landscaping and/or attractive fencing to screen the industrial area from residential uses. The residential area should also be protected from industrial area traffic, parking and other adverse impacts.

## Subarea D

Subarea D encompasses the southeast portion of the Project Area, and is generally bounded by the South Branch of the Chicago River on the north and west, Archer Avenue on the south, and Stewart Avenue on the east. Major existing uses include Brandenburg Construction, Holsum Bread, Triple A Vending, Sterling Disposal, Allied and Sloan Metal.

While Subarea D is essentially developed, it does include several smaller vacant and marginal properties that should be redeveloped for small-scale new industrial or business use. Because of the presence of the river, the expressway and the rail corridors, many of the sites within this subarea have limited size and a challenging configuration.

A portion of Subarea D is closely bordered by a small residential enclave south of Eleanor Street. Improvement and development of this subarea should include landscaping and/or attractive fencing to screen the industrial area from residential uses. The residential area should also be protected from industrial area traffic, parking and other adverse impacts.

The enhancement of the river corridor in this area should be encouraged. Possible amenities should include a bicycle/pedestrianpath that will continue along the river and be linked to other open space networks.

## SubareaE

Subarea E encompasses the southeast corner of the Project Area, and is generally bounded by $27^{\text {th }}$ Street on the north, Popular Avenue on the west, $29^{\text {th }}$ Street on the south and Halsted Street on the east. Subarea E includes several commercial service and light industrial uses along the Archer Avenue frontage, including Sherwin Williams Paint. However, the dominant feature within this subarea is the former stone quarry, which is in the process of being filled.

Existing business uses should be improved and upgraded, and vacant and marginal properties should be redeveloped for small-scale new business and industrial use. When the
ongoing filling operation is complete, the quarry property should be redeveloped. If environmental conditions permit, the site should be considered for compatible light industrial development. If environmental conditions do not permit building development, the site should be improved for public park and open space use.

Because of the close proximity to residential uses, this subarea should be limited to light industry and similar uses. Improvement and development should include landscaping and/or attractive fencing to screen the industrial area from residential uses. The residential area should also be protected from industrial area traffic, parking and other adverse impacts.

## Subarea F

Subarea F encompasses the northeast corner of the Project Area, and is generally bounded by $16^{\text {th }}$ Street on the north, the Dan Ryan Expressway on the west, the south Branch of the Chicago River on the south, and Stewart Avenue on the east. Major existing land uses include Ozinga Ready Mix, building supply, contracting, metal recycling, boat storage, warehousing, vending, paper distribution, and wholesale trade. The area also contains several blocks with incompatible residential uses.

Subarea F is an older, waterfront-oriented industrial area with numerous warehouse and storage facilities. This subarea also has several businesses that serve and support the nearby Chinatown community.

While Subarea F has a number of sound and viable businesses, it also includes several vacant industrial buildings, marginal uses and vacant land parcels, particularly in the blocks between Canal Street and Stewart Avenue. These properties should be redeveloped for industrial and business use. Several of the older industrial buildings, including the former Schoonhoven Brewery building, have historic interest and reuse potential.

The enhancement of the river corridor in this area should be encouraged. Possible amenities should include a bicycle/pedestrian path that will continue along the river and be linked to other open space networks.

## Subarea $G$

Subarea G encompasses the industrial properties north of Cermak Road, between the Dan Ryan Expressway and Morgan Street. Subarea G includes a diverse mix of industrial, commercial and residential land uses, together with vacant buildings and land parcels. In general, it is characterized by marginal uses, deterioration and land use conflicts.

While viable existing industries could be retained, Subarea G should undergo area-wide redevelopment for small-scale new industrial and business uses. Because of the close proximity to residential uses, this subarea should be limited to light industry and similar uses. Improvement and development should include landscaping and/or attractive fencing to screen the industrial area from residential uses. The residential area should also be protected from industrial area traffic, parking and other adverse impacts.

## Subarea H

Subarea H encompasses the industrial properties north of Cermak Road, between Morgan Street and Ashland Avenue. Major existing uses include Kramer Industries, the Coca Cola Bottling Plant, auto-related services, Dvorak Park, Benito Juarez High School and Perez Public School.

Subarea H is essentially built up, although it does include several vacant buildings and marginal uses that can be redeveloped for industrial use or for the expansion of the existing high school. Because of the close proximity to residential uses, this subarea should be limited to light industry and similar uses. Improvement and development should include landscaping and/or attractive fencing to screen the industrial area from residential uses. The adjacent residential area should also be protected from industrial area traffic, parking and other adverse impacts.

The public schools and park site located in Subarea H should be maintained and enhanced as essential community facilities which serve surrounding neighborhoods. Building and site improvements should be undertaken as required. Small scale expansion should be considered if needed. Public use sites should be protected from industrial area traffic, parking and other adverse impacts.

## 2. Commercial Subareas

The Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue, and Oakley Avenue corridors should be improved and enhanced for commercial and business use. Key recommendations for commercial subareas are highlighted below. More specific development and design objectives for the commercial subareas are presented in a following section of this Redevelopment Plan.

## SubareaI

Subarea I encompasses the Ashland Avenue corridor, from Cermak Road to 21st Street, and Blue Island Avenue, from 21st Street to Cullerton Avenue. Subarea I includes a mix of retail, service, public, institutional, light industrial and residential uses. It is characterized by attractive older multi-story masonry buildings, many of which may have historic interest.

Subarea I should be upgraded and enhanced as a mixed-use corridor providing sites for a range of commercial, business and residential uses. Commercial uses should be oriented to motorists which travel Ashland Avenue and Blue Island Avenue, and to the needs of nearby residential neighborhoods and employment centers.

## Subarea J

Subarea J encompasses the north side of Blue Island Avenue, from Ashland Avenue to Western Avenue. In addition, this subarea also generally includes the Oakley Avenue frontage, from Blue Island Avenue to 23rd Place and the Wolcott Avenue frontage, from Blue Island Avenue to 23rd Street.

Subarea J includes a diverse mix of commercial service, light industrial, residential uses, a Chicago Police Station, De La Cruz Public School, and Whittier Elementary School. In contrast to the other commercial subareas, Subarea J is characterized by marginal uses, deterioration and land use conflicts, particularly within the blocks along Blue Island Avenue.

While viable existing uses should be retained and upgraded, substantial portions of the Blue Island frontage should undergo redevelopment for small-scale commercial service and compatible light industry. Residential uses that face other residential uses in this area should be permitted and maintained. However, new residential construction should be discouraged along Blue Island Avenue.

The public schools located in Subarea J should be maintained and enhanced. Building and site improvements should be undertaken as required. Small scale expansion should be considered if needed.

## 3. Mixed Use Subareas

There is only one area of the Project Area which qualifies as a mixed use subarea.

## SubareaK

Subarea $K$ encompasses several parcels of the southwest portion of the Project Area, generally south of the Stevenson Expressway, west of Wolcott Avenue, east of Hoyne Avenue and north of $33^{\text {rd }}$ Street. Subarea $K$ is currently a relatively small industrial enclave, and is isolated from the other industrial subareas.

Subarea K includes several vacant and marginal properties that should be redeveloped for new industrial or commercial use.

Subarea K is closely bordered by residential uses to the south. Therefore commercial uses should be considered to provide goods and services to the residents of the neighborhoods.

## D. Development And Design Objectives

Listed below are the specific Development and Design Objectives which will assist the City in directing and coordinating public and private improvement and investment within the industrial and commercial districts of the Project Area in order to achieve the general goals and objectives identified in Section IV of this Redevelopment Plan.

The Development and Design Objectives are intended to help attract desirable new business and commercial development, foster a consistent and coordinated development pattern, and create an attractive and quality image and identity for the Project Area.

## 1. Industrial Areas

The following Development and Design Objectives apply to the overall industrial district and to the various industrial subareas of the Project Area.
a) Land Use

- Promote comprehensive, area-wide redevelopment of the southern portion of the Project Area as a planned and cohesive industrial, business and employment district.
- Provide sites for a wide range of land uses, including manufacturing, distribution, warehousing and research and development facilities.
- Promote business retention and new employment development throughout the industrial district.
- Promote limited retail and commercial uses in selected locations which support the needs of the industrial district's employees and business patrons.
- Protect subareas designated for industrial and employment use from competing and conflicting land uses.
b) Building and Site Development
- Where feasible, repair and rehabilitate existing industrial buildings in poor condition.
- Where rehabilitation is not feasible, demolish deteriorated existing buildings to allow for new industrial development.
- Reuse vacant industrial buildings in serviceable condition for new business or industrial uses.
- Ensure that the design of new industrial buildings is compatible with the surrounding building context.
- Preserve buildings with historic and architectural value where appropriate.
- Promote the use of architectural treatments or landscaping to add visual interest to large industrial buildings facing major streets.
- Locate building service and loading areas away from front entrances and major streets where possible.
- Encourage parking, service and support facilities which can be shared by multiple industries.
- Encourage decorative metal fencing around the perimeter of industrial sites to provide street level identity and enhance public safety. Discourage the use of chain link fencing, except in areas that are not visible to the public.
c) Transportation and Infrastructure
- Ensure safe and convenient access to the industrial subareas for trucks, autos and public transportation.
- Alleviate traffic congestion along arterial routes.
- Improve the street surface conditions, street lighting, viaduct conditions and traffic signalization.
- Consider the use of traffic calming devices such as cul-de-sacs, limited access and street closures in areas where industrial activity is in close proximity to residential areas.
- Consider closing selected street segments in order to create larger building sites and enhance opportunities for new development.
- Promote "transit-friendly" developments that incorporate transit facilities into their design.
- Provide well-defined, safe pedestrian connections between developments within the industrial district, and between industrial subareas and nearby destinations.
- Promote the development of river edge amenities to provide a continuous pedestrian and bicycle corridor along the river.
- Upgrade public utilities and infrastructure as required.
d) Urban Design
- Establish a comprehensive streetscape system which can guide the design and location of light fixtures, sidewalks, paving materials, landscaping, street furniture and signage.
- Promote high quality and harmonious architectural and landscape design within the industrial district.
- Enhance the appearance of industrial areas by landscaping the major street corridors.
- Provide distinctive design features, including landscaping and signage, at the major entryways into the industrial district.
- Install streetpole banners throughout the industrial district to signal revitalization and reinvestment.
- Develop a distinctive new name and logo for the Project Area; use these extensively to increase public awareness and establish a new identity for the Project Area.
- Clean-up and maintain vacant land, particularly in highly visible locations; where possible, use vacant lots for open space, community gardens or off-street parking.
- Eliminate illegal dumping, abandoned vehicles and graffiti.
- Promote the development of "public art" at selected locations.
- Prohibit billboards and other outdoor advertising.
e) Landscaping and Open Space
- Provide landscaped transitional areas to secure the periphery of the industrial district and reduce the adverse impact of industrial activities on adjacent residential neighborhoods.
- Encourage landscaped open spaces in front setbacks, particularly along arterial and industrial collector streets.
- Screen active rail tracks with berming and landscaping.
- Promote the use of landscaping to screen dumpsters, waste collection areas, and the perimeter of parking lots and other vehicular use areas.
- Use landscaping and attractive fencing to screen loading and service areas from public view.
- Promote a continuous landscaped open space area along the river corridor.
- Promote the development of shared open spaces within industrial subareas, including courtyards, eating areas, recreational areas, etc.
- Ensure that all open spaces are designed, landscaped and lighted to achieve a high level of security.
- Ensure that all landscaping and design materials comply with the City of Chicago Landscape Ordinance.


## 2. Commercial Areas

The following Development and Design Objectives apply to the various commercial subareas of the Project Area.

## a) Land Use

- Promote improvement and enhancement of the Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue corridors as retail and service areas that serve and support nearby residential and employment areas.
- Provide sites for a wide range of retail, commercial service, public and institutional uses.
- Retain sound existing businesses and promote compatible new commercial development in selected locations.
- Encourage the clustering of similar and supporting commercial uses to promote cumulative attraction and multi-stop shopping.
- Promote convenience retail and service uses that can provide for the day-to-day needs of nearby residents, employees and business patrons.
- Establish a visual and functional identity for the commercial subareas which reflects the culture and ethnic heritage of adjoining neighborhoods.
- Promote new activities and events along the corridors which will enhance overall neighborhood identity and encourage people to frequent the commercial areas.
b) Building Development
- Reinforce Chicago's traditional commercial development pattern which consists of twoand three-story masonry buildings located at or near the sidewalk line, with front doors facing the street.
- Repair and rehabilitate existing commercial buildings in poor condition.
- Reuse vacant buildings for new commercial uses.
- Maintain and preserve older commercial buildings with architectural or historic interest.
- Improve the design and appearance of commercial storefronts.
- Ensure that the design of new buildings is compatible with the surrounding building context.
- Improve the overall level of "housekeeping" within the commercial subareas.
- Improve commercial area signage.
- Establish commercial area design guidelines that will help visually unify the commercial subareas; guidelines should address façade treatment, color, materials, awnings and canopies, and commercial signage.


## c) Access and Circulation

- Ensure safe and convenient access to the commercial subareas for autos, public transportation and pedestrians.
- Monitor traffic conditions within the commercial subareas; undertake traffic operational and signalization improvements where necessary.
- Consider closing selected side street segments in order to create larger building sites and enhance opportunities for new commercial development.
- Consider the use of traffic calming devices such as cul-de-sacs, limited access and street closures to protect adjoining residential neighborhoods.
- Provide well-defined and safe pedestrian connections between the commercial subareas and the surrounding neighborhoods and employment centers.
d) Parking
- Ensure that all commercial subareas are served by an adequate supply of conveniently located parking.
- Minimize parking "spillover" in adjacent neighborhoods.
- Maintain curb parking within each commercial subarea.
- Consider new public parking lots in selected locations.
- Consider closing selected side streets to create new parking areas.
- Utilize alleys and the rear portions of commercial properties for parking.
- Promote cooperative arrangements between businesses which would permit existing parking lots to be used by neighboring businesses during off-peak periods.
- Ensure that parking lots are attractively designed and adequately maintained.
- Consolidate and redesign small separate parking lots located within the same block.
e) Public Rights-of-Way
- Improve the condition of street surfaces, curbs and gutters within the commercial subareas.
- Improve the condition of sidewalk surfaces; consider special surface treatments in pedestrian shopping areas.
- Provide safe and convenient pedestrian crosswalks.
- Provide new street furniture where space permits, including benches, planters, kiosks and trash receptacles.
- Provide new pedestrian-scale lighting in areas with intense pedestrian activity.
- Provide new street trees and accent lighting where space permits.
- Create new "gateway" areas, including landscaping and signage, at the major entry points to the commercial subareas.
- Install streetpole banners within the commercial areas to signal revitalization and reinvestment.
- Promote the development of "public art" at selected locations.
- Establish a comprehensive streetscape system which can guide the design and location of light fixtures, sidewalks, paving materials, landscaping, street furniture and signage throughout the commercial subareas.


## E. Redevelopment Improvements and Activities

The City proposes to achieve its redevelopment goals and objectives for the Project Area through the use of public financing techniques including, but not limited to, tax increment financing, to undertake some or all of the activities and improvements authorized under the Act, including the activities and improvements described below. The City also maintains the flexibility to undertake additional activities and improvements authorized under the Act, if the need for activities or improvements change as redevelopment occurs in the Project Area.

The City may enter into redevelopment agreements with public or private entities for the furtherance of this Redevelopment Plan. Such redevelopment agreements may be for the assemblage of land; the construction, rehabilitation, renovation or restoration of improvements or facilities; the provision of services; or any other lawful purpose. Redevelopment agreements may contain terms and provisions which are more specific than the general principles set forth in this Redevelopment Plan and which include affordable housing requirements as described in Exhibit II, Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs.

## 1. Property Assembly

To meet the goals and objectives of this Redevelopment Plan, the City may acquire and assemble property throughout the Project Area. Land assemblage by the City may be by purchase, exchange, donation, lease or eminent domain and may be for the purpose of (a) sale, lease or conveyance to private developers, or (b) sale, lease, conveyance or dedication for the construction of public improvements or facilities. Furthermore, the City may require written redevelopment agreements with developers before acquiring any properties.

Figure 4, Land Acquisition Overview Map, indicates the parcels currently proposed to be acquired for clearance and redevelopment in the Project Area. Exhibit IV contains Figures 4a-m: Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number which portrays the acquisition properties in more detail.

In connection with the City exercising its power to acquire real property not currently identified on the following Acquisition Map, including the exercise of the power of eminent domain, under the Act in implementing the Plan, the City will follow its customary procedures of having each such acquisition recommended by the Community Development Commission (or any successor commission) and authorized by the City Council of the City.


As appropriate, the City may devote acquired property to temporary uses until such property is scheduled for disposition and redevelopment. The City may demolish improvements, remove and grade soils and prepare sites with soils and materials suitable for new construction. Clearance and demolition will, to the greatest extent possible, be timed to coincide with redevelopment activities so that tracts of land do not remain vacant for extended periods and so that the adverse effects of clearance activities may be minimized.

The City may (a) acquire any historic structure (whether a designated City or State landmark or on, or eligible for, nomination to the National Register of Historic Places); (b) demolish any non-historic feature of such structure; and (c) incorporate any historic structure or historic feature into a development on the subject property or adjoining property.

## 2. Relocation

In the event that active businesses or other occupants are displaced by the public acquisition of property, they may be relocated and may be provided with financial assistance and advisory services. Relocation services in conjunction with property acquisition will be provided in accordance with City policy.

## 3. Provision of Public Works or Improvements

The City may provide public improvements and facilities that are necessary to service the Project Area in accordance with this Redevelopment Plan and the comprehensive plan for development of the City as a whole. Public improvements and facilities may include, but are not limited to, the following:
a) Streets and Utilities

A range of individual roadway, utility and related improvement projects, from repair and resurfacing to major construction or reconstruction, may be undertaken.

## b) Parks and Open Space

Improvements to existing or future parks, river walkways, open spaces and public plazas may be provided, including the construction of pedestrian walkways, stairways, lighting, landscaping and general beautification improvements may be provided for the use of the general public.

## c) River Improvements

The retaining walls along the Chicago River are very old and may be in need of repair. Select canal slips may need to be filled to assemble larger land parcels.

## 4. Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings

The City will encourage the rehabilitation of buildings that are basically sound and/or historically significant, and are located so as not to impede the Redevelopment Project.

## 5. Job Training and Related Educational Programs

Separate or combined programs designed to increase the skills of the labor force to meet employers' hiring needs and to take advantage of the employment opportunities within the Project Area may be implemented.

## 6. Taxing Districts Capital Costs

The City may reimburse all or a portion of the costs incurred by certain taxing districts in the furtherance of the objectives of this Redevelopment Plan.

## 7. Interest Subsidies

Funds may be provided to redevelopers for a portion of interest costs incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project provided that:
(a) such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to the Act; and
(b) such payments in any one year may not exceed 30 percent of the annual interest costs incurred by the redeveloper with respect to the redevelopment project during that year;
(c) if there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment, then the amounts so due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund; and
(d) the total of such interest payments paid pursuant to the Act may not exceed 30 percent of the total (i) costs paid or incurred by a redeveloper for a redevelopment project plus (ii) redevelopment project costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by the City pursuant to the Act.

## 8. Analysis, Administration, Studies, Surveys, Legal, etc.

The City may undertake or engage professional consultants, engineers, architects, attorneys, etc. to conduct various analyses, studies, surveys, administration or legal services to establish, implement and manage this Redevelopment Plan.

## F. Redevelopment Project Costs

The various redevelopment expenditures which are eligible for payment or reimbursement under the Act are reviewed below. Following this review is a list of estimated redevelopment project costs which are deemed to be necessary to implement this Redevelopment Plan (the "Redevelopment Project Costs").

## 1. Eligible Redevelopment Project Costs

Redevelopment project costs include the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred, estimated to be incurred, or incidental to this Redevelopment Plan pursuant to the Act. Such costs may include, without limitation, the following:

1) Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to, staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning or other services (excluding lobbying expenses), provided that no charges for professional services are based on a percentage of the tax increment collected;
2) The costs of marketing sites within the Project Area to prospective businesses, developers and investors;
3) Property assembly costs, including but not limited to, acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, site preparation, site improvements that serve as an engineered barrier addressing ground level or below ground environmental contamination, including, but not limited to parking lots and other concrete or asphalt barriers, and the clearing and grading of land;
4) Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures, and leasehold improvements; and the costs of replacing an existing public building if pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investmentor devoted to a different use requiring private investment;
5) Costs of the construction of public works or improvements subject to the limitations in Section 11.74.43(q)(4) of the Act;
6) Costs of job training and retraining projects including the cost of "welfare to work" programs implemented by businesses located within the redevelopment project area and such proposals feature a community-based training program which ensures maximum reasonable opportunities for residents of the Project Area with particular attention to the needs of those residents who have previously experienced inadequate employment opportunities and development of job-related skills including residents of public and other subsidized housing and people with disabilities;
7) Financing costs including, but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for a period not exceeding 36 months following completion and including reasonable reserves related thereto;
8) All or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from a redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan and project to the extent the municipality by written agreement accepts and approves such costs;
9) Relocation costs to the extent that a municipality determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or state law or by Section 74.4-3(n)(7) of the Act;
10) Payment in lieu of taxes as defined in the Act;
11) Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational education or career education, including but not limited to, courses in occupational, semi-technical or technical fields leading directly to employment, incurred by one or more taxing districts, provided that such costs (i) are related to the establishment and maintenance of additional job training, advanced vocational education or career education programs for persons employed or to be employed by employers located in a redevelopment project area; and (ii) when incurred by a taxing district or taxing districts other than the municipality, are set forth in a written agreement by or among the municipality and the taxing district or taxing districts, which agreement describes the program to be undertaken including but not limited to, the number of employees to be trained, a description of the training and services to be provided, the number and type of positions available or to be available, itemized costs of the program and sources of funds to pay for the same, and the term of the agreement. Such costs include, specifically, the payment by community college districts of costs pursuant to Sections 3-37, 3-38, 3-40, and 3-40.1 of the Public Community College Act and by school districts of costs pursuant to Sections $10-$ 22.20a and 10-23.3a of the School Code;
12) Interest cost incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project provided that:
1. such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to this Act;
2. such payments in any one year may not exceed 30 percent of the annual interest costs incurred by the redeveloper with regard to the redevelopment project during that year;
3. if there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment pursuant to this provision, then the amount so due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund; and
4. the total of such interest payments incurred pursuant to this Act may not exceed 30 percent of the total: (i) costs paid or incurred by the redeveloper for such redevelopment project plus (ii) redevelopment project costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by a municipality pursuant to this Act.
13) Unless explicitly provided in the Act, the cost of construction of new privatelyowned buildings shall not be an eligible redevelopment project cost.
14) The costs of daycare services for children of employees from low-income families working for businesses located within the Project Area and all or a portion of the cost of operation of day care centers established by Project Area businesses located in the Project Area. For the purposes of this paragraph, "low-income families" means families whose annual income does not exceed 80 percent of the City , county or regional median income as determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

If a special service area has been established pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act, [ 35 ILCS 235/0.01 et. seq.] then any tax increment revenues derived from the tax imposed pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act may be used within the redevelopment project area for the purposes permitted by the Special Service Area Tax Act as well as the purposes permitted by the Act.

## 2. Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs

A range of redevelopment activities and improvements will be required to implement this Redevelopment Plan. The activities and improvements and their estimated costs are set forth in Exhibit II of this Redevelopment Plan. All estimates are based on 1998 dollars. Funds may be moved from one line item to another or to an eligible cost category described in this Plan.

Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Redevelopment Plan are intended to provide an upper estimate of expenditures. Within this upper estimate, adjustments may be made in line items without amending this Redevelopment Plan.

It is City policy to require that developers who receive TIF assistance for market rate housing set aside 20 percent of the units or commit to an alternative affordable housing option pursuant to Department of Housing Guidelines to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Housing. Generally, this means the affordable for-sale units should be priced at a level that is affordable to persons earning no more than 100 percent of the area median income, and affordable rental units should be affordable to persons earning no more than 60 percent of the area median income.

## G. Sources of Funds to Pay Redevelopment Project Costs

Funds necessary to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs and secure municipal obligations issued for such costs are to be derived primarily from Incremental Property Taxes. Other sources of funds which may be used to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs or secure municipal obligations are land disposition proceeds, state and federal grants, investment income, private financing and other legally permissible funds the municipality may deem appropriate. The municipality may incur redevelopment project costs which are paid for from funds of the municipality other than incremental taxes, and the municipality may then be reimbursed from such costs from incremental taxes. Also, the City may permit the utilization of guarantees, deposits and other forms of security made available by private sector developers. Additionally, the City may utilize revenues, other than State sales tax increment revenues, received under the Act from one redevelopment project area for eligible costs in another redevelopment project area that is either contiguous to, or is separated only by a public right-ofway from, the redevelopment project area from which the revenues are received.

The Project Area is contiguous to the River South Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area and may, in the future, be contiguous to other redevelopment project areas. The City may utilize net incremental property taxes received from the Project Area to pay eligible redevelopment project costs, or obligations issued to pay such costs, in other contiguous redevelopment project areas, and vice versa. The amount of revenue from the Project Area made available to support such contiguous redevelopment project areas, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the Project Area, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Redevelopment Plan.

The Project Area may become contiguous to, or be separated only by a public right of way from, redevelopment project areas created under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law (65 ILCS $5 / 11-74.6-1$, et seq.). If the City finds that the goals, objectives and financial success of such contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated only by a public right of way are interdependent with those of the Project Area, the City may determine that it is in the best
interests of the City and in furtherance of the purposes of the Redevelopment Plan that net revenues from the Project Area be made available to support any such redevelopment project areas. The City therefore proposes to utilize net incremental revenues received from the Project Area to pay eligible redevelopment project costs (which are eligible under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law referred to above) in any such areas. The amount of revenue from the Project Area so made available, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible or other areas as described in the preceding paragraph, shall not at any time exceed the total redevelopment project costs described in Table 1 of this Redevelopment Plan.

## H. Issuance of Obligations

The City may issue obligations secured by Incremental Property Taxes pursuant to Section 11-74.4-7 of the Act. To enhance the security of a municipal obligation the City may pledge its full faith and credit through the issuance of general obligation bonds. Additionally, the City may provide other legally permissible credit enhancements to any obligations issued pursuant to the Act.

The Redevelopment Project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall be retired, no later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving the Project Area is adopted, by 2022. Also, the final maturity date of any such obligations which are issued may not be later than 20 years from their respective dates of issue. One or more series of obligations may be sold at one or more times in order to implement this Redevelopment Plan. Obligations may be issued on a parity or subordinated basis.

In addition to paying Redevelopment Project Costs, Incremental Property Taxes may be used for the scheduled retirement of obligations, mandatory or optional redemptions, establishment of debt service reserves and bond sinking funds. To the extent that Incremental Property Taxes are not needed for these purposes, any excess Incremental Property Taxes shall then become available for distribution annually to taxing districts having jurisdiction over the Project Area in the manner provided by the Act.

## I. Valuation of the Project Area

## 1. Most Recent EAV of Properties in the Project Area

The most recent 1996 EAV of all taxable parcels in the Project Area is estimated to be $\$ 114,441,698$. This EAV is based on 1996 EAV and is subject to verification by the County Clerk. After verification, the final figure shall be certified by the County Clerk. This certified amount shall become the Certified Initial EAV from which all

Incremental Property Taxes in the Project Area will be calculated by the County.

## 2. Anticipated Equalized Assessed Valuation

By the tax year 2021 (collection year 2022) and following the construction of roadway and utility improvements, viaduct closures, installation of additional and upgraded lighting, improved signage and landscaping, etc. and substantial completion of potential Redevelopment Projects, the EAV of the Project Area is estimated to total between $\$ 204,000,000$ and $\$ 231,000,000$. Both estimates are based on several key assumptions, including: 1) redevelopment of the Project Area will occur in a timely manner; 2) 2\% inflation in EAV in the commercial district between years 1998-2021, 3) no inflation in EAV during the build-out period in the industrial district; 4) between $3,200,000$ and $3,700,000$ square feet of industrial space will be constructed in the Project Area and occupied by 2021; and 5) the five year average state equalization factor of 2.1240 (tax years 1992 through 1996) is used in all years to calculate estimated EAV.

## VI. LACK OF GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT THROUGH INVESTMENT BY PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

As described in Section III of this Redevelopment Plan, the Project Area as a whole is adversely impacted by the presence of numerous conservation and blight factors, and these factors are reasonably distributed throughout the Project Area. Conservation and blight factors within the Project Area are widespread and represent major impediments to sound growth and development.

The decline of and the lack of private investment in the Project Area are evidenced by the following:

## Physical Condition of the Project Area

- The Commercial District is characterized by age ( $91 \%$ of the buildings being 35 years or older), deterioration, structures below minimum code specifications, an overall depreciation of physical maintenance, and lack of community planning.
- The Industrial District is characterized by age ( $82 \%$ of the buildings being 35 years or older), deterioration, structures below minimum code specifications, excessive vacancies, an overall depreciation of maintenance, and lack of community planning.
- In a three and half year period between $1 / 1 / 94$ and $6 / 20 / 97$ the City of Chicago's Building Department issued 227 building code violations to 224 different buildings within the Project Area. This is $44 \%$ of the total buildings within the Project Area.
- A majority of the Project Area's infrastructure (i.e. streets, viaducts; bridges, and sidewalks) needs major repair or replacement.


## Lack of New Construction and Renovation by Private Enterprise

- Between $1 / 4 / 93$ to $2 / 20 / 98,121$ building permits were issued for new construction, additions, and alterations, which totaled approximately $\$ 8,100,000$. Over half of these permits were for smaller scale projects requiring less than $\$ 25,000$ worth of work, indicating no major developments or renovations in the area. Only $6 \%(\$ 488,500)$ of this work was for industrial type buildings and $5.4 \%(\$ 437,000)$ of the construction was for commercial/retail/office type buildings. About $52.5 \%$ ( $\$ 4,146,750$ ) of the construction activity was for miscellaneous structures such as pumps, elevators, garages, and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ units. Overall, the investment is very scattered having little to no impact on the area.
- There has been over 25 demolition permits issued within the last five years for the Project Area. This indicates a decline in business activity in the Project Area since these demolitions have not been replaced with new construction.


## Lack of Investment and Growth by Private Enterprise

- Between 1990 and 1995 there was a $25 \%$ decrease in durable goods manufacturingjobs, a $9 \%$ decrease in non-durable goods manufacturing jobs, and a $11 \%$ decrease in wholesale trade jobs within the area covered by Zip code 60608, according to the Illinois Department of Employment Security. This area is bounded by Roosevelt Road on the north, Halsted Street on the east, 35th Street on the south, and California Avenue on the west. The entire Project Area west of Halsted Street is covered by the Zip code 60608 and approximately $75 \%$ of the industrial district is within this zip code.
- The Chicago South industrial submarket has a significantly higher vacancy rate as compared to the Chicago North submarket and the metropolitan area. The vacancy rates are as follows: Chicago South submarket - $8.3 \%$, Chicago North submarket $5.6 \%$, and the metropolitan area - 7.7\%. (Source: Goodman Williams Group/Cushman and Wakefield).
- The industrial district is part of the Chicago South industrial submarket which has significantly lower rents than the Chicago North industrial submarket and the Metropolitan area. The dividing line between the North and South submarkets is Roosevelt Road ( 1200 south). The average weighted rental rate for manufacturing in the south submarket is $\$ 2.53$ per square foot, as compared to $\$ 3.67 / \mathrm{sq}$. ft. and $\$ 3.55 / \mathrm{sq}$. ft . in the north submarket and metropolitan area (Source: Goodman Williams Group/Cushman and Wakefield).
- Between 1991 and 1996, the assessed valuation (the "AV") of the Project Area decreased by .01 percent, while the AV of the City as a whole increased by $7.10 \%$ between 1991 and 1996.


## VII. FINANCIAL IMPACT

Without the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan and TIF, the Project Area is not reasonably expected to be redeveloped by private enterprise. In the absence of City-sponsored redevelopment initiatives, there is a prospect that conservation and blight factors will continue to exist and spread, and the Project Area on the whole and adjacent properties will become less attractive for the maintenance and improvement of existing buildings and sites. In the absence of City-sponsored redevelopment initiatives, erosion of the assessed valuation of property in and outside of the Project Area could lead to a reduction of real estate tax revenue to all taxing districts.

Section $V$ of this Redevelopment Plan describes the comprehensive, area-wide Redevelopment Project proposed to be undertaken by the City to create an environment in which private investment can occur. The Redevelopment Project will be staged over a period of years consistent with local market conditions and available financial resources required to complete the various redevelopment improvements and activities as well as Redevelopment Projects set forth in this Redevelopment Plan. Successful implementation of this Redevelopment Plan is expected to result in new private investment in rehabilitation of buildings and new construction on a scale sufficient to eliminate problem conditions and to return the area to a long-term sound condition.

The Redevelopment Project is expected to have significant short- and long-term positive financial impacts on the taxing districts affected by this Redevelopment Plan. In the short-term, the City's effective use of TIF can be expected to stabilize existing assessed values in the Project Area, thereby stabilizing the existing tax base for local taxing agencies. In the longterm, after the completion of all redevelopment improvements and activities, Redevelopment Projects and the payment of all Redevelopment Project Costs and municipal obligations, the taxing districts will benefit from the enhanced tax base which results from the increase in EAV caused by the Redevelopment Projects.

## VIII. DEMAND ON TAXING DISTRICT SERVICES

The following major taxing districts presently levy taxes against properties located within the Project Area:

Cook County. The County has principal responsibility for the protection of persons and property, the provision of public health services and the maintenance of County highways.

Cook County Forest Preserve District. The Forest Preserve District is responsible for acquisition, restoration and management of lands for the purpose of protecting and preserving open space in the City and County for the education, pleasure and recreation of the public.

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago. This district provides the main trunk lines for the collection of waste water from cities, villages and towns, and for the treatment and disposal thereof.

Chicago Community College District 508. This district is a unit of the State of Illinois' system of public community colleges, whose objective is to meet the educational needs of residents of the City and other students seeking higher education programs and services.

Board of Education of the City of Chicago. General responsibilities of the Board of Education include the provision, maintenance and operations of educational facilities and the provision of educational services for kindergarten through twelfth grade. Benito Juarez High School, Perez Elementary School, De La Cruz, and Whittier Elementary School are located within the boundaries of the Project Area. Not included in the Project Area but within three blocks of the Project Area boundary are the following schools: Ruiz Public School, Finkl Public School, Orozco Community Academy, Cooper Elementary School, Jungman Elementary School, and Walsh Public School. The location of the above mentioned schools are indicated in Figure 5, TIF Boundary and Surrounding Public Facilities.

Chicago Park District. The Park District is responsible for the provision, maintenance and operation of park and recreational facilities throughout the City and for the provision of recreation programs. Dvorak Park is located within the Project Area. The above mentioned park is indicated in Figure 5, TIF Boundary and Surrounding Public Facilities.


Chicago School Finance Authority. The Authority was created in 1980 to exercise oversight and control over the financial affairs of the Board of Education.

City of Chicago. The City is responsible for the provision of a wide range of municipal services, including: police and fire protection; capital improvements and maintenance; water supply and distribution; sanitation service; building, housing and zoning codes, etc.

In addition to the major taxing districts summarized above the City of Chicago Library Fund, the Chicago Urban Transportation District, and the Bridgeport Home Equity Assurance have taxing jurisdiction over part or all of the Project Area. The City of Chicago Library Fund and the Chicago Urban Transportation District (formerly a separate taxing district from the City) no longer extend taxing levies but continue to exist for the purpose of receiving delinquent taxes.

## A. Impact of the Redevelopment Project

The replacement of vacant and underutilized properties with industrial development may cause increased demand for services and/or capital improvements to be provided by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District and the City. The estimated nature of these increased demands for services on these taxing districts are described below.

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago. The replacement of vacant and underutilized properties with industrial development may cause increased demand for the services and/or capital improvements provided by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District.

City of Chicago. The replacement of vacant and underutilized properties with industrial and business development may increase the demand for services and programs provided by the City, including police protection, fire protection, sanitary collection, recycling, etc.

## B. Program to Address Increased Demand for Services or Capital Improvements

The following activities represent the City's program to address increased demand for services or capital improvements provided by the impacted taxing districts.

- It is expected that any increase in demand for treatment of sanitary and storm sewage associated with the Project Area can be adequately handled by existing treatment facilities maintained and operated by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District. Therefore, no special program is proposed for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District.
- It is expected that any increase in demand for City services and programs associated with the Project Area can be adequately handled by existing City, police, fire protection, sanitary collection and recycling services and programs maintained and operated by the City of Chicago. Therefore, no special programs are proposed for the City of Chicago.

It is expected that any increase in demand for Cook County, Cook County Forest Preserve District, Chicago Community College District 508, Board of Education, Chicago Park District, and the Chicago School Finance Authority's services and programs associated with the Project Area can be adequately handled by services and programs maintained and operated by these taxing districts. Therefore, at this time, no special programs are proposed for the these taxing districts. Should demand increase so that it exceeds existing service and program capabilities, the City will work with the affected taxing district to determine what, if any, program is necessary to provide adequate services.

Exhibit II to this Redevelopment Plan illustrates the preliminary allocation of Redevelopment Project Costs.

# IX. CONFORMITY OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT AREA TO LAND USES APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY 

This Redevelopment Plan and the Redevelopment Project described herein include land uses which will be approved by the Chicago Plan Commission prior to the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan.

## X. PHASING AND SCHEDULING

A phased implementation strategy will be utilized to achieve comprehensive and coordinated redevelopment of the Project Area.

It is anticipated that City expenditures for Redevelopment Project Costs will be carefully staged on a reasonable and proportional basis to coincide with Redevelopment Project expenditures by private developers and the receipt of Incremental Property Taxes by the City.

The Redevelopment Project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall be retired, no later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving the Project Area is adopted, by 2022.

## XI. PROVISIONS FOR AMENDING THIS REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

This Redevelopment Plan may be amended pursuant to the Act.

## XII. COMMITMENT TO FAIR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN

The City is committed to and will affirmatively implement the following principles with respect to this Redevelopment Plan:
A) The assurance of equal opportunity in all personnel and employment actions, including, but not limited to: hiring, training, transfer, promotion, discipline, fringe benefits, salary, employment working conditions, termination, etc., without regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, handicapped status, national origin, creed or ancestry.
B) This commitment to affirmative action will ensure that all members of the protected groups are sought out to compete for all job openings and promotional opportunities.
C) Redevelopers will meet City of Chicago standards for participation of Minority Business Enterprises and Woman Business Enterprises and the City Resident Construction Worker Employment Requirements as required in Redevelopment Agreements.
D) Redevelopers will meet City standards for any applicable prevailing wage rate as ascertained by the Illinois Department of Labor to all project employees.

In order to implement these principles, the City shall require and promote equal employment practices and affirmative action on the part of itself and its contractors and vendors. In particular, parties engaged by the City shall be required to agree to the principles set forth in this section.

The City shall have the right in its sole discretion to exempt certain small businesses, residential property owners and developers from the above.

## EXHIBIT I:

## Legal Description of Project Boundary

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF NTERSECTION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF W. BROSS AVENUE AND THE WEST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SADD WEST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 31 AND THE WEST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 30 AND THE WEST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 30 TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF BLUE ISLAND AVENUE;

THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF BLUE ISLAND AVENUE TO THE WEST LINE OF CLAREMONT AVE;

THENCE NORTH ALONG THE WEST LINE OF CLAREMONT AVE. TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 70 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THAT PART OF BLOCK 7 LYING NORTHWEST OF BLUE ISLAND IN LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE SOUTH LINE OF SAD LOT 70 TO THE SOUTHEAST LINE OF SAID LOT 70;

THENCE NORTHEAST ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 70 TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 70;

THENCE NORTH ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOTS 70 THRU 74, BOTH INCLUSIVE, IN SAID SUBDIVISION OF THAT PART OF BLOCK 7 LYING NORTHWEST OF BLUE ISLAND IN LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION, AND THE NORTHWARD EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 10 IN SAID SUBDIVISION OF THAT PART OF BLOCK 7 LYING NORTHWEST OF BLUE ISLAND IN LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF 25TH ST.

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF 25 TH ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF OAKLEY AVE.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF OAKLEY AVE. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF 25TH ST:

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF 25TH ST. TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF OAKLEY AVE., BEING ALSO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 11 IN P. M. THOMPSON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH HALF OF BLOCK 6 IN LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF OAKLEY TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 24TH PL.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 24TH PL. TO THE SOUTHERLY
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EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF OAKLEY AVE., BENG ALSO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 12 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF BLOCK 6 IN LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF OAKLEY AVE. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 24TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 24TH ST. TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 92 N CHILD'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 3 OF LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 92 TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 92, BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W 24th ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH ALLEY LINE TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST 12 FEET OF LOT 65 IN CHILD'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 3 OF LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST 12 FEET OF LOT 65 IN CHILD'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 3 OF LAUGHTON'S SUBDIVISION AND THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 23rd PL.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 23rd PL. TO THE EAST LINE OF OAKLEY AVE.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EASTLINE OF OAKLEY AVE. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 24th ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 24TH ST. TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 50 N REAPER ADDITION TO CHICAGO;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 50 TO THE SOUTH LINE THEREOF, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF W. 24TH ST.

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH ALLEY LINE TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOTS 56 THRU 64, BOTH INCLUSIVE, SAID WEST LINE BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF OAKLEY AVE.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST ALLEY LINE TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 28 IN REAPER ADDITION TO CHICAGO, SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE, BEING ALSO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF COULTER ST.;

THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF COULTER ST. TO THE EAST LINE OF OAKLEY AVE.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF OAKLEY AVE. TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF COULTER ST.;

THENCE NORTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF COULTER ST. TO THE EAST LINE OF LEAVITT ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAD EAST LINE OF LEAVITT ST. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOTS 1 THRU 28, BOTH INCLUSIVE, N BLOCK 5 IN REAPER ADDITION TO CHICAGO, SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE BEINGALSO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF BLUE ISLAND AVE.;

THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY ALLEY LINE TO THE

WEST LINE OF WOLCOTT ST.;
THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. WOLCOTT ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 23rd ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 23rd ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF S. DAMEN AVE.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. DAMEN AVE. TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 23rd ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 23rd ST. AND THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF S. WOLCOTT ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. WOLCOTT ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 23rd ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 23rd ST. TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 131 IN WALKER'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 3 OF S. J. WALKER'S DOCK ADDITION TO CHICAGO;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION AND THE WEST LINE OF LOT 131 IN WALKER'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 3 OF S. J. WALKER'S DOCK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST S. WOLCOTT ST., TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 131;

THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 131, AND LOTS 132 THRU 142, BOTH INCLUSIVE, SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE BEING ALSO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF BLUE ISLAND AVE., TO THE SOUTH LINE OF 23RD ST.

THENCE NORTH ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO SAID SOUTH LINE OF 23RD ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID 23RD ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SADD NORTH LINE OF 23RD ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF WOOD ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF WOOD ST. TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 35 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 2 IN S. J. WALKER'S DOCK ADDITION TO CHICAGO, BEING ALSO THE SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF BLUE ISLAND AVE.;

THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION AND THE NORTHWESTERLYLINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHWESTERLY OF BLUE ISLAND AVE. TO THE WEST LINE OF PAULINA ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF PAULINA ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. CERMAK RD.

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. CERMAK RD. TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 90 IN B. P. HINMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 64 IN THE DIVISION OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 90 TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 90, BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY IN SAID B. P. HINMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 64 IN THE DIVISION OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST; TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST
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THENCE WEST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID ALLEY TO THE SOUTHWARD EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 54 IN SAID B. P. HINMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 64 IN THE DIVISION OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 54 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF ASHLAND AVE. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21 st ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SADD NORTH LINE OF W. 21st ST. TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 16 IN LARNED AND WALKER'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 12 IN JOHNSTON AND LEE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF S. BLUE ISLAND AVE.

THENCE NORTH AND NORTHEAST ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST, AND NORTHWEST, OF S. BLUE ISLAND AVE. AND THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST.

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST. TO THE NORTHWEST LINE OF LOT 1 IN KASPAR'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 11, (LYING SOUTHEAST OF BLUE ISLAND AVE.) IN JOHNSTON AND LEE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTHEAST OF BLUE ISLAND AVE.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG A LINE PERPENDICULAR TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 79 IN SAID KASPER'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 11 (LYING SOUTHEAST OF BLUE ISLAND AVE.) IN JOHNSTON AND LEE'S SUBDIVISION, SAID WEST LINE BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 79 TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 79, SAID SOUTH LINE BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 21ST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 79 AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 68 THRU 78, INCLUSIVE, IN KASPER'S SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 21ST ST., TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 68 IN KASPER'S SUBDIVISION, SAID EAST LINE BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF S. LOOMIS ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF S. LOOMIS ST. TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 58 IN SAID KASPER'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF LOT 58 AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 58 TO THE WEST LINE OF S. LOOMIS ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. LOOMIS ST. TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 79 IN WILLLAM'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 10 N JOHNSTON AND LEE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER IF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 79 AND THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT
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83 IN SAID WILLIAM'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 10 IN JOHNSTON AND LEE'S SUBDIVISION, SAID WEST LINE BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF S. LOOMIS ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST ALLEY LINE TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 72 IN SAID WILLIAM'S SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 2IST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 72 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 59 THRU 71, $\operatorname{INCLUSIVE,~} \operatorname{N}$ SAID WILLIAM'S SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 21ST ST., TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 53 IN SAID WLLLAM'S SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF THROOP ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 53 AND THE WEST LINE OF LOTS 58 THRU 54, INCLUSIVE, IN WILLIAM'S SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF THROOP ST., TO THE NORTH LINE OF W.21ST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF THROOP ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THROOP ST TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 19TH ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 19TH ST. TO THE EAST LINE OF S. ALLPORTST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. ALLPORT ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. AND THE NORTH LINE OF w. 20TH PL. TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 16 IN Mc MULLEN'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 21 OF WALSH AND Mc MULLEN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH THREE FOURTHS OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 16 AND ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 16, BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF S. MAY ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF 21ST. ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF S. MAY ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. MAY ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST. TO THE EAST LINE OF S. CARPENTER ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAD EAST LINE OF S. CARPENTER ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 31 THRU 45, INCLUSIVE, IN R. H. BAKER'S RE-SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 19 OF WALSH'S SUBDIVISION OF PART OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 2IST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 31 THRU 45, INCLUSIVE, IN SAID R. H. BAKER'S RE-SUBDIVISION, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 21 ST ST., TO THE WEST LINE OF S. MORGAN ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG THE WEST LINE S. MORGAN ST. TO THE NORTH LINE W.
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THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF S. SANGAMON ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. SANGAMON ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST. TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 44 IN M. L. DALLAM'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 4 IN THE ASSESSOR'S DIVISION OF THE NORTH QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20 , TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 44 IN M. L. DALLAM'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 44 IN M. L. DALLAM'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 44 TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 42 IN SAID M. L. DALLAM'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE EAST AND NORTHEAST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE AND SOUTHEAST LINE SAID LOT 42 TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 42.

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 42 AND THE EAST LINE OF LOTS $14,15,18,19,22,23,26,27,30,31,34,35,38$, AND 39 IN SAID M. L. DALLAM'S SUBDIVISION, being also the west line of the alley east of s. morgan st. to the NORTHEAST LINE OF SAID LOT 14;

THENCE NORTHWEST AND WEST ALONG THE NORTHEAST AND NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 14, BEING ALSO THE SOUTHLINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTH OF W. 18TH ST. TO THE EAST LINE S. MORGAN ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE S. MORGAN ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 16TH ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 16TH ST. TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 8 IN GEO. ROTH'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCK 17 ASSESSOR'S DIVISION OF THE NORTH QUARTER OF THE SOUTH EAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 8 IN GEO. ROTH'S SUBDIVISION AND THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 8 AND ALONG THE WEST LINE OF LOTS $9,11,13,15,17,19,21,23,25,27,29,31,33,35$, AND 37 TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 37, BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 18TH ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 37 TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 45 IN SAID GEO. ROTH'S SUBDIVISION;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 45 AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 45 TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST. TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF S. PEORIA ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF S. PEORIA ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 21 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF BLOCK 5 OF WALSH AND
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McMULLEN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH THREE FOURTHS OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 21 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SANGAMON ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY EAST OF SANGAMON ST. AND THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH PL.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH PL. TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 14 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH HALF OF BLOCK 5 OF WALSH'S AND Mc MULLEN'S SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH THREE FOURTHS OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 14 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH HALF OF BLOCK 5 OF WALSH'S AND Mc MULLEN'S SUBDIVISION AND THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND THE EAST LINE OF LOT 17 IN SAID SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH HALF OF BLOCK 5 OF WALSH'S AND Mc MULLEN'S SUBDIVISION TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 19TH ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 19TH ST. TO EAST LINE OF S. PEORIA ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. PEORIA TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. AND THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF W. HALSTED ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF W. HALSTED ST. TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE W. 21ST ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. AND THE NORTH LINE OF W. 21ST ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF RUBLE ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF RUBLE ST. TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 43 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOT 2 IN BLOCK 38 IN CANAL TRUSTEES SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 43 AND THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 43 AND THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF THE SOUTH 10 FEET OF LOT 59 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 38 IN CANAL TRUSTEES SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF THE SOUTH 10 FEET OF SAID LOT 59 TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 10 FEET OF SAID LOT 59;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH 10 FEET OF SAID LOT 59 TO THE WEST LINE OF S. DESPLAINES ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. DESPLAINES ST. TO THE WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 19 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK 38 IN CANAL TRUSTEES SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 19 AND THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 19 AND THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 20 IN SAID
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SUBDIVISION OF LOT 1 AND THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF S. JEFFERSON ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. JEFFERSON ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST. TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 23 IN O. M. DORMAN'S SUBDIVISION OF PART OF BLOCK 37 IN CANAL TRUSTEES SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 23 IN O. M. DORMAN'S SUBDIVISION AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 23 TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 23;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTHLINE OF LOT 23 AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINES OF LOTS 18 THRU 22, INCLUSIVE, IN SAID O. M. DORMAN'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LNE OF SAID LOT 18;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 18 AND THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. CULLERTON ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTHLINE OF W. CULLERTON ST. TO THE WEST LINE OF S. CLINTON ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. CLINTON ST. TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF CANALPORT AVE.;

THENCE NORTHEAST ALONG THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF CANALPORT AVE. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 18TH ST. TO THE SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 30 IN WEBSTER'S SUBDIVISION OF OUTLOTS 3 AND 4 IN BLOCK 45 IN CANAL TRUSTEES SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF LOT 30 AND ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 30 AND ALONG THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 30 TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 21 IN SAID WEBSTER'S SUBDIVISION, SAID SOUTH LINE BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF ALLEY NORTH OF 18TH ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF 18TH ST. AND THE EASTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE EAST LINE OF S. JEFFERSON ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. JEFFERSON ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 12 IN HULL AND CLARKE'S SUBDIVISION OF LOT 3 IN BLOCK 44 OF CANAL TRUSTEE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SAID SOUTH LINE BEING ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 18 TH ST.;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 12 TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 12, SAID EAST LINE OF LOT 12 BEING ALSO THE WEST LINE OF ALLEY WEST OF S. CLINTON ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF S. CLINTON ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 23 IN C. J. HULL'S SUBDIVISION OF LOT 2 IN BLOCK 44 OF CANAL TRUSTEE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39
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NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;
THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 23 IN C. J. HULL'S SUBDIVISION TO THE EAST LINE OF S. JEFFERSON ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. JEFFERSON ST. TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 2 IN WEBSTER'S SUBDIVISION OF OUTLOTS 3 AND 4 IN BLOCK 45 IN CANAL TRUSTEE'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 2 IN WEBSTER'S SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOTS 2 AND THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 AND THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF S. DESPLAINES ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. DESPLAINES ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 16TH ST.

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 16TH ST. TO WEST DOCK LINE OF THE CHICAGO RIVER AS DEFINED IN THE ORDINANCE FOR ALTERING THE CHANNEL OF THE SOUTH BRANCH OF THE CHICAGO RIVER PASSED APRIL 13, 1926;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG WEST DOCK LINE OF THE CHICAGO RIVER TO THE NORTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF LOT 2 IN CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST, LYING EAST OF THE SOUTH BRANCH OF THE CHICAGO RIVER, SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 2 BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF S. STEWART AVE.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. STEWART AVE. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF ARCHER AVE.;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF ARCHER AVE. TO THE EAST LINE OF S. GREEN ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE S. GREEN ST. TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 IN HEALY BROTHERS SUBDIVISION OF PARTS OF LOTS 1 AND 2 OF BLOCK 24 IN CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS IN THE SOUTH FRACTIONAL HALF OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 IN HEALY BROTHERS SUBDIVISION BEING A LINE 150 FEET NORTH OF THE NORTH LINE OF W. 27th ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 4 IN HEALY BROTHERS SUBDIVISION AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4 IN HEALY BROTHERS SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 4, SAID WEST LINE BEING ALSO THE EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF S. GREEN ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE ALLEY WEST OF GREEN ST. TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF AND ADJOINING LOTS 17, 18, 19, AND 20 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF SUB-LOT 2 IN HEALY BROTHERS SUBDIVISION;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION AND NORTH ALLEY LINE AND THE WESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE WEST LINE OF S. PEORIA ST.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF S. PEORIA ST. TO THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 IN RICHLAND GARDENS III, SAID NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 BEING ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTH OF W. 27TH ST.;
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THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH ALLEY LINE TO THE NORTHEAST LINE OF S. SENOUR AVE. (FROMERLY KNOWN AS QUARRY ST.);

THENCE SOUTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHEAST LINE OF S. SENOUR AVE. TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 27TH ST.

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF W. 27TH ST. TO THE EAST LINE OF S. HALSTED ST.;

THENCE SOUTH ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF S. HALSTED ST. TO THE EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 29TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 29 TH ST. AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID W. 29TH ST. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. POPLAR AVE.;

THENCE NORTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. POPLAR AVE. AND ALONG THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. POPLAR AVE. TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 67 IN COMMISSIONERS SUBDIVISION OF LOT 9 IN BLOCK 24 IN THE CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS IN THE SOUTH FRACTIONAL HALF OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 67 IN COMMISSIONERS SUBDIVISION AND THE SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOTS 18, 19, 24, 25, 30, 31, 36, 37, 42, 43, 48 AND 49, IN THE SUBDIVISION BY THE EXECUTER OF THE ESTATE OF PETER QUINN, DECEASED, OF LOTS 6 (EXCEPT THE EAST 1 ACRE) AND ALL OF LOT 7 IN BLOCK 24 IN CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION, SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE BEING ALSO THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY NORTHEASTERLY OF S. QUINN ST.;

THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID ALLEY, TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 18, SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE BEING ALSO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTHEASTERLY OF S. ARCHER AVE.;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 18 AND SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION THEREOF TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. QUINN ST.;

THENCE NORTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. QUINN ST. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF S. ARCHER AVE.;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF S. ARCHER AVE. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. THROOP ST.;

THENCE NORTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. THROOP ST. AND THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. THROOP ST. TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. HILLOCK AVE.;

THENCE NORTHEAST ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. HILLOCK AVE. AND THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. HILLOCK AVE. TO THE NORTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 8 IN MAHER'S SUBDIVISION OF LOT 1 AND 4 IN BLOCK 22 IN CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS IN THE SOUTH FRACTIONAL HALF OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE SOUTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE
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SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 8 IN MAHER'S SUBDIVISION AND THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 8 IN MAHER'S SUBDIVISION TO THE SOUTHEAST LINE OF SAID LOT 8, SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 8 BEING ALSO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTHEASTERLY OF HILLOCK AVE.;

THENCE NORTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY ALLEY LINE TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF S. MARY ST.;

THENCE NORTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF MARY ST. TO THE NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF HILLOCK AVE.;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF HILLOCK AVE. TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 1 IN E. B. WARDS SUBDIVISION OF LOT 8 OF BLOCKS 3 AND 4 OF CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS IN SOUTH FRACTIONAL HALF OF SECTION 29 , TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST;

THENCE NORTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOT 1 IN E. B. WARDS SUBDIVISION TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 25TH ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 25 TH ST. TO THE NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF ELEANOR ST.;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF ELEANOR ST. AND THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF ELEANOR ST. TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF FULLER ST.;

THENCE SOUTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF FULLER ST. TO THE NORTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 11 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 2 AND 5 IN BLOCK 18 IN CANAL TRUSTEES' SUBDIVISION OF THE BLOCKSIN THE SOUTH FRACTIONAL SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 14 EAST, SAID SOUTHWESTERL Y LINE OF LOT 11 BEING ALSO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTHWEST OF GRADY CT.;

THENCE SOUTHEAST ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY EXTENSION OF THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF LOT 11 AND THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF THE ALLEY SOUTHWESTERLY OF GRADY CT. TO THE NORTH LINE OF THAT PART OF THE ALLEY VACATED BY ORDINANCE PASSED JLY 21, 1967;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHWEST LINE OF SAID VACATED ALLEY TO THE CENTER LINE OF SAID VACATED ALLEY;

THENCE SOUTHEAST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE OF THE VACATED ALLEY TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY OF THE ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID NORTHWEST LINE OF THE RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY TO THE WEST LINE OF S. ASHLAND AVE.;

THENCE CONTINUNG SOUTHWEST ALONG NORTHWEST LINE OF THE RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY OF THE G.M. \&O. RAILROAD TO THE NORTH LINE OF W. 33RD ST.;
thence south along a line perpendicular to the north line of W. 33RD ST. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF W. 33RD ST.;

THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF W. 33RD ST. TO SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF S. DAMEN AVE.;

THENCE NORTH ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY EXTENSION OF THE WEST LINE OF S.
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DAMEN ST. AND THE WEST LINE OF S. DAMEN AVE. TO THE SOUTH LINE OF 32ND ST.; THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF 32RD ST. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF BROSS AVE.;

THENCE SOUTHWEST ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF BROSS AVE. TO THE PLACE OF BEGINNING.

ALL IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
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## EXHIBIT II:

Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs

## EXHIBIT II: Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs

## ELIGIBLE EXPENSE

## ESTIMATED COST

Analysis, AdministrationStudies, Surveys, Legal,Marketing, Etc. \$ 3,000,000Property Assembly- Acquisition\$ 12,000,000- Site Prep, Demolition andEnvironmental Remediation\$ 17,000,000
Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings
Fixtures and Leasehold Improvements And Rehabilitation Costs ..... \$ 12,000,000
Public Works \& Improvements including streets and utilities, parks and open space, public facilities (schools \& other public facilities) ${ }^{[1]}$ ..... \$ 52,000,000
Relocation ..... \$ 3,000,000
Job Training, Retraining Welfare-to-Work ..... \$ 9,000,000
Daycare Services ..... $\$ 1,000,000$
Developer/InterestSubsidy ..... $\$ 6,000,000$
TOTAL REDEVELOPMENT COSTS ${ }^{[2][3]}$ ..... $\$ 115,000,000^{41}$

[^2]amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in the Project Area which are paid from incremental property taxes generated in contiguous redevelopmentproject areas or those separated from the Project Area only by a public right-of-way.
${ }^{14}$ Increases in estimated Total Redevelopment Project Costs of more than five percent, after adjustment for inflation from the date of the Plan adoption, are subject to the Plan amendment proceduresas provided under the Act

Additional funding from other sources such as federal, state, county or local grant funds may be utilized to supplement the City's ability to finance Redevelopment Project Costs identified above.

## EXHIBIT III:

## 1996 Equalized Assessed Valuation by Tax Parcel

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Ro or Exem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16-36-200-007-0000 | - | EX |
| 2 | 17-19-425-037-0000 | 67,096 |  |
| 3 | 17-19-425-038-0000 | 74,219 |  |
| 4 | 17-19-425-039-0000 | 69,816 |  |
| 5 | 17-19-425-040-0000 | 8,377 |  |
| 6 | 17-19-425-041-0000 | 8,377 |  |
| 7 | 17-19-425-042-0000 | 60,833 |  |
| 8 | 17-19-425-043-0000 | 7,475 |  |
| 9 | 17-19-425-044-0000 | - | EX |
| 10 | 17-19-429-014-0000 | 80,121 |  |
| 11 | 17-19-429-033-0000 | 11,632 |  |
| 12 | 17-19-429-038-0000 | 235,867 |  |
| 13 | 17-19-429-039-0000 | 179,673 |  |
| 14 | 17-20-318-023-0000 | 50,515 |  |
| 15 | 17-20-318-024-0000 | 72,295 |  |
| 16 | 17-20-318-025-0000 | 13,676 |  |
| 17 | 17-20-318-026-0000 | 16,701 |  |
| 18 | 17-20-318-027-0000 | 18,636 |  |
| 19 | 17-20-318-030-0000 | 2,419 |  |
| 20 | 17-20-318-031-0000 | 79,731 |  |
| 21 | 17-20-318-032-0000 | 3,337 |  |
| 22 | 17-20-318-035-0000 | 56,097 |  |
| 23 | 17-20-319-001-0000 | 12,889 |  |
| 24 | 17-20-322-001-0000 | 19,572 |  |
| 25 | 17-20-322-002-0000 | 51,350 |  |
| 26 | 17-20-322-003-0000 | 98,359 |  |
| 27 | 17-20-322-004-0000 | 12,835 |  |
| 28 | 17-20-322-006-0000 | 6,178 |  |
| 29 | 17-20-322-007-0000 | 22,238 |  |
| 30 | 17-20-322-020-0000 | 105,429 |  |
| 31 | 17-20-322-021-0000 | 27,333 |  |
| 32 | 17-20-322-022-0000 | 27,333 |  |
| 33 | 17-20-322-023-0000 | 27,333 |  |
| 34 | 17-20-322-024-0000 | 147,019 |  |
| 35 | 17-20-322-034-0000 | 41,528 |  |
| 36 | 17-20-322-035-0000 | 39,109 |  |
| 37 | 17-20-322-036-0000 | 22,718 |  |
| 38 | 17-20-324-006-0000 | 14,548 |  |
| 39 | 17-20-324-007-0000 | 14,576 |  |
| 40 | 17-20-324-022-0000 | 195,480 |  |
| 41 | 17-20-324-023-0000 | 290,570 |  |
| 42 | 17-20-324-024-0000 | 143,523 |  |
| 43 | 17-20-324-025-0000 | 40,069 |  |
| 44 | 17-20-325-001-0000 | - | EX |
| 45 | 17-20-325-002-0000 | - | EX |
| 46 | 17-20-325-003-0000 | - | EX |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 17-20-325-004-0000 | 16,650 |  |
| 48 | 17-20-325-005-0000 | 16,624 |  |
| 49 | 17-20-325-006-0000 | 620 |  |
| 50 | 17-20-325-007-0000 | 15,322 |  |
| 51 | 17-20-325-008-0000 | 852 |  |
| 52 | 17-20-325-009-0000 | 14,541 |  |
| 53 | 17-20-325-010-0000 | 34,563 |  |
| 54 | 17-20-325-011-0000 | - | EX |
| 55 | 17-20-325-012-0000 | 852 |  |
| 56 | 17-20-325-013-0000 | 3,705 |  |
| 57 | 17-20-325-014-0000 | 14,954 |  |
| 58 | 17-20-325-015-0000 | 15,019 |  |
| 59 | 17-20-325-016-0000 | 11,888 |  |
| 60 | 17-20-325-017-0000 | 16,291 |  |
| 61 | 17-20-325-018-0000 | 17,300 |  |
| 62 | 17-20-325-019-0000 | 20,469 |  |
| 63 | 17-20-325-020-0000 | - | EX |
| 64 | 17-20-327-002-0000 | 9,732 |  |
| 65 | 17-20-327-003-0000 | 13,508 |  |
| 66 | 17-20-327-004-0000 | 17,347 |  |
| 67 | 17-20-327-005-0000 | 17,149 |  |
| 68 | 17-20-327-006-0000 | 22,920 |  |
| 69 | 17-20-327-007-0000 | 22,453 |  |
| 70 | 17-20-327-014-0000 | 24,583 |  |
| 71 | 17-20-327-015-0000 | 26,855 |  |
| 72 | 17-20-327-016-0000 | 5,091 |  |
| 73 | 17-20-327-017-0000 | 60,454 |  |
| 74 | 17-20-327-018-0000 | - | EX |
| 75 | 17-20-327-019-0000 | - | EX |
| 76 | 17-20-328-004-0000 | - | EX |
| 77 | 17-20-328-005-0000 | - | EX |
| 78 | 17-20-329-017-0000 | - | EX |
| 79 | 17-20-329-030-0000 | - | EX |
| 80 | 17-20-329-031-0000 | - | EX |
| 81 | 17-20-329-032-0000 | - | EX |
| 82 | 17-20-329-033-0000 | - | EX |
| 83 | 17-20-329-034-0000 | - | EX |
| 84 | 17-20-330-001-0000 | 39,705 |  |
| 85 | 17-20-330-002-0000 | 28,925 |  |
| 86 | 17-20-330-003-0000 | 216,467 |  |
| 87 | 17-20-330-004-0000 | 326,241 |  |
| 88 | 17-20-331-004-0000 | 131,316 |  |
| 89 | 17-20-331-005-0000 | 53,188 |  |
| 90 | 17-20-331-006-0000 | 6,152 |  |
| 91 | 17-20-331-007-0000 | 522,904 |  |
| 92 | 17-20-332-001-0000 | 73,442 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | P1N | Est. 1996 EAV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rail Road |  |  |
| or Exempt |  |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139 | 17-20-405-008-0000 | 1,648 |  |
| 140 | 17-20-405-009-0000 | 3,785 |  |
| 141 | 17-20-405-010-0000 | 4,168 |  |
| 142 | 17-20-405-011-0000 | 4,090 |  |
| 143 | 17-20-405-012-0000 | 942 |  |
| 144 | 17-20-405-013-0000 | 4,129 |  |
| 145 | 17-20-405-014-0000 | 3,090 |  |
| 146 | 17-20-405-015-0000 | 12,108 |  |
| 147 | 17-20-405-018-0000 | 23,277 |  |
| 148 | 17-20-405-035-0000 | 12,861 |  |
| 149 | 17-20-405-039-0000 | 2,610 |  |
| 150 | 17-20-405-040-0000 | 36,458 |  |
| 151 | 17-20-405-041-0000 | 15,462 |  |
| 152 | 17-20-414-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 153 | 17-20-414-002-0000 | 92,428 |  |
| 154 | 17-20-415-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 155 | 17-20-415-002-0000 | 626 |  |
| 156 | 17-20-415-016-0000 | 13,280 |  |
| 157 | 17-20-425-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 158 | 17-20-425-002-0000 | 229,214 |  |
| 159 | 17-20-425-003-0000 | 41,349 |  |
| 160 | 17-20-425-005-0000 | 152,530 |  |
| 161 | 17-20-425-006-0000 | 11,296 |  |
| 162 | 17-20-425-007-0000 | 21,280 |  |
| 163 | 17-20-425-008-0000 | 15,174 |  |
| 164 | 17-20-425-009-0000 | 1,799 |  |
| 165 | 17-20-425-010-0000 | 11,509 |  |
| 166 | 17-20-425-011-0000 | 1,784 |  |
| 167 | 17-20-425-012-0000 | 15,200 |  |
| 168 | 17-20-425-013-0000 | - | EX |
| 169 | 17-20-425-014-0000 | 12,970 |  |
| 170 | 17-20-425-015-0000 | 13,013 |  |
| 171 | 17-20-426-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 172 | 17-20-426-002-0000 | 247,155 |  |
| 173 | 17-20-426-003-0000 | 11,873 |  |
| 174 | 17-20-426-004-0000 | 1,207 |  |
| 175 | 17-20-426-005-0000 | 7,471 |  |
| 176 | 17-20-426-006-0000 | 7,471 |  |
| 177 | 17-20-426-007-0000 | 994 |  |
| 178 | 17-20-426-008-0000 | 2,485 |  |
| 179 | 17-20-426-009-0000 | 19,357 |  |
| 180 | 17-20-426-010-0000 | 205,401 |  |
| 181 | 17-20-426-011-0000 | 91,389 |  |
| 182 | 17-20-426-012-0000 | 60,002 |  |
| 183 | 17-20-426-013-0000 | 8,213 |  |
| 184 | 17-20-426-014-0000 | 3,701 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 185 | 17-20-429-001-0000 | 65,801 |  |
| 186 | 17-20-430-001-0000 | - | EX |
| 187 | 17-20-432-015-0000 | 11,834 |  |
| 188 | 17-20-432-016-0000 | 28,792 |  |
| 189 | 17-20-432-017-0000 | 47,916 |  |
| 190 | 17-20-432-018-0000 | 21,435 |  |
| 191 | 17-20-432-019-0000 | 35,636 |  |
| 192 | 17-20-433-001-0000 | 10,586 |  |
| 193 | 17-20-433-002-0000 | 77,205 |  |
| 194 | 17-20-433-003-0000 | 11,025 |  |
| 195 | 17-20-433-004-0000 | 120 |  |
| 196 | 17-20-433-005-0000 | 22,401 |  |
| 197 | 17-20-433-006-0000 | 49,463 |  |
| 198 | 17-20-434-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 199 | 17-20-434-002-0000 | 1,597 |  |
| 200 | 17-20-434-003-0000 | 1,597 |  |
| 201 | 17-20-434-004-0000 | 15,071 |  |
| 202 | 17-20-434-005-0000 | 11,959 |  |
| 203 | 17-20-434-006-0000 | 1,259 |  |
| 204 | 17-20-434-007-0000 | 1,259 |  |
| 205 | 17-20-434-008-0000 | 18,774 |  |
| 206 | 17-20-434-009-0000 | 1,259 |  |
| 207 | 17-20-434-010-0000 | 10,137 |  |
| 208 | 17-20-434-011-0000 | 3,882 |  |
| 209 | 17-20-434-012-0000 | 3,417 |  |
| 210 | 17-20-434-013-0000 | 3,417 |  |
| 211 | 17-20-434-014-0000 | 1,536 |  |
| 212 | 17-20-434-019-0000 | 6,414 |  |
| 213 | 17-20-434-020-0000 | 1,151 |  |
| 214 | 17-20-434-021-0000 | 2,371 |  |
| 215 | 17-20-434-022-0000 | 2,371 |  |
| 216 | 17-20-434-023-0000 | 1,117 |  |
| 217 | 17-20-434-024-0000 | 8,413 |  |
| 218 | 17-20-434-025-0000 | 3,832 |  |
| 219 | 17-20-434-026-0000 | 17,295 |  |
| 220 | 17-20-434-027-0000 | 90,643 |  |
| 221 | 17-20-435-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 222 | 17-20-435-002-0000 | 114,800 |  |
| 223 | 17-20-435-003-0000 | 32,762 |  |
| 224 | 17-20-435-004-0000 | 32,762 |  |
| 225 | 17-20-435-005-0000 | 3,716 |  |
| 226 | 17-20-435-006-0000 | 3,716 |  |
| 227 | 17-20-435-007-0000 | 9,097 |  |
| 228 | 17-20-435-008-0000 | 210,839 |  |
| 229 | 17-20-435-009-0000 | 104,112 |  |
| 230 | 17-20-435-010-0000 | 1,478 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231 | 17-20-435-011-0000 | 4,581 |  |
| 232 | 17-20-435-012-0000 | 11,432 |  |
| 233 | 17-20-435-013-0000 | 27,154 |  |
| 234 | 17-20-438-001-0000 | 8,123 |  |
| 235 | 17-20-438-002-0000 | 98,716 |  |
| 236 | 17-20-438-003-0000 | 8,086 |  |
| 237 | 17-20-438-004-0000 | 3,286 |  |
| 238 | 17-20-438-007-0000 | 10,806 |  |
| 239 | 17-20-438-008-0000 | 3,793 |  |
| 240 | 17-20-438-009-0000 | 3,793 |  |
| 241 | 17-20-438-010-0000 | 3,793 |  |
| 242 | 17-20-438-011-0000 | 19,083 |  |
| 243 | 17-20-438-012-0000 | 20,153 |  |
| 244 | 17-20-438-013-0000 | 153,935 |  |
| 245 | 17-20-438-014-0000 | 152,956 |  |
| 246 | 17-20-438-015-0000 | 77,046 |  |
| 247 | 17-20-438-016-0000 | 5,566 |  |
| 248 | 17-20-438-019-0000 | 3,111 |  |
| 249 | 17-20-438-020-0000 | 28,228 |  |
| 250 | 17-20-438-021-0000 | 15,012 |  |
| 251 | 17-20-438-022-0000 | 1,209 |  |
| 252 | 17-20-438-023-0000 | 11,266 |  |
| 253 | 17-20-438-024-0000 | 17,108 |  |
| 254 | 17-20-438-025-0000 | 6,208 |  |
| 255 | 17-20-438-026-0000 | 12,364 |  |
| 256 | 17-20-438-027-0000 | 1,209 |  |
| 257 | 17-20-438-028-0000 | 1,209 |  |
| 258 | 17-20-438-029-0000 | 1,209 |  |
| 259 | 17-20-438-030-0000 | 14,350 |  |
| 260 | 17-20-438-031-0000 | 1,209 |  |
| 261 | 17-20-438-032-0000 | 7,884 |  |
| 262 | 17-20-438-033-0000 | 5,011 |  |
| 263 | 17-20-438-034-0000 | 78,847 |  |
| 264 | 17-20-439-001-0000 | 83,652 |  |
| 265 | 17-20-439-002-0000 | 935,183 |  |
| 266 | 17-20-439-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 267 | 17-20-440-002-0000 | 13,328 |  |
| 268 | 17-20-440-006-0000 | 51,929 |  |
| 269 | 17-20-440-007-0000 | 502,913 |  |
| 270 | 17-20-440-008-0000 | 262,245 |  |
| 271 | 17-20-441-001-0000 | 36,816 |  |
| 272 | 17-20-441-002-0000 | 281,739 |  |
| 273 | 17-20-441-003-0000 | 321,247 |  |
| 274 | 17-20-442-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 275 | 17-20-443-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 276 | 17-20-443-002-0000 | 42,358 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 277 | 17-20-444-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 278 | 17-20-444-002-0000 | 301,952 |  |
| 279 | 17-20-444-003-0000 | 83,400 |  |
| 280 | 17-20-445-001-0000 | 38,135 |  |
| 281 | 17-20-445-002-0000 | 6,724 |  |
| 282 | 17-20-445-003-0000 | 1,349 |  |
| 283 | 17-20-445-004-0000 | 6,774 |  |
| 284 | 17-20-445-005-0000 | 1,349 |  |
| 285 | 17-20-445-006-0000 | 377 |  |
| 286 | 17-20-445-007-0000 | 17,300 |  |
| 287 | 17-20-445-008-0000 | 337,995 |  |
| 288 | 17-20-445-009-0000 | 3,978 |  |
| 289 | 17-20-445-010-0000 | 3,809 |  |
| 290 | 17-20-445-011-0000 | 3,809 |  |
| 291 | 17-20-445-012-0000 | 4,398 |  |
| 292 | 17-20-445-013-0000 | 1,956 |  |
| 293 | 17-20-445-014-0000 | 1,956 |  |
| 294 | 17-20-445-015-0000 | 15,359 |  |
| 295 | 17-20-445-016-0000 | 30,662 |  |
| 296 | 17-20-445-017-0000 | 8,409 |  |
| 297 | 17-20-445-018-0000 | 9,420 |  |
| 298 | 17-20-445-019-0000 | - | EX |
| 299 | 17-20-445-020-0000 | 30,931 |  |
| 300 | 17-20-445-021-0000 | 2,700 |  |
| 301 | 17-20-445-022-0000 | 5,136 |  |
| 302 | 17-20-445-023-0000 | 24,252 |  |
| 303 | 17-20-445-024-0000 | 61,853 |  |
| 304 | 17-20-445-025-0000 | 2,700 |  |
| 305 | 17-20-445-026-0000 | 2,700 |  |
| 306 | 17-20-445-027-0000 | 26,662 |  |
| 307 | 17-20-445-028-0000 | 2,700 |  |
| 308 | 17-20-445-029-0000 | 14,059 |  |
| 309 | 17-20-445-030-0000 | 13,975 |  |
| 310 | 17-20-445-031-0000 | 13,975 |  |
| 311 | 17-20-445-032-0000 | 29,076 |  |
| 312 | 17-21-305-001-0000 | 686 |  |
| 313 | 17-21-305-002-0000 | 725 |  |
| 314 | 17-21-305-003-0000 | 2,268 |  |
| 315 | 17-21-305-004-0000 | 85,382 |  |
| 316 | 17-21-305-005-0000 | 1,192 |  |
| 317 | 17-21-305-006-0000 | 10,619 |  |
| 318 | 17-21-305-007-0000 | 10,126 |  |
| 319 | 17-21-305-008-0000 | - | EX |
| 320 | 17-21-305-022-0000 | 35,398 |  |
| 321 | 17-21-305-023-0000 | 44,248 |  |
| 322 | 17-21-305-027-0000 | 4,312 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 323 | 17-21-305-028-0000 | - | EX |
| 324 | 17-21-305-040-0000 | 82,927 |  |
| 325 | 17-21-305-041-0000 | 118 |  |
| 326 | 17-21-305-042-0000 | 52,329 |  |
| 327 | 17-21-305-043-0000 | 4,409 |  |
| 328 | 17-21-305-044-0000 | 2,208 |  |
| 329 | 17-21-306-001-0000 | 3,025 |  |
| 330 | 17-21-306-002-0000 | 2,178 |  |
| 331 | 17-21-306-003-0000 | 4,080 |  |
| 332 | 17-21-306-004-0000 | 3,910 |  |
| 333 | 17-21-306-005-0000 | 3,910 |  |
| 334 | 17-21-306-006-0000 | 6,154 |  |
| 335 | 17-21-306-007-0000 | 32,783 |  |
| 336 | 17-21-306-008-0000 | 32,783 |  |
| 337 | 17-21-306-009-0000 | 33,610 |  |
| 338 | 17-21-306-010-0000 | 28,618 |  |
| 339 | 17-21-306-011-0000 | 4,454 |  |
| 340 | 17-21-306-012-0000 | 4,454 |  |
| 341 | 17-21-306-013-0000 | 4,454 |  |
| 342 | 17-21-306-014-0000 | 4,454 |  |
| 343 | 17-21-306-015-0000 | 11,154 |  |
| 344 | 17-21-306-016-0000 | 2,358 |  |
| 345 | 17-21-306-017-0000 | 2,268 |  |
| 346 | 17-21-306-018-0000 | 2,268 |  |
| 347 | 17-21-306-036-0000 | 111,514 |  |
| 348 | 17-21-306-037-0000 | 7,053 |  |
| 349 | 17-21-306-038-0000 | 4,757 |  |
| 350 | 17-21-306-039-0000 | 10,345 |  |
| 351 | 17-21-306-040-0000 | 9,756 |  |
| 352 | 17-21-306-041-0000 | 10,737 |  |
| 353 | 17-21-306-042-0000 | 2,178 |  |
| 354 | 17-21-306-043-0000 | 9,087 |  |
| 355 | 17-21-306-044-0000 | 2,178 |  |
| 356 | 17-21-306-045-0000 | 2,178 |  |
| 357 | 17-21-306-046-0000 | 9,226 |  |
| 358 | 17-21-306-047-0000 | 7,643 |  |
| 359 | 17-21-306-048-0000 | 8,133 |  |
| 360 | 17-21-306-049-0000 | 2,085 |  |
| 361 | 17-21-306-050-0000 | 5,261 |  |
| 362 | 17-21-306-051-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 363 | 17-21-306-052-0000 | 27,058 |  |
| 364 | 17-21-306-053-0000 | 13,394 |  |
| 365 | 17-21-306-054-0000 | 18,182 |  |
| 366 | 17-21-306-055-0000 | 17,588 |  |
| 367 | 17-21-306-056-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 368 | 17-21-306-057-0000 | 14,901 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crail Road |  |  |
| or Exempt |  |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Rst. 1996 EAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rail Road |  |  |
| or Exempt |  |  |

Exhibit III
1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 461 | 17-21-323-008-0000 | 34,769 |  |
| 462 | 17-21-323-009-0000 | 17,618 |  |
| 463 | 17-21-323-010-0000 | 12,678 |  |
| 464 | 17-21-323-011-0000 | 10,042 |  |
| 465 | 17-21-323-012-0000 | 30,143 |  |
| 466 | 17-21-323-013-0000 | 25,162 |  |
| 467 | 17-21-323-018-0000 | 61,859 |  |
| 468 | 17-21-323-029-0000 | 96,919 |  |
| 469 | 17-21-323-030-0000 | 48,458 |  |
| 470 | 17-21-323-038-0000 | 54,472 |  |
| 471 | 17-21-323-039-0000 | 347,140 |  |
| 472 | 17-21-325-001-0000 | 2,268 |  |
| 473 | 17-21-325-002-0000 | 2,268 |  |
| 474 | 17-21-325-003-0000 | 4,161 |  |
| 475 | 17-21-325-004-0000 | 2,268 |  |
| 476 | 17-21-325-005-0000 | 5,672 |  |
| 477 | 17-21-325-006-0000 | 11,656 |  |
| 478 | 17-21-325-007-0000 | 4,258 |  |
| 479 | 17-21-325-008-0000 | 4,258 |  |
| 480 | 17-21-325-009-0000 | 2,178 |  |
| 481 | 17-21-325-015-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 482 | 17-21-325-016-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 483 | 17-21-325-017-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 484 | 17-21-325-018-0000 | 2,840 |  |
| 485 | 17-21-325-019-0000 | 12,734 |  |
| 486 | 17-21-325-020-0000 | 10,190 |  |
| 487 | 17-21-325-021-0000 | 10,190 |  |
| 488 | 17-21-325-022-0000 | 10,190 |  |
| 489 | 17-21-325-023-0000 | 7,268 |  |
| 490 | 17-21-325-024-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 491 | 17-21-325-025-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 492 | 17-21-325-026-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 493 | 17-21-325-027-0000 | 3,550 |  |
| 494 | 17-21-325-028-0000 | 2,840 |  |
| 495 | 17-21-325-029-0000 | 2,763 |  |
| 496 | 17-21-325-030-0000 | 4,161 |  |
| 497 | 17-21-325-031-0000 | 2,573 |  |
| 498 | 17-21-325-032-0000 | 3,372 |  |
| 499 | 17-21-325-033-0000 | 2,365 |  |
| 500 | 17-21-325-034-0000 | 1,457 |  |
| 501 | 17-21-325-035-0000 | 1,704 |  |
| 502 | 17-21-325-036-0000 | 1,704 |  |
| 503 | 17-21-325-037-0000 | 1,704 |  |
| 504 | 17-21-325-038-0000 | 3,817 |  |
| 505 | 17-21-325-039-0000 | 12,450 |  |
| 506 | 17-21-325-040-0000 | 3,372 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 507 | 17-21-325-041-0000 | 6,924 |  |
| 508 | 17-21-325-042-0000 | 7,809 |  |
| 509 | 17-21-325-043-0000 | 7,398 |  |
| 510 | 17-21-325-044-0000 | 7,398 |  |
| 511 | 17-21-325-053-0000 | 7,398 |  |
| 512 | 17-21-325-054-0000 | 7,415 |  |
| 513 | 17-21-325-055-0000 | 115,697 |  |
| 514 | 17-21-325-056-0000 | 81,173 |  |
| 515 | 17-21-326-003-0000 | 316,293 |  |
| 516 | 17-21-326-005-0000 | 381,737 |  |
| 517 | 17-21-326-006-0000 | 35,738 |  |
| 518 | 17-21-326-007-0000 | 3,834 |  |
| 519 | 17-21-327-006-0000 | - | RR |
| 520 | 17-21-327-012-0000 | 550,874 |  |
| 521 | 17-21-327-014-0000 | 67,871 |  |
| 522 | 17-21-327-015-0000 | 431,655 |  |
| 523 | 17-21-327-016-0000 | 369,150 |  |
| 524 | 17-21-328-001-0000 | 13,689 |  |
| 525 | 17-21-328-002-0000 | 5,648 |  |
| 526 | 17-21-328-003-0000 | 14,223 |  |
| 527 | 17-21-328-004-0000 | 2,261 |  |
| 528 | 17-21-328-005-0000 | 5,982 |  |
| 529 | 17-21-328-006-0000 | 2,272 |  |
| 530 | 17-21-328-007-0000 | 2,272 |  |
| 531 | 17-21-328-008-0000 | 79,213 |  |
| 532 | 17-21-328-009-0000 | - | EX |
| 533 | 17-21-328-010-0000 | - | EX |
| 534 | 17-21-328-011-0000 | $-$ | EX |
| 535 | 17-21-328-012-0000 | 5,990 |  |
| 536 | 17-21-328-013-0000 | - | EX |
| 537 | 17-21-328-014-0000 | - | EX |
| 538 | 17-21-328-015-0000 | - | EX |
| 539 | 17-21-328-016-0000 | - | EX |
| 540 | 17-21-328-017-0000 | - | EX |
| 541 | 17-21-328-018-0000 | - | EX |
| 542 | 17-21-328-019-0000 | - | EX |
| 543 | 17-21-328-020-0000 | - | EX |
| 544 | 17-21-328-021-0000 | - | EX |
| 545 | 17-21-328-022-0000 | - | EX |
| 546 | 17-21-328-023-0000 | - | EX |
| 547 | 17-21-328-024-0000 | - | EX |
| 548 | 17-21-328-025-0000 | - | EX |
| 549 | 17-21-328-026-0000 | - | EX |
| 550 | 17-21-328-027-0000 | - | EX |
| 551 | 17-21-328-028-0000 | - | EX |
| 552 | 17-21-328-029-0000 | - | EX |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 553 | 17-21-328-030-0000 | - | EX |
| 554 | 17-21-328-031-0000 | - | EX |
| 555 | 17-21-328-032-0000 | - | EX |
| 556 | 17-21-329-001-0000 | 29,685 |  |
| 557 | 17-21-329-002-0000 | 2,272 |  |
| 558 | 17-21-329-003-0000 | 11,497 |  |
| 559 | 17-21-329-004-0000 | 4,996 |  |
| 560 | 17-21-329-005-0000 | 10,909 |  |
| 561 | 17-21-329-008-0000 | 29,035 |  |
| 562 | 17-21-329-009-0000 | - | EX |
| 563 | 17-21-329-010-0000 | - | EX |
| 564 | 17-21-329-011-0000 | - | EX |
| 565 | 17-21-329-012-0000 | - | EX |
| 566 | 17-21-329-013-0000 | - | EX |
| 567 | 17-21-329-014-0000 | - | EX |
| 568 | 17-21-329-015-0000 | - | EX |
| 569 | 17-21-329-016-0000 | - | EX |
| 570 | 17-21-329-017-0000 | - | EX |
| 571 | 17-21-329-018-0000 | - | EX |
| 572 | 17-21-329-019-0000 | - | EX |
| 573 | 17-21-329-020-0000 | - | EX |
| 574 | 17-21-329-021-0000 | - | EX |
| 575 | 17-21-329-022-0000 | 2,522 |  |
| 576 | 17-21-329-023-0000 | - | EX |
| 577 | 17-21-329-024-0000 | - | EX |
| 578 | 17-21-329-025-0000 | - | EX |
| 579 | 17-21-329-026-0000 | - | EX |
| 580 | 17-21-329-027-0000 | - | EX |
| 581 | 17-21-329-028-0000 | 2,044 |  |
| 582 | 17-21-329-029-0000 | - | EX |
| 583 | 17-21-329-030-0000 | - | EX |
| 584 | 17-21-329-031-0000 | - | EX |
| 585 | 17-21-329-032-0000 | - | EX |
| 586 | 17-21-329-033-0000 | - | EX |
| 587 | 17-21-329-034-0000 | - | EX |
| 588 | 17-21-329-035-0000 | 38,806 |  |
| 589 | 17-21-330-005-0000 | - | EX |
| 590 | 17-21-330-006-0000 | 169,651 |  |
| 591 | 17-21-330-007-0000 | 153,218 |  |
| 592 | 17-21-330-008-0000 | 387,302 |  |
| 593 | 17-21-331-001-0000 | 1,209,141 |  |
| 594 | 17-21-332-001-0000 | 467,534 |  |
| 595 | 17-21-332-002-0000 | 80,648 |  |
| 596 | 17-21-332-003-0000 | 100,301 |  |
| 597 | 17-21-332-010-0000 | 192,323 |  |
| 598 | 17-21-332-011-0000 | 10,791 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pisen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 599 | 17-21-332-012-0000 | 508,746 |  |
| 600 | 17-21-332-013-0000 | - | EX |
| 601 | 17-21-332-014-0000 | 111,525 |  |
| 602 | 17-21-332-015-0000 | - | EX |
| 603 | 17-21-333-003-0000 | 104,433 |  |
| 604 | 17-21-333-004-0000 | 9,037 |  |
| 605 | 17-21-333-005-0000 | 210,453 |  |
| 606 | 17-21-333-006-0000 | 55,443 |  |
| 607 | 17-21-334-001-0000 | 240,560 |  |
| 608 | 17-21-334-003-0000 | 22,582 |  |
| 609 | 17-21-334-004-0000 | 138,079 |  |
| 610 | 17-21-335-001-0000 | 1,850 |  |
| 611 | 17-21-335-002-0000 | 519 |  |
| 612 | 17-21-335-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 613 | 17-21-336-004-0000 | 72,056 |  |
| 614 | 17-21-336-005-0000 | 2,311 |  |
| 615 | 17-21-336-006-0000 | 2,066 |  |
| 616 | 17-21-336-010-0000 | - | RR |
| 617 | 17-21-336-011-0000 | 510 |  |
| 618 | 17-21-336-012-0000 | 1,777 |  |
| 619 | 17-21-336-013-0000 | - | RR |
| 620 | 17-21-336-014-0000 | - | RR |
| 621 | 17-21-336-017-0000 | 203,525 |  |
| 622 | 17-21-336-018-0000 | - | RR |
| 623 | 17-21-336-020-0000 | - | RR |
| 624 | 17-21-336-021-0000 | 3,880 |  |
| 625 | 17-21-336-022-0000 | 11,679 |  |
| 626 | 17-21-336-023-0000 | - | EX |
| 627 | 17-21-336-024-0000 | 1,080 |  |
| 628 | 17-21-336-025-0000 | 118 |  |
| 629 | 17-21-336-026-0000 | 1,657 |  |
| 630 | 17-21-500-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 631 | 17-21-500-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 632 | 17-21-500-003-000 | - | RR |
| 633 | 17-21-500-004-0000 | - | RR |
| 634 | 17-28-100-001-0000 | 273,046 |  |
| 635 | 17-28-100-002-0000 | 193,020 |  |
| 636 | 17-28-100-004-0000 | - | EX |
| 637 | 17-28-100-007-0000 | - | EX |
| 638 | 17-28-100-008-0000 | 201,117 |  |
| 639 | 17-28-100-015-0000 | 353,079 |  |
| 640 | 17-28-100-016-0000 | 210,159 |  |
| 641 | 17-28-100-017-0000 | - | EX |
| 642 | 17-28-100-021-0000 | - |  |
| 643 | 17-28-100-024-0000 | 69,616 |  |
| 644 | 17-28-100-025-0000 | 81,317 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 645 | 17-28-100-026-0000 | 415,313 |  |
| 646 | 17-28-100-027-0000 | 214,309 |  |
| 647 | 17-28-100-029-8001 | - |  |
| 648 | 17-28-100-029-8002 | 19,307 |  |
| 649 | 17-28-100-030-8002 | 114,632 |  |
| 650 | 17-28-100-031-0000 | 103,443 |  |
| 651 | 17-28-100-032-0000 | 45,199 |  |
| 652 | 17-28-100-033-0000 | 354,426 |  |
| 653 | 17-28-101-003-0000 | 213,016 |  |
| 654 | 17-28-101-004-0000 | 127,077 |  |
| 655 | 17-28-102-001-0000 | 374,944 |  |
| 656 | 17-28-102-002-0000 | 106,896 |  |
| 657 | 17-28-102-003-0000 | 52,493 |  |
| 658 | 17-28-102-004-0000 | 4,260 |  |
| 659 | 17-28-102-005-0000 | 18,683 |  |
| 660 | 17-28-102-006-0000 | 18,683 |  |
| 661 | 17-28-102-007-0000 | 19,027 |  |
| 662 | 17-28-102-008-0000 | 195,359 |  |
| 663 | 17-28-102-009-0000 | 45,825 |  |
| 664 | 17-28-102-010-0000 | 44,398 |  |
| 665 | 17-28-102-011-0000 | 8,172 |  |
| 666 | 17-28-102-012-0000 | 8,172 |  |
| 667 | 17-28-102-013-0000 | 32,712 |  |
| 668 | 17-28-102-014-0000 | 35,198 |  |
| 669 | 17-28-102-015-0000 | 58,012 |  |
| 670 | 17-28-102-016-0000 | 33,913 |  |
| 671 | 17-28-102-017-0000 | 4,088 |  |
| 672 | 17-28-102-018-0000 | 3,294 |  |
| 673 | 17-28-103-001-0000 | - | EX |
| 674 | 17-28-103-002-0000 | 610,896 |  |
| 675 | 17-28-103-006-0000 | 274,701 |  |
| 676 | 17-28-103-007-0000 | 131,751 |  |
| 677 | 17-28-103-008-0000 | 11,821 |  |
| 678 | 17-28-103-011-0000 | 285,251 |  |
| 679 | 17-28-103-012-0000 | 64,594 |  |
| 680 | 17-28-104-001-0000 | 20,581 |  |
| 681 | 17-28-104-002-0000 | 16,136 |  |
| 682 | 17-28-104-003-0000 | $\underline{-}$ | RR |
| 683 | 17-28-104-006-0000 | 172,958 |  |
| 684 | 17-28-104-007-0000 | 67,624 |  |
| 685 | 17-28-104-008-0000 | 2,414 |  |
| 686 | 17-28-104-009-0000 | 2,952 |  |
| 687 | 17-28-104-010-0000 | 1,885 |  |
| 688 | 17-28-104-011-0000 | 3,931 |  |
| 689 | 17-28-104-012-0000 | 1,498 |  |
| 690 | 17-28-104-013-0000 | - | RR |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 691 | 17-28-104-014-0000 | - | RR |
| 692 | 17-28-104-016-0000 | - | EX |
| 693 | 17-28-104-017-0000 | 1,601 |  |
| 694 | 17-28-104-018-0000 | 1,584 |  |
| 695 | 17-28-104-019-0000 | 1,549 |  |
| 696 | 17-28-104-020-0000 | 1,532 |  |
| 697 | 17-28-104-021-0000 | 4,043 |  |
| 698 | 17-28-104-022-0000 | - | EX |
| 699 | 17-28-104-025-0000 | 10,825 |  |
| 700 | 17-28-104-029-0000 | - | RR |
| 701 | 17-28-104-030-0000 | - | RR |
| 702 | 17-28-104-031-0000 | 2,027 |  |
| 703 | 17-28-104-032-0000 | 448 |  |
| 704 | 17-28-104-033-0000 | 2,061 |  |
| 705 | 17-28-104-034-0000 | 80 |  |
| 706 | 17-28-104-035-0000 | 57,123 |  |
| 707 | 17-28-105-006-0000 | - | RR |
| 708 | 17-28-105-020-0000 | 1,704 |  |
| 709 | 17-28-105-021-0000 | 1,704 |  |
| 710 | 17-28-105-022-0000 | - | RR |
| 711 | 17-28-105-023-0000 | 1,919 |  |
| 712 | 17-28-105-024-0000 | 6,604 |  |
| 713 | 17-28-105-025-0000 | 7,292 |  |
| 714 | 17-28-105-026-0000 | 12,060 |  |
| 715 | 17-28-105-027-0000 | - | EX |
| 716 | 17-28-109-009-0000 | - | EX |
| 717 | 17-28-111-001-0000 | 432,352 |  |
| 718 | 17-28-111-008-0000 | - | RR |
| 719 | 17-28-111-009-0000 | - | RR |
| 720 | 17-28-111-016-0000 | - | RR |
| 721 | 17-28-111-018-0000 | - | RR |
| 722 | 17-28-111-019-0000 | - | EX |
| 723 | 17-28-111-020-0000 | $\cdot$ | RR |
| 724 | 17-28-111-022-0000 | - | RR |
| 725 | 17-28-111-023-0000 | 54,954 |  |
| 726 | 17-28-111-024-0000 | - | RR |
| 727 | 17-28-111-025-0000 | 120,239 |  |
| 728 | 17-28-111-026-0000 | 516 |  |
| 729 | 17-28-111-027-0000 | - | RR |
| 730 | 17-28-111-028-0000 | 3,568 |  |
| 731 | 17-28-111-029-0000 | - | RR |
| 732 | 17-28-111-030-0000 | 319,196 |  |
| 733 | 17-28-111-031-0000 | 355,900 |  |
| 734 | 17-28-111-032-0000 | 57,935 |  |
| 735 | 17-28-111-033-0000 | 241,429 |  |
| 736 | 17-28-111-035-6001 | - | RR |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 737 | 17-28-111-035-6002 | - | RR |
| 738 | 17-28-111-036-6001 | - | RR |
| 739 | 17-28-111-036-6002 | - | RR |
| 740 | 17-28-111-037-6001 | - | RR |
| 741 | 17-28-111-037-6002 | - | RR |
| 742 | 17-28-111-038-6001 | - | RR |
| 743 | 17-28-111-038-6002 | - | RR |
| 744 | 17-28-111-039-6001 | - | RR |
| 745 | 17-28-111-039-6002 | - | RR |
| 746 | 17-28-111-040-8002 | 6,046 |  |
| 747 | 17-28-112-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 748 | 17-28-113-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 749 | 17-28-114-001-0000 | 491 |  |
| 750 | 17-28-114-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 751 | 17-28-500-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 752 | 17-29-100-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 753 | 17-29-100-016-0000 | 35,684 |  |
| 754 | 17-29-100-019-0000 | 1,088,760 |  |
| 755 | 17-29-100-026-0000 | 129,558 |  |
| 756 | 17-29-100-030-0000 | 728,464 |  |
| 757 | 17-29-100-031-0000 | 72,304 |  |
| 758 | 17-29-100-032-0000 | 144,611 |  |
| 759 | 17-29-100-035-0000 | 531,735 |  |
| 760 | 17-29-100-037-0000 | 30,759 |  |
| 761 | 17-29-100-038-0000 | 2,959 |  |
| 762 | 17-29-100-039-0000 | 224,420 |  |
| 763 | 17-29-100-040-0000 | 7,781 |  |
| 764 | 17-29-100-041-0000 | 130,595 |  |
| 765 | 17-29-100-042-0000 | 207,542 |  |
| 766 | 17-29-100-043-0000 | 464,976 |  |
| 767 | 17-29-100-044-0000 | 492,982 |  |
| 768 | 17-29-100-049-0000 | - | EX |
| 769 | 17-29-100-050-0000 | 66,879 |  |
| 770 | 17-29-100-051-0000 | - | RR |
| 771 | 17-29-100-052-8001 | - | EX |
| 772 | 17-29-100-052-8002 | 1,409 |  |
| 773 | 17-29-100-053-0000 | 215,398 |  |
| 774 | 17-29-100-054-0000 | 260,057 |  |
| 775 | 17-29-101-012-0000 | 187,202 |  |
| 776 | 17-29-101-013-0000 | 236,216 |  |
| 777 | 17-29-101-014-0000 | 94,858 |  |
| 778 | 17-29-101-015-0000 | 69,033 |  |
| 779 | 17-29-101-016-0000 | 100,953 |  |
| 780 | 17-29-101-017-0000 | 94,810 |  |
| 781 | 17-29-101-018-0000 | 94,808 |  |
| 782 | 17-29-101-019-0000 | 27,712 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 783 | 17-29-101-020-0000 | 27,712 |  |
| 784 | 17-29-101-021-0000 | 4,271 |  |
| 785 | 17-29-101-022-0000 | 23,017 |  |
| 786 | 17-29-101-033-0000 | - |  |
| 787 | 17-29-101-034-0000 | 596,606 |  |
| 788 | 17-29-101-035-0000 | 77,752 |  |
| 789 | 17-29-101-036-0000 | 15,262 |  |
| 790 | 17-29-101-037-0000 | 31,527 |  |
| 791 | 17-29-101-038-0000 | 29,868 |  |
| 792 | 17-29-101-039-0000 | 126,361 |  |
| 793 | 17-29-101-040-0000 | 93,528 |  |
| 794 | 17-29-101-041-0000 | 93,528 |  |
| 795 | 17-29-101-042-0000 | 13,807 |  |
| 796 | 17-29-101-043-0000 | 14,769 |  |
| 797 | 17-29-101-044-0000 | 96,519 |  |
| 798 | 17-29-101-045-0000 | 98,047 |  |
| 799 | 17-29-101-052-0000 | 228,777 |  |
| 800 | 17-29-101-054-0000 | 202,871 |  |
| 801 | 17-29-101-055-0000 | - | RR |
| 802 | 17-29-101-056-0000 | 363,194 |  |
| 803 | 17-29-102-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 804 | 17-29-102-031-0000 | - | EX |
| 805 | 17-29-102-037-0000 | 527,468 |  |
| 806 | 17-29-102-038-0000 | 6,457 |  |
| 807 | 17-29-102-039-0000 | 20,955 |  |
| 808 | 17-29-102-040-0000 | 274,656 |  |
| 809 | 17-29-102-041-0000 | 131,493 |  |
| 810 | 17-29-102-042-0000 | 1,143 |  |
| 811 | 17-29-102-043-0000 | 21,661 |  |
| 812 | 17-29-102-044-0000 | 747,197 |  |
| 813 | 17-29-103-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 814 | 17-29-103-007-0000 | 340,186 |  |
| 815 | 17-29-103-008-0000 | 35,148 |  |
| 816 | 17-29-103-011-0000 | 20,968 |  |
| 817 | 17-29-103-012-0000 | 1,015,006 |  |
| 818 | 17-29-103-014-0000 | 4,097 |  |
| 819 | 17-29-103-016-0000 | 28,482 |  |
| 820 | 17-29-103-017-0000 | 80,226 |  |
| 821 | 17-29-103-018-0000 | 285,445 |  |
| 822 | 17-29-103-019-0000 | 56,889 |  |
| 823 | 17-29-103-020-0000 | 110,800 |  |
| 824 | 17-29-104-001-0000 | - | EX |
| 825 | 17-29-200-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 826 | 17-29-200-002-0000 | 18,731,592 |  |
| 827 | 17-29-200-005-0000 | - | RR |
| 828 | 17-29-200-006-0000 | - | RR |

Exhibit III
1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 829 | 17-29-200-007-0000 | 279,514 |  |
| 830 | 17-29-200-009-6001 | - | RR |
| 831 | 17-29-201-003-0000 | 11,036 |  |
| 832 | 17-29-201-004-0000 | - | RR |
| 833 | 17-29-201-005-0000 | 50,513 |  |
| 834 | 17-29-201-006-0000 | - | RR |
| 835 | 17-29-201-007-0000 | 51,348 |  |
| 836 | 17-29-201-008-0000 | 60,205 |  |
| 837 | 17-29-201-009-0000 | 1,588 |  |
| 838 | 17-29-201-010-0000 | 1,588 |  |
| 839 | 17-29-201-011-0000 | 1,588 |  |
| 840 | 17-29-201-012-0000 | 1,588 |  |
| 841 | 17-29-201-013-0000 | 27,038 |  |
| 842 | 17-29-201-017-0000 | - | RR |
| 843 | 17-29-201-018-0000 | 23,684 |  |
| 844 | 17-29-201-019-0000 | 15,344 |  |
| 845 | 17-29-201-020-0000 | 2,629 |  |
| 846 | 17-29-201-021-0000 | 16,435 |  |
| 847 | 17-29-201-022-0000 | 35,908 |  |
| 848 | 17-29-201-023-0000 | 42,771 |  |
| 849 | 17-29-201-024-0000 | 148,274 |  |
| 850 | 17-29-201-025-0000 | - | RR |
| 851 | 17-29-201-026-6001 | - | RR |
| 852 | 17-29-202-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 853 | 17-29-202-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 854 | 17-29-202-004-0000 | 1,444 |  |
| 855 | 17-29-202-005-0000 | 1,588 |  |
| 856 | 17-29-202-006-0000 | 1,588 |  |
| 857 | 17-29-202-010-0000 | 1,732 |  |
| 858 | 17-29-202-011-0000 | 3,092 |  |
| 859 | 17-29-202-012-0000 | 3,092 |  |
| 860 | 17-29-202-013-0000 | 4,179 |  |
| 861 | 17-29-202-029-0000 | 2,419 |  |
| 862 | 17-29-202-030-0000 | 5,259 |  |
| 863 | 17-29-202-031-0000 | 2,629 |  |
| 864 | 17-29-202-032-0000 | 2,524 |  |
| 865 | 17-29-202-033-0000 | 5,196 |  |
| 866 | 17-29-202-034-0000 | 13,267 |  |
| 867 | 17-29-202-037-6001 | - | RR |
| 868 | 17-29-202-038-6001 | - | RR |
| 869 | 17-29-202-039-6001 | - | RR |
| 870 | 17-29-203-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 871 | 17-29-203-004-0000 | 45,007 |  |
| 872 | 17-29-203-005-0000 | 14,705 |  |
| 873 | 17-29-203-006-0000 | 5,155 |  |
| 874 | 17-29-203-007-0000 | 7,727 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 875 | 17-29-203-008-0000 | - | $\overline{\mathrm{RR}}$ |
| 876 | 17-29-203-009-0000 | - | RR |
| 877 | 17-29-203-010-0000 | 49,063 |  |
| 878 | 17-29-203-011-0000 | 88,667 |  |
| 879 | 17-29-203-012-0000 | - | EX |
| 880 | 17-29-203-017-6001 | - | RR |
| 881 | 17-29-203-018-6001 | - | RR |
| 882 | 17-29-203-019-6001 | - | RR |
| 883 | 17-29-203-020-6001 | - | RR |
| 884 | 17-29-300-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 885 | 17-29-300-006-8001 | - | EX |
| 886 | 17-29-300-006-8002 | 56,491 |  |
| 887 | 17-29-300-007-8001 | - | EX |
| 888 | 17-29-300-007-8002 | 28,075 |  |
| 889 | 17-29-301-002-0000 | - | EX |
| 890 | 17-29-301-003-0000 | 35,415 |  |
| 891 | 17-29-301-004-0000 | - | EX |
| 892 | 17-29-301-005-0000 | 17,526 |  |
| 893 | 17-29-301-006-0000 | - | EX |
| 894 | 17-29-301-008-0000 | 59,307 |  |
| 895 | 17-29-301-009-0000 | 239,013 |  |
| 896 | 17-29-301-011-0000 | 93,199 |  |
| 897 | 17-29-301-012-0000 | 577,247 |  |
| 898 | 17-29-302-001-0000 | 169,743 |  |
| 899 | 17-29-302-002-0000 | 698,848 |  |
| 900 | 17-29-302-003-0000 | 132,631 |  |
| 901 | 17-29-302-004-0000 | 102,946 |  |
| 902 | 17-29-302-005-0000 | 117,009 |  |
| 903 | 17-29-303-001-0000 | 225,821 |  |
| 904 | 17-29-304-001-0000 | 4,301 |  |
| 905 | 17-29-308-003-0000 | 575,674 |  |
| 906 | 17-29-309-035-0000 | 81,737 |  |
| 907 | 17-29-309-036-0000 | 826,076 |  |
| 908 | 17-29-310-001-0000 | 407,571 |  |
| 909 | 17-29-310-002-0000 | 619,384 |  |
| 910 | 17-29-310-048-0000 | 314 |  |
| 911 | 17-29-310-049-0000 | - | RR |
| 912 | 17-29-310-050-0000 | $-$ | RR |
| 913 | 17-29-400-001-0000 | 70,724 |  |
| 914 | 17-29-400-002-0000 | 284,836 |  |
| 915 | 17-29-400-007-0000 | 155,781 |  |
| 916 | 17-29-400-008-0000 | 112,710 |  |
| 917 | 17-29-400-010-0000 | 80,097 |  |
| 918 | 17-29-400-011-0000 | 805,519 |  |
| 919 | 17-29-402-001-0000 | 603,016 |  |
| 920 | 17-29-402-007-0000 | 1,007 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 921 | 17-29-402-008-0000 | 966 |  |
| 922 | 17-29-402-009-0000 | 17,005 |  |
| 923 | 17-29-402-010-0000 | 14,500 |  |
| 924 | 17-29-402-011-0000 | 4,153 |  |
| 925 | 17-29-402-012-0000 | 6,378 |  |
| 926 | 17-29-402-013-0000 | 704 |  |
| 927 | 17-29-402-014-0000 | 704 |  |
| 928 | 17-29-402-015-0000 | 2,016 |  |
| 929 | 17-29-402-016-0000 | - | RR |
| 930 | 17-29-402-021-0000 | - | EX |
| 931 | 17-29-402-022-0000 | - | EX |
| 932 | 17-29-402-023-0000 | - | EX |
| 933 | 17-29-402-024-0000 | - | EX |
| 934 | 17-29-402-030-0000 | - | EX |
| 935 | 17-29-402-031-0000 | - | EX |
| 936 | 17-29-402-032-0000 | - | EX |
| 937 | 17-29-402-033-0000 | - | RR |
| 938 | 17-29-402-034-0000 | - | RR |
| 939 | 17-29-402-035-0000 | - | EX |
| 940 | 17-29-403-005-0000 | 1,136 |  |
| 941 | 17-29-403-006-0000 | 1,136 |  |
| 942 | 17-29-403-007-0000 | 966 |  |
| 943 | 17-29-403-008-0000 | 966 |  |
| 944 | 17-29-403-009-0000 | 966 |  |
| 945 | 17-29-403-010-0000 | 966 |  |
| 946 | 17-29-403-011-0000 | 966 |  |
| 947 | 17-29-403-012-0000 | 966 |  |
| 948 | 17-29-403-013-0000 | 2,016 |  |
| 949 | 17-29-403-014-0000 | - | EX |
| 950 | 17-29-403-015-0000 | - | EX |
| 951 | 17-29-403-016-0000 | - | EX |
| 952 | 17-29-403-017-0000 | - | EX |
| 953 | 17-29-403-018-0000 | - | EX |
| 954 | 17-29-403-019-0000 | - | EX |
| 955 | 17-29-403-020-0000 | - | EX |
| 956 | 17-29-403-029-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 957 | 17-29-403-030-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 958 | 17-29-403-031-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 959 | 17-29-403-032-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 960 | 17-29-403-033-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 961 | 17-29-403-034-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 962 | 17-29-403-035-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 963 | 17-29-403-036-0000 | 1,069 |  |
| 964 | 17-29-403-041-0000 | - | EX |
| 965 | 17-29-403-042-0000 | - | EX |
| 966 | 17-29-403-043-0000 | $\cdot$ | EX |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 967 | 17-29-403-044-0000 | - | EX |
| 968 | 17-29-403-048-0000 | - | EX |
| 969 | 17-29-403-049-0000 | 3,346 |  |
| 970 | 17-29-403-050-0000 | - | EX |
| 971 | 17-29-403-051-0000 | - | EX |
| 972 | 17-29-403-052-0000 | - | RR |
| 973 | 17-29-403-053-0000 | - | RR |
| 974 | 17-29-404-001-0000 | 1,242 |  |
| 975 | 17-29-404-002-0000 | 1,242 |  |
| 976 | 17-29-404-003-0000 | 1,242 |  |
| 977 | 17-29-404-004-0000 | 1,491 |  |
| 978 | 17-29-404-016-0000 | - | EX |
| 979 | 17-29-404-017-0000 | - | EX |
| 980 | 17-29-404-018-0000 | - | EX |
| 981 | 17-29-404-021-0000 | - | EX |
| 982 | 17-29-404-022-0000 | 1,799 |  |
| 983 | 17-29-404-023-0000 | 10,309 |  |
| 984 | 17-29-404-024-0000 | 7,933 |  |
| 985 | 17-29-404-025-0000 | 413 |  |
| 986 | 17-29-404-026-0000 | - | EX |
| 987 | 17-29-404-028-0000 | 7,654 |  |
| 988 | 17-29-404-029-0000 | 3,598 |  |
| 989 | 17-29-404-030-0000 | 5,211 |  |
| 990 | 17-29-404-031-0000 | - | EX |
| 991 | 17-29-404-032-0000 | - | RR |
| 992 | 17-29-405-001-0000 | 312,126 |  |
| 993 | 17-29-405-008-0000 | 694,592 |  |
| 994 | 17-29-405-010-0000 | 50,460 |  |
| 995 | 17-29-405-011-0000 | - | RR |
| 996 | 17-29-405-012-0000 | 7,987 |  |
| 997 | 17-29-405-013-0000 | 25,937 |  |
| 998 | 17-29-405-014-0000 | - | RR |
| 999 | 17-29-405-015-8001 | $\bullet$ | EX |
| 1000 | 17-29-405-015-8002 | 8,781 |  |
| 1001 | 17-29-405-017-0000 | 70,244 |  |
| 1002 | 17-29-405-018-0000 | - | EX |
| 1003 | 17-29-405-019-0000 | 420 |  |
| 1004 | 17-29-405-020-0000 | - | EX |
| 1005 | 17-29-405-021-0000 | 5,231 |  |
| 1006 | 17-29-406-001-0000 | 286,996 |  |
| 1007 | 17-29-406-002-0000 | - | EX |
| 1008 | 17-29-407-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 1009 | 17-29-407-003-0000 | - | RR |
| 1010 | 17-29-407-005-0000 | - | RR |
| 1011 | 17-29-407-006-0000 | - | EX |
| 1012 | 17-29-407-007-0000 | - | EX |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Rail Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cst. 1996 EAV | or Exempt |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1059 | 17-29-500-031-0000 | - | RR |
| 1060 | 17-29-500-032-0000 | - | RR |
| 1061 | 17-29-500-033-0000 | - | RR |
| 1062 | 17-30-112-027-0000 | 35,572 |  |
| 1063 | 17-30-112-028-0000 | 25,577 |  |
| 1064 | 17-30-112-029-0000 | 21,633 |  |
| 1065 | 17-30-112-030-0000 | 24,179 |  |
| 1066 | 17-30-112-031-0000 | 21,562 |  |
| 1067 | 17-30-112-032-0000 | 23,557 |  |
| 1068 | 17-30-112-033-0000 | 23,873 |  |
| 1069 | 17-30-112-034-0000 | 41,917 |  |
| 1070 | 17-30-112-037-0000 | - | EX |
| 1071 | 17-30-112-039-0000 | - | EX |
| 1072 | 17-30-112-040-0000 | - | EX |
| 1073 | 17-30-115-040-0000 | 81,638 |  |
| 1074 | 17-30-115-041-0000 | 6,533 |  |
| 1075 | 17-30-115-042-0000 | 28,338 |  |
| 1076 | 17-30-115-043-0000 | 32,643 |  |
| 1077 | 17-30-115-044-0000 | 16,706 |  |
| 1078 | 17-30-115-045-0000 | 54,309 |  |
| 1079 | 17-30-115-046-0000 | 25,485 |  |
| 1080 | 17-30-115-047-0000 | 20,803 |  |
| 1081 | 17-30-115-048-0000 | 22,348 |  |
| 1082 | 17-30-115-049-0000 | 22,573 |  |
| 1083 | 17-30-116-001-0000 | 99,439 |  |
| 1084 | 17-30-116-007-0000 | 44,116 |  |
| 1085 | 17-30-116-008-0000 | 12,846 |  |
| 1086 | 17-30-116-009-0000 | 20,882 |  |
| 1087 | 17-30-116-010-0000 | 35,221 |  |
| 1088 | 17-30-116-011-0000 | 13,960 |  |
| 1089 | 17-30-116-012-0000 | 20,050 |  |
| 1090 | 17-30-116-013-0000 | 25,541 |  |
| 1091 | 17-30-116-014-0000 | 17,612 |  |
| 1092 | 17-30-116-015-0000 | 33,672 |  |
| 1093 | 17-30-116-016-0000 | 16,717 |  |
| 1094 | 17-30-116-017-0000 | 22,625 |  |
| 1095 | 17-30-116-018-0000 | 42,145 |  |
| 1096 | 17-30-119-034-0000 | 22,173 |  |
| 1097 | 17-30-119-035-0000 | 16,867 |  |
| 1098 | 17-30-119-036-0000 | 17,876 |  |
| 1099 | 17-30-119-037-0000 | 16,831 |  |
| 1100 | 17-30-119-038-0000 | 12,499 |  |
| 1101 | 17-30-119-039-0000 | 52,708 |  |
| 1102 | 17-30-119-040-0000 | 20,319 |  |
| 1103 | 17-30-119-041-0000 | 14,690 |  |
| 1104 | 17-30-119-042-0000 | 2,158 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1105 | 17-30-120-025-0000 | 19,527 |  |
| 1106 | 17-30-120-026-0000 | 10,972 |  |
| 1107 | 17-30-120-027-0000 | 30,838 |  |
| 1108 | 17-30-120-028-0000 | 17,993 |  |
| 1109 | 17-30-120-031-0000 | 25,952 |  |
| 1110 | 17-30-120-032-0000 | 7,671 |  |
| 1111 | 17-30-120-033-0000 | 7,671 |  |
| 1112 | 17-30-120-034-0000 | 1,289 |  |
| 1113 | 17-30-120-035-0000 | 8,084 |  |
| 1114 | 17-30-120-036-0000 | 51,357 |  |
| 1115 | 17-30-121-020-0000 | 499 |  |
| 1116 | 17-30-121-021-0000 | 52,889 |  |
| 1117 | 17-30-121-027-0000 | 24,544 |  |
| 1118 | 17-30-121-028-0000 | 3,871 |  |
| 1119 | 17-30-121-029-0000 | 7,137 |  |
| 1120 | 17-30-121-030-0000 | 28,443 |  |
| 1121 | 17-30-121-035-0000 | - | EX |
| 1122 | 17-30-121-036-0000 | 101,769 |  |
| 1123 | 17-30-122-001-0000 | 12,452 |  |
| 1124 | 17-30-122-002-0000 | 805 |  |
| 1125 | 17-30-122-003-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1126 | 17-30-122-004-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1127 | 17-30-122-005-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1128 | 17-30-122-006-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1129 | 17-30-122-007-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1130 | 17-30-122-008-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1131 | 17-30-122-009-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1132 | 17-30-122-010-0000 | 3,871 |  |
| 1133 | 17-30-122-011-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1134 | 17-30-122-012-0000 | 1,934 |  |
| 1135 | 17-30-122-013-0000 | 321 |  |
| 1136 | 17-30-122-014-0000 | 71,015 |  |
| 1137 | 17-30-122-015-0000 | 62,068 |  |
| 1138 | 17-30-122-016-0000 | 62,068 |  |
| 1139 | 17-30-122-017-0000 | 5,547 |  |
| 1140 | 17-30-122-018-0000 | 2,356 |  |
| 1141 | 17-30-122-019-0000 | 35,329 |  |
| 1142 | 17-30-122-020-0000 | 3,828 |  |
| 1143 | 17-30-122-021-0000 | 56,702 |  |
| 1144 | 17-30-122-022-0000 | 119,749 |  |
| 1145 | 17-30-122-023-0000 | - | EX |
| 1146 | 17-30-122-024-0000 | - | EX |
| 1147 | 17-30-122-025-0000 | - | EX |
| 1148 | 17-30-122-026-0000 | - | EX |
| 1149 | 17-30-122-027-0000 | $\cdots$ | EX |
| 1150 | 17-30-123-026-0000 | 20,794 |  |

Exhibit III
1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1151 | 17-30-123-027-0000 | 41,601 |  |
| 1152 | 17-30-123-028-0000 | 23,501 |  |
| 1153 | 17-30-123-029-0000 | 13,117 |  |
| 1154 | 17-30-123-030-0000 | 2,576 |  |
| 1155 | 17-30-123-031-0000 | 24,009 |  |
| 1156 | 17-30-123-032-0000 | 2,410 |  |
| 1157 | 17-30-123-034-0000 | 19,914 |  |
| 1158 | 17-30-123-035-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1159 | 17-30-123-036-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1160 | 17-30-123-039-0000 | 18,507 |  |
| 1161 | 17-30-123-040-0000 | 19,548 |  |
| 1162 | 17-30-123-041-0000 | 17,928 |  |
| 1163 | 17-30-123-042-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1164 | 17-30-123-043-0000 | 16,587 |  |
| 1165 | 17-30-123-044-0000 | 20,538 |  |
| 1166 | 17-30-123-045-0000 | 15,626 |  |
| 1167 | 17-30-123-046-0000 | 17,795 |  |
| 1168 | 17-30-123-047-0000 | 19,987 |  |
| 1169 | 17-30-123-048-0000 | 19,774 |  |
| 1170 | 17-30-123-049-0000 | 17,052 |  |
| 1171 | 17-30-123-050-0000 | 17,455 |  |
| 1172 | 17-30-123-051-0000 | 10,064 |  |
| 1173 | 17-30-123-052-0000 | 65,657 |  |
| 1174 | 17-30-123-053-0000 | 12,669 |  |
| 1175 | 17-30-123-054-0000 | 11,753 |  |
| 1176 | 17-30-124-026-0000 | 24,516 |  |
| 1177 | 17-30-124-027-0000 | 33,093 |  |
| 1178 | 17-30-124-028-0000 | 26,589 |  |
| 1179 | 17-30-124-029-0000 | 38,033 |  |
| 1180 | 17-30-124-030-0000 | 38,033 |  |
| 1181 | 17-30-124-031-0000 | 38,033 |  |
| 1182 | 17-30-124-032-0000 | 38,033 |  |
| 1183 | 17-30-124-033-0000 | 38,033 |  |
| 1184 | 17-30-124-034-0000 | 38,033 |  |
| 1185 | 17-30-124-035-0000 | 26,085 |  |
| 1186 | 17-30-124-036-0000 | 146,542 |  |
| 1187 | 17-30-124-037-0000 | 28,564 |  |
| 1188 | 17-30-124-038-0000 | 15,071 |  |
| 1189 | 17-30-124-039-0000 | 15,363 |  |
| 1190 | 17-30-124-040-0000 | 21,614 |  |
| 1191 | 17-30-124-041-0000 | 60,329 |  |
| 1192 | 17-30-125-001-0000 | 419,297 |  |
| 1193 | 17-30-126-001-0000 | 129,808 |  |
| 1194 | 17-30-126-003-0000 | 198,882 |  |
| 1195 | 17-30-126-004-0000 | 16,450 |  |
| 1196 | 17-30-202-021-0000 | 48,310 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1197 | 17-30-202-022-0000 | 19,019 |  |
| 1198 | 17-30-202-023-0000 | 18,569 |  |
| 1199 | 17-30-202-024-0000 | 22,365 |  |
| 1200 | 17-30-202-025-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1201 | 17-30-202-026-0000 | 25,730 |  |
| 1202 | 17-30-202-027-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1203 | 17-30-202-028-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1204 | 17-30-202-029-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1205 | 17-30-202-030-0000 | 13,870 |  |
| 1206 | 17-30-202-031-0000 | 20,155 |  |
| 1207 | 17-30-202-032-0000 | 18,533 |  |
| 1208 | 17-30-202-033-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1209 | 17-30-202-034-0000 | 19,725 |  |
| 1210 | 17-30-202-037-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1211 | 17-30-202-038-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1212 | 17-30-202-039-0000 | 21,947 |  |
| 1213 | 17-30-202-040-0000 | 20,624 |  |
| 1214 | 17-30-202-041-0000 | 23,365 |  |
| 1215 | 17-30-202-042-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1216 | 17-30-202-043-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1217 | 17-30-202-044-0000 | - | EX |
| 1218 | 17-30-202-045-0000 | 19,938 |  |
| 1219 | 17-30-202-046-0000 | 33,461 |  |
| 1220 | 17-30-202-047-0000 | 5,805 |  |
| 1221 | 17-30-203-001-0000 | 3,871 |  |
| 1222 | 17-30-203-002-0000 | 15,185 |  |
| 1223 | 17-30-203-003-0000 | 16,011 |  |
| 1224 | 17-30-203-004-0000 | 33,429 |  |
| 1225 | 17-30-203-005-0000 | 10,616 |  |
| 1226 | 17-30-203-006-0000 | 65,842 |  |
| 1227 | 17-30-203-007-0000 | 23,873 |  |
| 1228 | 17-30-203-008-0000 | 22,535 |  |
| 1229 | 17-30-203-009-0000 | 30,128 |  |
| 1230 | 17-30-203-010-0000 | 3,845 |  |
| 1231 | 17-30-203-011-0000 | 21,924 |  |
| 1232 | 17-30-203-012-0000 | 20,650 |  |
| 1233 | 17-30-203-013-0000 | 4,510 |  |
| 1234 | 17-30-203-014-0000 | 7,791 |  |
| 1235 | 17-30-203-015-0000 | 37,459 |  |
| 1236 | 17-30-203-016-0000 | 13,760 |  |
| 1237 | 17-30-203-017-0000 | 48,983 |  |
| 1238 | 17-30-203-018-0000 | 56,794 |  |
| 1239 | 17-30-203-019-0000 | 47,101 |  |
| 1240 | 17-30-203-020-0000 | 36,149 |  |
| 1241 | 17-30-203-021-0000 | 35,675 |  |
| 1242 | 17-30-203-022-0000 | 35,479 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1243 | 17-30-204-021-0000 | - | EX |
| 1244 | 17-30-204-022-0000 | - | EX |
| 1245 | 17-30-206-045-0000 | 42,619 |  |
| 1246 | 17-30-206-046-0000 | 4,839 |  |
| 1247 | 17-30-206-047-0000 | 17,084 |  |
| 1248 | 17-30-206-048-0000 | 36,019 |  |
| 1249 | 17-30-206-049-0000 | 25,037 |  |
| 1250 | 17-30-206-054-0000 | 53,685 |  |
| 1251 | 17-30-206-055-0000 | 48,717 |  |
| 1252 | 17-30-206-056-0000 | 39,684 |  |
| 1253 | 17-30-206-057-0000 | 30,875 |  |
| 1254 | 17-30-206-058-0000 | 30,875 |  |
| 1255 | 17-30-206-059-0000 | 78,886 |  |
| 1256 | 17-30-206-060-0000 | 41,097 |  |
| 1257 | 17-30-206-061-0000 | 53,465 |  |
| 1258 | 17-30-206-062-0000 | 53,465 |  |
| 1259 | 17-30-206-063-0000 | 53,465 |  |
| 1260 | 17-30-206-064-0000 | 53,465 |  |
| 1261 | 17-30-206-065-0000 | 30,285 |  |
| 1262 | 17-30-206-066-0000 | 116,170 |  |
| 1263 | 17-30-206-069-0000 | 168,560 |  |
| 1264 | 17-30-207-001-0000 | 11,806 |  |
| 1265 | 17-30-207-002-0000 | 725 |  |
| 1266 | 17-30-207-003-0000 | 11,456 |  |
| 1267 | 17-30-207-004-0000 | 11,303 |  |
| 1268 | 17-30-207-005-0000 | 18,072 |  |
| 1269 | 17-30-207-006-0000 | 27,200 |  |
| 1270 | 17-30-207-007-0000 | 719 |  |
| 1271 | 17-30-207-008-0000 | 9,209 |  |
| 1272 | 17-30-207-021-0000 | 3,731 |  |
| 1273 | 17-30-207-022-0000 | 18,397 |  |
| 1274 | 17-30-207-023-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1275 | 17-30-207-024-0000 | 6,337 |  |
| 1276 | 17-30-207-025-0000 | 28,749 |  |
| 1277 | 17-30-207-026-0000 | 2,903 |  |
| 1278 | 17-30-207-027-0000 | 52,035 |  |
| 1279 | 17-30-207-028-0000 | 95,789 |  |
| 1280 | 17-30-207-032-0000 | 71,019 |  |
| 1281 | 17-30-207-033-0000 | 2,111 |  |
| 1282 | 17-30-207-034-0000 | 21,704 |  |
| 1283 | 17-30-207-035-0000 | 21,711 |  |
| 1284 | 17-30-207.036-0000 | 2,111 |  |
| 1285 | 17-30-207-037-0000 | 33,186 |  |
| 1286 | 17-30-207-038-0000 | 20,568 |  |
| 1287 | 17-30-207-039-0000 | 2,685 |  |
| 1288 | 17-30-207-040-0000 | 18,563 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1289 | 17-30-207-041-0000 | 17,788 |  |
| 1290 | 17-30-207-042-0000 | 19,144 |  |
| 1291 | 17-30-207-043-0000 | 101,735 |  |
| 1292 | 17-30-208-001-0000 | 772,916 |  |
| 1293 | 17-30-208-002-0000 | 232,364 |  |
| 1294 | 17-30-208-003-0000 | 323,413 |  |
| 1295 | 17-30-208-004-0000 | 90,787 |  |
| 1296 | 17-30-208-005-0000 | 171,148 |  |
| 1297 | 17-30-208-006-0000 | 125,786 |  |
| 1298 | 17-30-208-008-0000 | 53,881 |  |
| 1299 | 17-30-208-009-0000 | 464,802 |  |
| 1300 | 17-30-209-003-0000 | 21,616 |  |
| 1301 | 17-30-209-007-0000 | 252,433 |  |
| 1302 | 17-30-209-008-0000 | 45,952 |  |
| 1303 | 17-30-209-012-0000 | 380,397 |  |
| 1304 | 17-30-209-013-0000 | 252,752 |  |
| 1305 | 17-30-209-014-0000 | 287,020 |  |
| 1306 | 17-30-209-015-0000 | 535,659 |  |
| 1307 | 17-30-209-016-0000 | 35,964 |  |
| 1308 | 17-30-210-001-0000 | 422,415 |  |
| 1309 | 17-30-210-009-0000 | 322,557 |  |
| 1310 | 17-30-210-010-0000 | 196,771 |  |
| 1311 | 17-30-210-012-0000 | - | EX |
| 1312 | 17-30-210-017-0000 | 160,704 |  |
| 1313 | 17-30-210-018-0000 | - | RR |
| 1314 | 17-30-210-019-0000 | 18,264 |  |
| 1315 | 17-30-210-020-0000 | - | EX |
| 1316 | 17-30-210-027-0000 | 2,156,524 |  |
| 1317 | 17-30-210-032-0000 | 461,914 |  |
| 1318 | 17-30-210-033-0000 | - | EX |
| 1319 | 17-30-210-034-0000 | 520,427 |  |
| 1320 | 17-30-210-035-0000 | 295,893 |  |
| 1321 | 17-30-210-037-0000 | - | EX |
| 1322 | 17-30-210-039-0000 | 68,945 |  |
| 1323 | 17-30-210-041-0000 | - | RR |
| 1324 | 17-30-210-044-0000 | 46,565 |  |
| 1325 | 17-30-210-045-0000 | 26,795 |  |
| 1326 | 17-30-210-046-0000 | 308,321 |  |
| 1327 | 17-30-210-047-0000 | 231,751 |  |
| 1328 | 17-30-210-049-0000 | 19,419 |  |
| 1329 | 17-30-210-050-0000 | 8,241 |  |
| 1330 | 17-30-210-052-0000 | 273,914 |  |
| 1331 | 17-30-210-056-0000 | 434,318 |  |
| 1332 | 17-30-210-057-0000 | 173,750 |  |
| 1333 | 17-30-210-058-0000 | 1,383,827 |  |
| 1334 | 17-30-210-059-0000 | 681,304 |  |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1335 | 17-30-210-060-0000 | 414,084 |  |
| 1336 | 17-30-210-061-0000 | - | RR |
| 1337 | 17-30-210-062-0000 | 28,749 |  |
| 1338 | 17-30-300-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 1339 | 17-30-300-003-0000 | - | EX |
| 1340 | 17-30-300-007-0000 | 554,405 |  |
| 1341 | 17-30-300-011-0000 | 682,666 |  |
| 1342 | 17-30-300-012-0000 | 185,834 |  |
| 1343 | 17-30-300-013-0000 | 1,934,903 |  |
| 1344 | 17-30-300-019-0000 | 1,276,644 |  |
| 1345 | 17-30-300-021-0000 | 39,497 |  |
| 1346 | 17-30-300-022-0000 | 784,757 |  |
| 1347 | 17-30-300-024-0000 | 80,807 |  |
| 1348 | 17-30-300-025-0000 | 419,239 |  |
| 1349 | 17-30-300-027-0000 | 575,580 |  |
| 1350 | 17-30-300-029-0000 | 700,064 |  |
| 1351 | 17-30-300-030-0000 | 2,670 |  |
| 1352 | 17-30-300-032-0000 | 5,095 |  |
| 1353 | 17-30-300-035-0000 | 632,339 |  |
| 1354 | 17-30-300-036-0000 | 1,361,611 |  |
| 1355 | 17-30-300-037-0000 | 162,761 |  |
| 1356 | 17-30-300-038-0000 | 18,599 |  |
| 1357 | 17-30-300-039-0000 | 1,597 |  |
| 1358 | 17-30-300-041-0000 | 33,136 |  |
| 1359 | 17-30-300-042-0000 | 683,492 |  |
| 1360 | 17-30-300-043-0000 | 3,129 |  |
| 1361 | 17-30-300-045-0000 | 53,870 |  |
| 1362 | 17-30-300-047-0000 | - | EX |
| 1363 | 17-30-300-048-0000 | - | RR |
| 1364 | 17-30-300-049-0000 | - | RR |
| 1365 | 17-30-300-050-0000 | - | EX |
| 1366 | 17-30-300-052-0000 | - | EX |
| 1367 | 17-30-300-053-0000 | 373,146 |  |
| 1368 | 17-30-300-054-0000 | - | EX |
| 1369 | 17-30-300-055-0000 | - | RR |
| 1370 | 17-30-300-056-0000 | 13,577 |  |
| 1371 | 17-30-300-057-0000 | 17,470 |  |
| 1372 | 17-30-301-002-0000 | - | EX |
| 1373 | 17-30-301-004-0000 | - | RR |
| 1374 | 17-30-301-005-0000 | - | RR |
| 1375 | 17-30-301-006-0000 | - | RR |
| 1376 | 17-30-301-009-0000 | 3,419 |  |
| 1377 | 17-30-301-011-0000 | 26,548 |  |
| 1378 | 17-30-301-013-8001 | - | EX |
| 1379 | 17-30-301-013-8002 | 21,134 |  |
| 1380 | 17-30-301-014-8001 | - | EX |

## Exhibit III

1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel
The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1381 | 17-30-301-014-8002 | 8,757 |  |
| 1382 | 17-30-301-015-8001 | - | EX |
| 1383 | 17-30-301-015-8002 | 4 |  |
| 1384 | 17-30-301-016-8001 | - | EX |
| 1385 | 17-30-301-016-8002 | 71,387 |  |
| 1386 | 17-30-302-006-0000 | 154,189 |  |
| 1387 | 17-30-302-018-0000 | 160,579 |  |
| 1388 | 17-30-302-025-0000 | 613,534 |  |
| 1389 | 17-30-302-026-0000 | 162,109 |  |
| 1390 | 17-30-302-027-0000 | 42,365 |  |
| 1391 | 17-30-302-028-0000 | 42,952 |  |
| 1392 | 17-30-302-029-0000 | 79,742 |  |
| 1393 | 17-30-302-030-0000 | 62,789 |  |
| 1394 | 17-30-302-031-0000 | 221,186 |  |
| 1395 | 17-30-302-032-0000 | 58,636 |  |
| 1396 | 17-30-302-033-0000 | 74,158 |  |
| 1397 | 17-30-400-003-0000 | 111,120 |  |
| 1398 | 17-30-400-007-0000 | - | EX |
| 1399 | 17-30-400-008-0000 | - | RR |
| 1400 | 17-30-400-009-0000 | - | RR |
| 1401 | 17-30-400-010-8001 | - | EX |
| 1402 | 17-30-400-010-8002 | 125 |  |
| 1403 | 17-30-400-010-8003 | 113,438 |  |
| 1404 | 17-30-401-004-0000 | - | RR |
| 1405 | 17-30-401-005-0000 | - | RR |
| 1406 | 17-30-401-007-6001 | - | RR |
| 1407 | 17-30-401-008-0000 | - | EX |
| 1408 | 17-30-401-010-0000 | - | EX |
| 1409 | 17-30-401-011-0000 | - | RR |
| 1410 | 17-30-401-012-0000 | - | EX |
| 1411 | 17-30-401-013-0000 | - | EX |
| 1412 | 17-30-401-014-0000 | - | RR |
| 1413 | 17-30-500-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 1414 | 17-30-500-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 1415 | 17-30-500-003-0000 | - | RR |
| 1416 | 17-30-500-004-0000 | - | RR |
| 1417 | 17-30-500-005-0000 | - | RR |
| 1418 | 17-30-500-006-0000 | - | RR |
| 1419 | 17-30-502-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 1420 | 17-31-100-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 1421 | 17-31-100-002-0000 | - | RR |
| 1422 | 17-31-100-003-0000 | - | RR |
| 1423 | 17-31-100-004-0000 | - | RR |
| 1424 | 17-31-100-006-0000 | 213,905 |  |
| 1425 | 17-31-100-007-0000 | - | EX |
| 1426 | 17-31-101-001-0000 | 298,051 |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAI Road |  |  |
| or Exempt |  |  |

## Exhibit III

## 1996 Estimated EAV by Tax Parcel

The Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area

| Count | PIN | Est. 1996 EAV | Rail Road or Exempt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1473 | 17-31-203-022-0000 | 33,530 |  |
| 1474 | 17-31-203-024-0000 | 8,043 |  |
| 1475 | 17-31-203-025-0000 | 861,457 |  |
| 1476 | 17-31-204-043-0000 | - | EX |
| 1477 | 17-31-204-045-0000 | 15,154 |  |
| 1478 | 17-31-207-029-0000 | 78,731 |  |
| 1479 | 17-31-207-030-0000 | 324,851 |  |
| 1480 | 17-31-207-034-0000 | 43,241 |  |
| 1481 | 17-31-207-036-0000 | 45,803 |  |
| 1482 | 17-31-207-037-0000 | 60,618 |  |
| 1483 | 17-31-207-038-0000 | 9,700 |  |
| 1484 | 17-31-207-039-0000 | 22,395 |  |
| 1485 | 17-31-208-008-0000 | 2,046 |  |
| 1486 | 17-31-208-009-0000 | 67,817 |  |
| 1487 | 17-31-208-012-0000 | 20,211 |  |
| 1488 | 17-31-208-013-0000 | 132,824 |  |
| 1489 | 17-31-208-015-0000 | 69,276 |  |
| 1490 | 17-31-208-016-0000 | 12,082 |  |
| 1491 | 17-31-208-017-0000 | 13,433 |  |
| 1492 | 17-31-210-021-0000 | 193,634 |  |
| 1493 | 17-31-210-022-0000 | 15,479 |  |
| 1494 | 17-31-210-023-0000 | 86,399 |  |
| 1495 | 17-31-500-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 1496 | 17-31-501-001-0000 | - | RR |
| 1497 | 17-31-502-002-0000 | - | RR |
| Total Estimated 1996 EAV |  | 114,441,698 |  |
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Figures 4a-m: Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number


Figure 4a - Site 1


Figure 4b- Site 2
Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number


Figure 4c - Site 3 \& 4


Figure 4d - Site 5 \& 6


Figure 4e - Site 7
Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number


Figure $4 f$ - Site 8


Figure 4 g - Site 9


Figure 4h - Site 10


Figure 4i-Site 11


Figure 4j - Site 12
Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number


Figure 4k - Site 13
Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number



Figure $4 m$ - Site 15
Land Acquisition by Block \& Parcel Identification Number
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this study is to determine whether the Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area (the "Project Area") qualifies for designation as a "conservation area" or a "blighted area" within the definitions set forth in the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (the "Act"). The Act is found in Illinois Compiled Statutes, Chapter 65, Act 5, Section 11-74.4-1 et. seq., as amended.

The findings presented in this study are based on surveys and analyses conducted by Trkla, Pettigrew, Allen \& Payne, Inc. ("TPAP") and Andrew Heard and Associates, Ltd. and for the Project Area of approximately 907 acres located one mile southwest of the central business district of Chicago, Illinois.

TPAP has prepared this report with the understanding that the City would rely on (i) the findings and conclusions of this report in proceeding with the designation of the Project Area as a redevelopment project area under the Act, and (ii) the fact that TPAP has obtained the necessary information to conclude that the Project Area can be designated as a redevelopment project area in compliance with the Act.

The total Project Area consists of an area of 101 full and partial blocks. The area includes Pilsen's industrial corridor and the commercial areas along Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue. The total Project Area is generally bounded by Cullerton Avenue (between Ashland Avenue and Morgan Street) and 16th Street (between Morgan Street and Stewart Avenue) on the north, Stewart Avenue and the Chicago River on the east, the Stevenson Expressway and 33rd Street on the south. The western boundary is Western Avenue between Bross Avenue and Blue Island Avenue, Blue Island Avenue between Western Avenue and Cermak Road, and Ashland Avenue between Cermak Road and 21st Street.

The boundaries of the Project Area are shown in Figure 1, Boundary Map. A more detailed description of the Project Area is presented in Section II, The Pilsen Avenue Project Area.

As set forth in the Act, a "redevelopment project area" means an area designated by the municipality which is not less in the aggregate than $11 / 2$ acres, and in respect to which the municipality has made a finding that there exist conditions which cause the area to be classified as an industrial park conservation area or a blighted area or a conservation area, or a combination of both blighted and conservation areas. The Project Area exceeds the minimum acreage requirements of the Act.

As set forth in the Act, "conservation area" means any improved area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality in which 50 percent or more of the structures in the area have an age of 35 years or more. Such an area is not yet a blighted area but because of a combination of three or more of the following factors-dilapidation; obsolescence; deterioration; illegal use of individual structures; presence of structures below minimum code standards; abandonment; excessive vacancies; overcrowding of structures and community facilities; lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities; inadequate



s!ou!III 'o6e9!49
Prepared By: Irkla, Pettigrow, Allen, \& Payne, Inc.
utilities; excessive land coverage; deleterious land use or layout; depreciation of physical maintenance; or lack of community planning-is detrimental to the public safety, health, morals or welfare and such an area may become a blighted area.

As set forth in the Act, "blighted area" means any improved or vacant area within the boundaries of a redevelopment project area located within the territorial limits of the municipality where, if improved, industrial, commercial and residential buildings or improvements, because of a combination of five or more of the following factors: age; dilapidation; obsolescence; deterioration; illegal use of individual structures; presence of structures below minimum code standards; excessive vacancies; overcrowding of structures and community facilities; lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities; inadequate utilities; excessive land coverage; deleterious land use or layout; depreciation of physical maintenance; or lack of community planning, is detrimental to the public safety, health, morals or welfare, or if vacant, the sound growth of the taxing districts is impaired by: (1) a combination of two or more of the following factors: obsolete platting of the vacant land; diversity of ownership of such land; tax and special assessment delinquencies on such land; flooding on all or part of such vacant land; deterioration of structures or site improvements in neighboring areas adjacent to the vacant land; or (2) the area immediately prior to becoming vacant qualified as a blighted improved area, or (3) the area consists of an unused quarry or unused quarries, or (4) the area consists of unused railyards, rail tracks or railroad rights-of way, or (5) the area, prior to the area's designation, is subject to chronic flooding which adversely impacts on real property in the area and such flooding is substantially caused by one or more improvements in or in proximity to the area which improvements have been in existence for at least five years, or (6) the area consists of an unused disposal site, containing earth, stone, building debris or similar material, which were removed from construction, demolition, excavation or dredge sites, or (7) the area is not less than 50 or more than 100 acres and $75 \%$ of which is vacant, notwithstanding the fact that such area has been used for commercial agricultural purposes within five years prior to the designation of the redevelopment project area, and which area meets at least one of the factors itemized in provision (1) of the subsections (a), and the area has been designated as a town or village center by ordinance or comprehensive plan adopted prior to January 1, 1982, and the area has not been developed for that designated purpose.

While it may be concluded that the mere presence of the minimum number of the stated factors may be sufficient to make a finding of conservation or blight, this evaluation was made on the basis that the conservation or blighting factors must be present to an extent which would lead reasonable persons to conclude that public intervention is appropriate or necessary. Secondly, the distribution of conservation or blighting factors throughout the study area must be reasonable so that basically good areas are not arbitrarily found to be conservation areas or blighted simply because of proximity to areas which are blighted.

On the basis of this approach, the Project Area is found to be eligible both as a blighted area and as a conservation area within the definitions set forth in the Act. In general, the Project Area can be divided into two parts: a) the "industrial district," which is determined to be a "blighted area" and is generally bounded by 16 th Street on the north, Stewart Avenue and the Chicago River on the east, the Stevenson Expressway and 33rd Street on the south, and Western Avenue on the west; and b) the "commercial district," which is determined to be a "conservation area" and is located
along Ashland Avenue north of Cermak Road, Blue Island Avenue between Western Avenue and Laflin Street, and along Oakley Avenue between 23rd Place and 25th Street.

## INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT - BLIGHTED AREA

This portion of the Project Area includes all of the industrial areas, including mixed commercial and isolated residential properties and excludes the Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue commercial corridors. The industrial district also includes Perez Public School, located in a block adjacent to the industrial area. This entire industrial area is found to be eligible as a blighted area within the definition set forth in the Act. Specifically:

- Of the 14 blighting factors set forth in the Act for an "improved" blighted area, 10 are present in the industrial district of the Project Area. Nine factors (age, dilapidation, obsolescence, deterioration, structures below minimum code standards, excessive vacancies, deleterious land-use or layout, depreciation of physical maintenance and lack of community planning) are present to a major extent and one factor (excessive land coverage) is present to a limited extent
- The factors present are reasonably distributed throughout the industrial district of the Project Area.
- All blocks within the industrial district of the Project Area show the presence of blight factors.
- The industrial district of the Project Area includes only real property and improvements thereon substantially benefited by the proposed redevelopment project improvements.


## THE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT - CONSERVATION AREA

This portion of the Project Area includes several commercial corridors of the Pilsen Neighborhood and generally consists of the commercial frontage along Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue. The commercial district also includes a total of three schools, located in blocks adjacent to the commercial corridors to include: Benito Juarez High School, Whittier Elementary, and DeLa Cruz Specialty School. This commercial district is found to be eligible as a conservation area within the definition set forth in the Act. Specifically:

- 91 percent ( 152 buildings) of the 167 buildings in the commercial district of the Project Area are 35 years in age or older.
- Of the remaining 14 factors set forth in the Act for conservation areas, nine are present in the commercial district of the Project Area. Six factors (obsolescence, deterioration, structures below minimum code standards, deleterious land-use or layout, depreciation of physical maintenance and lack of community planning) are present to a major extent. Three factors (dilapidation, excessive vacancies, and excessive land coverage ) are present to a limited extent.
- The factors present are reasonably distributed throughout the commercial district of the Project Area.
- All blocks within the commercial district of the Project Area show the presence of the conservation factors.
- The commercial district of the Project Area includes only real property and improvements thereon substantially benefited by the proposed redevelopment project improvements.


## I. BASIS FOR REDEVELOPMENT

The Illinois General Assembly made two key findings in adopting the Act:

1. That there exists in many municipalities within the State blighted and conservation areas; and
2. That the eradication of blighted areas and the treatment and improvement of conservation areas by redevelopment projects are essential to the public interest.

These findings were made on the basis that the presence of blight or conditions which lead to blight are detrimental to the safety, health, welfare and morals of the public.

To ensure that the exercise of these powers is proper and in the public interest, the Act also specifies certain requirements which must be met before a municipality can proceed with implementing a redevelopment project. One of these requirements is that the municipality must demonstrate that a prospective redevelopment project qualifies either as a "blighted area" or as a "conservation area" within the definitions for each set forth in the Act (in Section 11-74.4-3). These definitions are described below.

## ELIGIBILITY OF A BLIGHTED AREA

A blighted area may be either improved or vacant. If the area is improved (e.g., with industrial, commercial and residential buildings or improvements), a finding may be made that the area is blighted because of the presence of a combination of five or more of the following 14 factors:

- Age
- Dilapidation
- Obsolescence
- Deterioration
- Illegal use of individual structures
- Presence of structures below minimum code standards
- Excessive vacancies
- Overcrowding of structures and community facilities
- Lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities
- Inadequate utilities
- Excessive land coverage
- Deleterious land-use or lay-out
- Depreciation of physical maintenance
- Lack of community planning.

If the area is vacant, it may be found to be eligible as a blighted area based on the finding that the sound growth of the taxing districts is impaired by one of the following criteria:

- A combination of two or more of the following factors: obsolete platting of the vacant land; diversity of ownership of such land; tax and special assessment delinquencies on such land; flooding on all or part of such vacant land; deterioration of structures or site improvements in neighboring areas adjacent to the vacant land.
- The area immediately prior to becoming vacant qualified as a blighted improved area.
- The area consists of an unused quarry or unused quarries.
- The area consists of unused railyards, rail tracks or railroad rights-of-way.
- The area, prior to the area's designation, is subject to chronic flooding which adversely impacts on real property which is included in or (is) in proximity to any improvement on real property which has been in existence for at least five years and which substantially contributes to such flooding.
- The area consists of an unused disposal site, containing earth, stone, building debris or similar material, which were removed from construction, demolition, excavation or dredge sites.
- The area is not less than 50 nor more than 100 acres and $75 \%$ of which is vacant, notwithstanding the fact that such area has been used for commercial agricultural purposes within five years prior to the designation of the redevelopment project area, and which area meets at least one of the factors itemized in provision (1) of the subsection (a), and the area has been designated as a town or village center by ordinance or comprehensive plan adopted prior to January 1, 1982, and the area has not been developed for that designated purpose.


## ELIGIBILITY OF A CONSERVATION AREA

A conservation area is an improved area in which 50 percent or more of the structures in the area have an age of 35 years or more and there is a presence of a combination of three or more of the 14 factors listed below. Such an area is not yet a blighted area, but because of a combination of three or more of these factors, the area may become a blighted area.

- Dilapidation
- Obsolescence
- Deterioration
- Illegal use of individual structures
- Presence of structures below minimum code standards
- Abandonment
- Excessive vacancies
- Overcrowding of structures and community facilities
- Lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities
- Inadequate utilities
- Excessive land coverage
- Deleterious land-use or lay-out
- Depreciation of physical maintenance
- Lack of community planning.

While the Act defines a blighted area and a conservation area, it does not define the various factors for each, nor does it describe what constitutes the presence or the extent of presence necessary to make a finding that a factor exists. Therefore, reasonable criteria should be developed to support each local finding that an area qualifies as either a blighted area or as a conservation area. In developing these criteria, the following principles have been applied:

1. The minimum number of factors must be present and the presence of each must be documented;
2. For a factor to be found present, it should be present to a meaningful extent so that a local governing body may reasonably find that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act; and
3. The factors should be reasonably distributed throughout the redevelopment project area.

It is also important to note that the test of eligibility is based on the conditions of the area as a whole; it is not required that eligibility be established for each and every property in the project area.

## II. THE PILSEN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

The Project Area includes Pilsen's industrial corridor and the concentrated commercial areas along Ashland Avenue, Blue Island Avenue and Oakley Avenue. The industrial district is generally bounded by 16th Street on the north; Stewart Avenue, on the east; the Stevenson Expressway on the south; Western Avenue on the west; and Blue Island Avenue and the blocks fronting Cermak Road on the north. The commercial district includes the frontage of Ashland Avenue, from Cermak Road to 21 st Street; Blue Island Avenue, between Western Avenue and Laflin Street; and Oakley Avenue, between 23rd Place and 25th Street and adjacent blocks containing schools along these commercial corridors.

The total Project Area contains 510 buildings and encompasses 907 acres, including street and alley rights of way, the South Branch of the Chicago River and related slip canals. The acreage is distributed as indicated in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Acreage Distribution
Pilsen Redevelopment Project Area
Area
TotalAcres Percent of Total

| - Commercial District | 47 | $5.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| - Industrial District | 860 | $94.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 907 | $100.0 \%$ |

The industrial district of the Project Area consists of large industrial parcels along the north side of the South Branch of the Chicago River and shallow depth linear blocks along the south side of the river. A wide variety of industrial uses exist, dominated by warehousing, trucking terminals, scrap and related recycling yards, contracting yards, the Commonwealth Edison Power Plant site, several boat storage yards, a rail intermodal freight yard, metal manufacturing, and miscellaneous industrial activity. There is a significant amount of underutilized land in the area which contain numerous large and small vacant buildings which are predominantly industrial. The Project Area also contains a large quarry site in the southeast corner of the area along Halsted Street which is currently being filled. In the northern portion of the industrial area there are several blocks with residential frontage, including several school sites and a neighborhood park which are in conflict with adjacent industrial activity. Building deterioration, vacancies, poor streets, abandoned rail spurs, limited access, and widespread dumping and debris in vacant areas and in blocks along the river characterize most of the industrial district.

The commercial district of the Project Area consists of commercial block fronts dominated by turn-of-century buildings. Many of the buildings consist of two and three stories containing mixed commercial and residential uses with apartments above the first floor. This district includes a portion of several commercial streets of the Pilsen Neighborhood and services the day to day shopping needs of the area residents. The commercial district is dominated by a wide variety of small businesses with residential properties mixed in most blocks. The small businesses include: wholesale and retail trade and convenience commercial services, including a wide variety of auto related retail and service, restaurants, and a limited number of offices.

The commercial district is quite active with small businesses and stores and has very few vacancies. However, in spite of the high occupancy and activity rate, the commercial district is currently in need of revitalization. The commercial district is characterized by an aging housing stock, exhibiting physical depreciation and high density use, along with cluttered signage. To prevent further building deterioration, a general need for increased improvements and maintenance is required to sustain the area as a viable commercial district.

Figure 2, Existing Generalized Land Use Map, illustrates the general land use within the Project Area.


## III. ELIGIBILITY SURVEY AND ANALYSIS FINDINGS: IMPROVED AREAS

An analysis was made of each of the conservation and blighted area eligibility factors listed in the Act to determine whether each or any are present in the Project Area, and if so, to what extent and in what locations. Surveys and analyses conducted by TPAP and Andrew Heard \& Associates included:

1. Exterior survey of the condition and use of each building;
2. Site surveys of streets, alleys, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, parking facilities, landscaping, fences and walls, and general property maintenance;
3. Analysis of existing uses and their relationships;
4. Comparison of current land use to current zoning ordinance and the current zoning map;
5. Analysis of original and current platting and building size and layout;
6. Analysis of vacant sites and vacant buildings;
7. Analysis of building floor area and site coverage;
8. Analysis of building permits issued for the Project Area from 1/4/93 to 2/20/98;
9. Analysis of building code violations for the Project Area from 1/1/94 to 6/20/97;
10. Review of previously prepared plans, studies and data.

Figure 3 presents the survey form used to record the building conditions.
The following statement of findings is presented for each blight and conservation area factor listed in the Act. The conditions that exist and the relative extent to which each factor is present in the Project Area are described below.

A factor noted as not present indicates either that no information was available or that no evidence could be documented as part of the various surveys and analyses. A factor noted as present to a limited extent indicates that conditions exist which document that the factor is present, but that the distribution or impact of the conservation or blight condition is limited. Finally, a factor noted as present to a major extent indicates that conditions exist which document that the factor is present throughout major portions of the block, and that the presence of such conditions has a major adverse impact or influence on adjacent and nearby development.
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Figure 3 Exterior Survey

What follows is the summary evaluation of age criteria and the 14 factors for a conservation area, and the 14 factors, including age, for an "improved" blighted area as applied to industrial district. The factors are presented in order of their listing in the Act.

## A. AGE

Age is a primary and prerequisite factor in determining an area's qualification for designation as a conservation area. Age presumes the existence of problems or limiting conditions resulting from normal and continuous use of structures over a period of years. Since building deterioration and related structural problems can be a function of time, temperature, moisture and level of maintenance over an extended period of years, structures which are 35 years or older typically exhibit more problems and require greater maintenance than more recently constructed buildings. Structures within the Project Area are some of the oldest buildings in the city, particularly the buildings along the commercial corridors in the commercial district, which date back to 1880's through the 1920's.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Of the 343 buildings in the industrial district, 281, or 82 percent are 35 years in age or older. Age as a factor of blight is present to a major extent in the industrial district.

## Commercial District

Of the 167 buildings in the commercial district, 152 , or 91 percent are 35 years in age or older. The commercial district meets the conservation area prerequisite that more than 50 percent of the structures are 35 years of age or older.

Figure 4, Age, illustrates the location of all buildings in the Project Area which are more than 35 years of age.

## B. DILAPIDATION

Dilapidation refers to advanced disrepair of buildings and site improvements. Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary defines "dilapidate," "dilapidated" and "dilapidation" as follows:

- Dilapidate, "... to become or cause to become partially ruined and in need of repairs, as through neglect."
- Dilapidated, "... falling to pieces or into disrepair; broken down; shabby and neglected."
- Dilapidation, "... dilapidating or becoming dilapidated; a dilapidated condition."



To determine the existence of dilapidation, an assessment was undertaken of all buildings within the Project Area. The process used for assessing building conditions, the standards and criteria used for evaluation, and the findings as to the existence of dilapidation are presented below.

The building condition analysis is based on an exterior inspection of all buildings undertaken during the months of May and June of 1997. Noted during the inspections were structural deficiencies in building components and related environmental deficiencies in the Project Area.

## 1. Building Components Evaluated

During the field survey, each component of a building was examined to determine whether it was in sound condition or had minor, major, or critical defects. Building components examined were of two types:

## Primary Structural

These include the basic elements of any building: foundation walls, load bearing walls and columns, roof and roof structure.

## Secondary Components

These components are generally secondary to the primary structural components and are necessary parts of the building, including porches and steps, windows and window units, doors and door units, chimneys, gutters and downspouts.

Each primary and secondary component was evaluated separately as a basis for determining the overall condition of individual buildings. This evaluation considered the relative importance of specific components within a building, and the effect that deficiencies in the various components have on the remainder of the building.

## 2. Building Rating Classifications

Based on the evaluation of building components, each building was rated and classified into one of the following categories:

## Sound

Buildings which contain no defects, are adequately maintained, and require no treatment outside of normal maintenance as required during the life of the building.

## Deficient

Buildings which contain defects (loose or missing material or holes and cracks) over either limited or widespread areas which may or may not be correctable through the course of normal maintenance (depending on the size of the building or number of buildings in a large complex). Deficient buildings contain defects which, in the case of limited or minor defects, clearly indicate a lack of or a reduced level of maintenance. In the case of major defects, advanced defects are present over widespread areas would require major upgrading and significant investment to correct.

## Dilapidated

Buildings which contain major defects in primary and secondary components over widespread areas. The defects are so serious and advanced that the building is considered to be substandard, requiring improvements or total reconstruction which may either be infeasible or difficult to correct.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Seventy buildings of the 343 buildings, situated in 30 of the 80 blocks containing buildings, are in substandard (dilapidated) condition. Dilapidation as a factor is present to a major extent in 13 blocks and to a limited extent in 17 blocks of the industrial district.

## Commercial District

Thirteen of the 167 buildings are in substandard (dilapidated) condition. Dilapidation as a factor is present to a major extent in two blocks and to a limited extent in five blocks of the commercial district.

Figure 5, Dilapidation, illustrates the location of substandard buildings in the Project Area.

## C. OBSOLESCENCE

Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary defines "obsolescence" as "being out of use; obsolete." "Obsolete" is further defined as "no longer in use; disused" or "of a type or fashion no longer current." These definitions are helpful in describing the general obsolescence of buildings or site improvements in a proposed redevelopment project area. In making findings with respect to buildings, it is important to distinguish between functional obsolescence, which relates to the physical utility of a structure, and economic obsolescence, which relates to a property's ability to compete in the market place.

## Functional Obsolescence

Historically, structures have been built for specific uses or purposes. The design, location, height, and space arrangement are intended for a specific occupant at a given time. Buildings become obsolete when they contain characteristics or deficiencies which limit their use and marketability after the original use ceases. The characteristics may include loss in value to a property resulting from an inherent deficiency existing from poor design or layout, the improper orientation of the building on its site, etc., which detracts from the overall usefulness or desirability of a property.

## Economic Obsolescence

Economic obsolescence is normally a result of adverse conditions which cause some degree of market rejection and, hence, depreciation in market values.
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Site improvements, including sewer and water lines, public utility lines (gas, electric and telephone), roadways, parking areas, parking structures, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, lighting, etc., may also evidence obsolescence in terms of their relationship to contemporary development standards for such improvements. Factors of obsolescence may include inadequate utility capacities, outdated designs, etc.

Obsolescence as a factor should be based upon the documented presence and reasonable distribution of buildings and site improvements evidencing such obsolescence.

## 1. Obsolete Building Types

Functional or economic obsolescence in buildings, which limits their long-term use or reuse, is typically difficult and expensive to correct. Deferred maintenance, deterioration and vacancies often result, which can have an adverse effect on nearby and surrounding development and detract from the physical, functional and economic vitality of the area.

Characteristics observed in the obsolete buildings include the following:

- Small, narrow buildings with limited floor plates.
- Single purpose buildings designed for a specific use which are not easily adaptable or suited to other uses, including metal storage or butler-type industrial buildings including accessory buildings.
- Multi-story industrial buildings with inefficient or outdated mechanical systems, including the lack of central air conditioning, small elevators or the lack of freight elevators and limited lighting.
- Lack of or inadequate loading facilities.
- Buildings with single-pane windows and limited insulation, resulting in high energy loss.
- Lack of ADA (American Disability Act) access provisions at entry areas, elevators and in bathrooms.
- Residential buildings converted to accommodate additional units or converted or expanded to accommodate commercial activity.
- Long narrow storefronts with limited or lack of natural light, converted to dwelling units.


## 2. Obsolete Platting

The Project Area was originally platted well before the turn of the century. Residential blocks outside of the industrial areas are platted in the typical grid pattern dominated by narrow parcels that are 25 feet wide or less. Platting in the large industrial blocks south of Cermak Road is not consistent with present standards resulting in super blocks. These blocks are excessive in length and or depth with difficult access to properties. Overall, the platting within the Project Area is not consistent with modern day standards.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Obsolescence as a factor is present to a major extent in 35 blocks and to a limited extent in 22 blocks. One hundred twelve buildings are obsolete due to limited size, or single-purpose design which would be difficult and unfeasible to adapt to new uses, or consist of multi-story buildings with advanced age, deterioration, vacant space, outdated mechanical systems, maintenance difficulties, or are not easily adaptable to compete with newer buildings.

## CommerciaL District

Obsolescence as a factor is present to a major extent in four blocks and to a limited extent in 12 blocks. These include buildings of inadequate, limited size or shape for which they are being used or which have limited utility for alteration to accommodate other occupancies. Obsolescence also includes commercial storefront buildings converted to residential use with limited accommodation for light and ventilation.

Figure 6, Obsolescence, illustrates the location of obsolete buildings in the Project Area.

## D. DETERIORATION

Deterioration refers to any physical deficiencies or disrepair in buildings or site improvements requiring treatment or repair.

- Deterioration may be evident in basically sound buildings containing minor defects, such as lack of painting, loose or missing materials, or holes and cracks over limited areas. This deterioration can be corrected through normal maintenance.
- Deterioration which is not easily correctable and cannot be accomplished in the course of normal maintenance may also be evident in buildings. Such buildings may be classified as minor deficient or major deficient buildings, depending upon the degree or extent of defects. Minor deficient and major deficient buildings are characterized by defects in the secondary building components (e.g., doors, windows, fire escapes, gutters and downspouts, fascia materials, etc.), and defects in primary building components (e.g., foundations, exterior walls, floors, roofs, etc.), respectively.
It should be noted that all buildings and site improvements classified as dilapidated are also deteriorated.




## Deterioration of Buildings

The analysis of building deterioration is based on the survey methodology and criteria described in the preceding section on "Dilapidation." In the industrial district, of the total 343 buildings, 282, or 82 percent, are classified as deteriorating or deteriorated. In the commercial district, of the total 167 buildings, 123, or 74 percent, are classified as deteriorating or deteriorated.

Table 2, Summary of Building Deterioration, summarizes building deterioration within the blocks containing buildings in the Project Area.

## Deterioration of Street Pavement, Alleys, Curbs, Gutters and Sidewalks

Field surveys were conducted to identify the condition of streets, alleys, curbs, gutters and sidewalks in the Project Area. The majority of the streets contain poor pavement conditions, lack curbs or walks in most blocks, and are littered with debris and fly dumping.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Deterioration as a factor is present to a major extent in 67 blocks and to a limited extent in six blocks. Deterioration includes deteriorating buildings, parking and site service areas, streets, curbs and gutters, sidewalks.

## Commercial District

Deterioration as a factor is present to a major extent in 14 blocks and to a limited extent in six of the 21 blocks. Deterioration includes deteriorating buildings, parking and site service areas, streets, curbs and gutters, sidewalks.

Figure 7, Deterioration, illustrates deterioration within the built-up portions of the Project Area.

## E. ILLEGAL USE OF INDIVIDUAL STRUCTURES

Illegal use of individual structures refers to the presence of uses or activities which are not permitted by law.

## Conclusion

No illegal uses of individual structures were evident from the field surveys conducted.

Table 2: Summary of Building Deterioration
INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT

## Building Condition

|  |  |  | Building Condition |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Survey Block Number | Number of <br> Buildings | Sound | Deteriorated/ <br> Deteriorating | Substandard/ |


| 1. | 17-21-305 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 17-21-306 | 24 | 1 | 7 | 16 |
| 3. | 17-21-330 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. | 17-21-307 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 5. | 17-21-309 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6. | 17-21-310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. | 17-21-311 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 8. | 17-21-327 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 9. | 17-21-326 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 10. | 17-21-325 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| 11. | 17-21-331 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 12. | 17-21-332 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 13. | 17-21-323 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 14. | 17-21-322 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 15. | 17-28-104/105/(21- | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

15. $17-28-104 / 105 /(21-$
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17. $17-28-101$

| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 7 | 1 |
| 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 2 continued: Summary of Building Deterioration




## F. PRESENCE OF STRUCTURES BELOW MINIMUM CODE STANDARDS

Structures below minimum code standards include all structures which do not meet the standards of subdivision, building, housing, property maintenance, fire, or other governmental codes applicable to the property. The principal purposes of such codes are to require buildings to be constructed so that they will be strong enough to support the loads expected, to be safe for occupancy against fire and similar hazards, and/or to establish minimum standards essential for safe and sanitary habitation. Structures below minimum code are characterized by defects or deficiencies which threaten health and safety.

Determination of the presence of structures below minimum code standards was based upon visible defects and advanced deterioration of building components from the exterior surveys, which was then compared to the of City of Chicago's Building Code Violations for the Pilsen Area between $1 / 1 / 94$ and 6/20/97.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Existence of structures below minimum code standards is present to a major extent in 40 blocks and to a limited extent in 14 blocks affecting 167 of the 343 buildings. Advanced defects, which are below the minimum code standards, are visible on the exterior of these buildings.

## Commercial District

Existence of structures below minimum code standards is present to a major extent in six blocks and to a limited extent in nine blocks affecting 110 of the 167 buildings. Advanced defects, which are below the minimum code standards, are visible on the exterior of these buildings.

Figure 8 illustrates the location of buildings below minimum code standards.

## G. ABANDONMENT

Abandonment as a factor applies only to conservation areas. Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary defines "abandonment" as "to give up with the intent of never again claiming one's right or interest"; or " to give over or surrender completely; to desert."

## Conclusion

Based on the analysis of properties within the commercial district of the Project Area, abandonment as a factor is not found to be present.
] T1

## H. EXCESSIVE VACANCIES

Excessive vacancies as a factor refers to the presence of buildings or sites which are either unoccupied or not fully utilized, and which exert an adverse influence on the surrounding area because of the frequency or duration of vacancies. Excessive vacancies include properties for which there is little expectation for future occupancy or utilization.

Excessive building vacancies are found throughout much of the entire Project Area. Vacancies include buildings which are entirely vacant and buildings with vacant floor areas. Vacancies are prevalent in both industrial buildings, including large multi-story warehouses, commercial buildings and residential buildings.

Information regarding vacancies in individual buildings was obtained from exterior building surveys conducted by TPAP and Andrew Heard \& Associates. Vacancies were determined by the presence of a combination of the following factors: shuttered or gutted buildings, boarded buildings, obvious vacant units, or signs advertising building space available.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Excessive vacancies as a factor is present to a major extent in 15 blocks and to a limited extent in 23 blocks and includes vacant buildings and vacant space within buildings.

## Commercial District

Excessive vacancies as a factor is present to a limited extent in 11 blocks and includes vacant buildings and vacant space within buildings.

Figure 9, Excessive Vacancies, illustrates buildings in the Project Area which are 20 percent or more vacant.

## I. QVERCROWDING OF STRUCTURES AND COMMUNITY EACILITIES

Overcrowding of structures and community facilities refers to the utilization of public or private buildings, facilities, or properties beyond their reasonable or legally permitted capacity. Overcrowding is frequently found in buildings originally designed for a specific use and later converted to accommodate a more intensive use without adequate regard for minimum floor area requirements, privacy, ingress and egress, loading and services, capacity of building systems, etc.

## Conclusion

No conditions of overcrowding of structures and community facilities have been documented as part of the exterior surveys undertaken within the Project Area.



## J. LACK OF YENTILATION, LIGHT, OR SANITARY EACILITIES

Lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities refers to substandard conditions which adversely affect the health and welfare of building occupants, e.g., residents, employees, or visitors.
Typical requirements for ventilation, light, and sanitary facilities include:

- Adequate mechanical ventilation for air circulation in spaces/rooms without windows, i.e., bathrooms, and rooms that produce dust, odor or smoke;
- Adequate natural light and ventilation by means of skylights or windows, proper window sizes, and adequate room area to window area ratios; and
- Adequate sanitary facilities, i.e., garbage storage/enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water, and kitchens.


## Conclusion

The factor of lack of ventilation, light, or sanitary facilities is not documented as part of the exterior surveys conducted for the Project Area.

## K. INADEOUATE UTILITIES

Inadequate utilities refers to deficiencies in the capacity or condition of utilities which service a property or area, including, but not limited to, storm drainage, water supply, electrical power, streets, sanitary sewers, gas and electricity.

## Conclusion

No determination of existing utilities and conditions of inadequate utilities has been documented as part of the surveys and analyses undertaken within the Project Area.

## L. EXCESSIVE LAND COVERAGE

Excessive land coverage refers to the over-intensive use of land and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities on a site. Problem conditions include buildings either improperly situated on the parcel or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards for health and safety. The resulting inadequate conditions include such factors as insufficient provision for light and air, increased threat of the spread of fires due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of required off-street parking, and inadequate provisions for loading and service. Excessive land coverage has an adverse or blighting effect on nearby development.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Excessive land coverage as a factor is present to a major extent in eight blocks and to a limited extent in seven blocks. Excessive land coverage includes parcels which exhibit inadequate space for off-street parking, loading and service due to the position or size of the buildings located on the parcel.

## Commercial District

Excessive land coverage as a factor is present to a major extent in one block and to a limited extent in one block. Excessive land coverage includes parcels with multiple buildings, including rear buildings fronting on alleys and buildings which cover the site to an extent which allows limited or lack of off-street parking, loading and service.

Figure 10, Excessive Land Coverage, illustrates buildings and sites in the Project Area which exhibit excessive land coverage.

## M. DELETERIOUS LAND-USE OR LAYOUT

Deleterious land-uses include all instances of incompatible land-use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed uses, and uses which may be considered noxious, offensive or otherwise environmentally unsuitable.

Deleterious layout includes evidence of improper or obsolete platting of the land, inadequate street layout, and parcels of inadequate size or shape to meet contemporary development standards. It also includes evidence of improper layout of buildings on parcels and in relation to other nearby buildings.

Throughout much of the Project Area, there are blocks which contain a mixture of uses including residential uses located within commercial corridors, or residential uses in industrial areas or in close proximity to industrial blocks. Several enclaves of blocks with residential frontage are surrounded by industrial activity. The incompatible mix and resulting impact continues to have a negative affect in the area blocks where these conditions are present. Deleterious layout include the inconsistent pattern of blocks with super blocks along the river and other large blocks. These blocks combined with active and inactive rail spurs, limited access to the interior of blocks and confusing interior circulation are additional impacts on the area.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Deleterious land use or layout includes incompatible uses and improper platting or layout of parcels or buildings in relation to proper orientation of structures on a property. Deleterious land use is present to a major extent in 12 blocks and to a limited extent in three blocks.

## Commercial District

Deleterious land use or layout includes incompatible uses and improper platting or layout of parcels or buildings in relation to proper orientation of structures on a property. Deleterious land use is present to a major extent in three blocks and to a limited extent in 10 blocks.

Figure 11, Deleterious Land Use or Layout, illustrates sites in the Project Area which exhibit deleterious land use or layout.

## N. DEPRECIATION OF PHYSICAL MAINTENANCE

Depreciation of physical maintenance refers to the deferred maintenance of buildings, parking areas and public improvements such as alleys, sidewalks and streets.

The presence of this factor within the Project Area includes:

- Buildings and Premises. In the industrial district, 282 of the 343 total buildings suffer from deferred maintenance of windows, doors, store fronts, exterior walls, cornices, fire escapes, porches and steps, loading docks, fascias gutters and downspouts and chimneys. In the commercial district, 123 of the 167 total buildings suffer from deferred maintenance as stated above. Yards and premises throughout much of the entire Project Area contain high weeds, deteriorated fencing, exposed junk storage, including junk cars, and debris.
- Streets, alleys, sidewalks, curbs and gutters. Deterioration of these improvements is widespread throughout the area's local interior streets. Pavement conditions are poor with pot holes, exposing the initial brick pavers and sections of curb and sidewalks are broken or missing. Fly dumping, including car tires, garbage bags, litter and debris is present on local streets near and under viaducts and along where streets terminate at the South Branch of the Chicago River. Most all of the underutilized and vacant land and parcels contain large amount of debris, high weeds and discarded refuse.
- Parking surface and site surface areas. Large parking areas within the industrial blocks contain only gravel surface with pot holes, weed growth and depressions. Industrial and commercial properties along major streets contain parking surface with either gravel or deteriorated asphalt and lack striping or bumper stops.


## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Depreciation of physical maintenance as a factor is present to a major extent throughout the entire industrial area. This includes deferred maintenance or lack of maintenance of buildings and premises, including surface parking and loading areas, streets, curbs, gutters, sidewalks and vacant or underutilized land areas filled with debris and weed growth.

## Commercial District

Depreciation of physical maintenance as a factor is present to a major extent throughout almost the entire commercial district except for the four blocks along the Oakley Avenue frontage, between Blue Island Avenue and 23 rd Place.

Figure 10a
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Figure 12, Depreciation of Physical Maintenance, illustrates the presence of the factor in the builtup portions of the Project Area.

## Q. LACK OF COMMUNITYPLANNING

The Project Area blocks were platted and buildings were constructed prior to the existence of a community plan. Industrial and commercial blocks were originally platted and developed on a parcel-by-parcel and building-by-building basis, with little evidence of coordination and planning among buildings and activities. The area contains both large and small blocks for industrial use, incompatible relationship with residential activity in several areas and, limited east-west access, to a majority of the industrial activity, including low viaduct clearance from the Archer Avenue side of the area. The lack of community planning prior to development has contributed to some of the problem conditions which characterize the overall Project Area.

## Conclusion

## Industrial District

Lack of community planning is present to a major extent throughout the entire industrial area. The irregular block size and pattern, limited interior street access, mix of industrial, commercial and residential areas, and building setbacks occurred without the benefit or guidelines of a community plan during the early development of the area. The factor of a lack of community planning is present to a major extent throughout the industrial district of the Project Area.

## Commercial District

Lack of community planning is present to a major extent throughout the entire commercial district. The narrow lot size, placement of buildings, lack of adequate parking and provisions for loading and service, and the existence of residential properties mixed with commercial buildings, occurred on a building by building basis during the early development of these corridors without guidelines for building set backs, parking and loading standards as part of an overall community plan.


## IV. DETERMINATION OF PROJECT AREA ELIGIBILITY

## INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT - BLIGHTED AREA

The industrial district of the Project Area meets the requirements of the Act for designation as an improved "blighted area". There is a reasonable presence and distribution of 10 of the 14 factors listed in the Act for improved blighted areas. These blighting factors include the following.

1. Age
2. Dilapidation
3. Obsolescence
4. Deterioration
5. Structures below minimum code standards
6. Excessive vacancies
7. Excessive land coverage
8. Deleterious land-use or layout
9. Depreciation of physical maintenance
10. Lack of community planning

## COMMERCIAL DISTRICT - CONSERVATION AREA

The commercial district of the Project Area meets the requirements of the Act for designation as a "conservation area." Over 50 percent of the buildings are 35 years in age or older. Of the total 167 buildings in the commercial district, 152 (or 91 percent) are 35 years of age or older.

In addition to age, there is a reasonable presence and distribution of nine of the 14 factors listed in the Act for conservation areas. These conservation factors include the following:

1. Dilapidation
2. Obsolescence
3. Deterioration
4. Structures below minimum code standards
5. Excessive vacancies
6. Excessive land coverage
7. Deleterious land use or layout
8. Depreciation of physical maintenance
9. Lack of community planning

The commercial district is not yet a blighted area but shows evidence of continuing deterioration and decline and may become a blighted area.


#### Abstract

A summary of conservation and blight factors by block is contained in Table 3, Distribution of Blighting Factors in Industrial District and Table 4, Distribution of Conservation Factors in Commercial District.

The eligibility findings indicate that the Project Area is in need of revitalization and guided growth to ensure that it will contribute to the long-term physical, economic, and social well-being of the City. The Project Area is deteriorating and declining. All factors indicate that the Project Area as a whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise, and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed without public action.


Table 3: Distribution of Blighting Factors in Industrial District

- Factor present to a MAJOR extent

O Factor present to a MINOR extent

|  | Block No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 88 } \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\infty$ Overcrowding of structures |  |  |  |  |  |  | Num <br> Fac Pre Major | er of ors ent Mimor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 17-21-305 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |
| 2. | 17-21-306 | - | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | 3 |
| 3. | 17-21-330 | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 4. | 17-21-307 | - |  | - | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ | - | 6 | 1 |
| 5. | 17-21-309 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 8 | 0 |
| 6. | 17-21-310 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 3 | 0 |
| 7. | 17-21-311 | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 7 | 0 |
| 8. | 17-21-327 | - |  | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 2 |
| 9. | 17-21-326 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| 10. | 17-21-325 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | 5 | 4 |
| 11. | 17-21-331 | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 12. | 17-21-332 | 0 |  | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| 13. | 17-21-323 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ | - | 7 | 0 |
| 14. | 17-21-322 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 15. | $\begin{aligned} & 17-28-104 / \\ & 105 /(21-336) \end{aligned}$ | - |  | 0 | - |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 2 |
| 16. | $\begin{aligned} & 17-28-103 /(17- \\ & 21-335 / 334 / 333) \end{aligned}$ | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\bullet$ | 4 | 4 |
| 17. | 17-28-101 | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 18 | 17-28-102 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 6 | 3 |
| 19. | 17-28-100 | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 20. | 17-29-203 | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 8 | 0 |
| 21. | 17-29-202 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 4 | 0 |
| 22. | 17-29-201 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 0 |  | - | - | 8 | 1 |
| 23. | 17-21-328 | - | 0 | - | - |  | 0 | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 7 | 2 |
| 24. | 17-21-329 | - |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| 25. | 17-20-331 | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 4 | 0 |
| 26. | 17-20-330 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7 | 0 |
| 27. | 17-20-322 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 28. | 17-20-404 | - |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| 29. | 17-20-405 | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 30. | 17-20-415 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 0 |
| 31. | 17-20-414 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 5 | 0 |

Pilsen Project Area-Eligibility Stuct
Chicago, Illinois - March 10. 1998

Table 3 continued: Distribution of Blighting Factors in Industrial District

- Factor present to a MAJOR extent

O Factor present to a MINOR extent

|  | Block No. | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Num <br> Fac <br> Pre <br> Major | er of ors <br> ent <br> Minor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32. | 17-20-425 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - | 6 | 4 |
| 33. | 17-20-426 | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 8 | 1 |
| 34. | 17-20-433 | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 35. | 17-20-432 | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7 | 0 |
| 36. | 17-20-444 | - |  | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 2 |
| 37. | 17-20-445 | - | 0 |  | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 5 | 4 |
| 38. | 17-20-434/435 | - | 0 |  | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 6 | 2 |
| 39. | 17-20-443 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 9 | 0 |
| 40. | 17-20-441 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 8 | 0 |
| 41. | 17-20-442 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 0 |
| 42. | 17-20-440 | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 43. | 17-20-439 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 44. | 17-20-438 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |
| 45. | 17-20-429 | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 46. | 17-20-335 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 8 | 0 |
| 47. | 17-20-334 | - |  | - | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 1 |
| 48. | 17-20-333 | - |  | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | - | - | 5 | 3 |
| 49. | 17-20-332 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7 | 0 |
| 50. | 17-20-324 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 51. | 17-29-200 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | - | 3 | 3 |
| 52. | 17-29-103 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7 | 0 |
| 53. | 17-29-102 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| 54. | 17-29-101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | 1 | 7 |
| 55. | 17-29-100 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 56. | 17-30-210 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 57. | 17-30-209 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 58. | 17-30-208 | - |  | - | - |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 2 |
| 59. | 17-30-302 | - | 0 |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | 2 | 5 |
| 60. | 17-30-300 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | 1 | 4 |
| 61. | 17-31-101/102 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 62. | $\begin{aligned} & 17-31-200 / 201 / \\ & 207 / 208 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | - | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 63. | 17-31-203 | - |  | - | - |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 1 |
| 64. | 17-29-300 | 0 | - | - | 0 |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 2 |

Pilsen Project Area - Eligibility Study
Chicago, Minois - March 10, 1998

Table 3 continued: Distribution of Blighting Factors in Industrial District

- Factor present to a MAJOR extent

O Factor present to a MINOR extent

| Block No. | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{9}{8} \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \frac{0}{8} \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ \frac{2}{4} \\ \vdots \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ber of ctors sent 1 Mima |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65. 17-29-308 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 66. 17-29-303 | - |  | 0 | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 2 |
| 67. 17-29-301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | 0 |
| 68. 17-29-302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | 0 |
| 69. 17-29-304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 3 | 0 |
| 70. 17-29-310 | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 71. 17-29-416 | - |  | 0 | - |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 72. 17-29-417 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 73. 17-29-403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | 0 |
| 74. 17-29-404 | - | 0 | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 1 |
| 75. 17-29-400 |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | 0 |
| 76. 17-29-402 | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| $\text { 77. } \begin{aligned} & 17-29-405 / \\ & 406 / 407 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 78. 17-28-111 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 79. 17-20-430 | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 0 |
| 80. 17-20-325 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | 3 |
| Totals: Major/Minor | 66/5 | 13/17 | 35/22 | 67/6 | 010 | 40/14 | 15/23 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 010 | 8/7 | $12 / 3$ | 75/4 | 80/0 | 411 | 101 |

Pilsen Project Area Eligibility Study
Chicago Illinots - March 10, 1998

Table 4: Distribution of Conservation Factors in Commercial District

- Factor present to a MANOR extent

O Factor present to a MINOR extent

|  | Block No. | \% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | epos моןeq sampnus " |  |  | Overcrowding of structures |  | semp!n ojenbəpeul 우 |  |  |  | Lack of community planning |  | ber of tors t (Age luded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | misor | Mina |
| 1 | 17-19-429 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | - | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 17-19-425 | - | 0 |  | - |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 17-20-318 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| 4 | 17-20-319 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 8 | 0 |
| 5 | 17-20-329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 17-20-327 | - |  | 0 | - |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| 7 | 17-20-322 | - |  | 0 | - |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | 3 | 4 |
| 8 | 17-30-120 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 6 | 0 |
| 9 | 17-30-121 | - |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 1 |
| 10 | 17-30-112 | - |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 4 |
| 11 | 17-30-115 | - |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 5 |
| 12 | 17-30-119 | - |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\bullet$ | 1 | 4 |
| 13 | 17-30-122 | - |  |  | - |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| 14 | 17-30-116 | - |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | 1 | 6 |
| 15 | 17-30-125 | - | 0 | - | - |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 5 | 4 |
| 16 | 17-30-206 | - |  | 0 | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| 17 | 17-30-124 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| 18 | 17-30-203 | - |  | 0 | $\bullet$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\bullet$ | - | 3 | 4 |
| 19 | 17-30-204 | - |  | - | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 2 | 1 |
| 20 | 17-30-202 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 21 | 17-30-207 | - |  | 0 | $\bullet$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\bullet$ | - | 3 | 4 |
| Totals: |  |  | $2 / 5$ | $4 / 12$ | 14/6 | 010 | 6/9 | 010 | 0/11 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | $1 / 1$ | 3/10 | $14 / 5$ | 21/0 | 65 | 59 |
| Major/Minor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    'Goodman Williams Group - Cushman \& Wakefield of Illinois Research Service.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Illinois Department of Employment Security data

[^2]:    III This category may also include paying for or reimbursing (i) an elementary, secondary or unit school district's increased costs attributed to assisted housing units, and (ii) capital costs of taxing districts impacted by the redevelopmentof the Project Area. As permittedby the Act. to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, the City may pay, or reimburse all, or a portion of a taxing district'scapital costs resulting from a redevelopmentproject necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Plan.
    ${ }^{12}$ Total redevelopment project costs exclude any additional financing costs, including any interest expense, capitalized interest and costs associated with optional redemptions. These costs are subject to prevailing market conditions and are in addition to Total Redevelopment Project Costs.
    irl he amount of the Total Redevelopment Project Costs that can be incurred in the Project Area will be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated from the Project Area only by a public rightof-way, that are permitted under the Act to be paid, and are paid, from incremental property taxes generated in the Project Area. but will not be reduced by the

