2012 Annual Report

Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project Area




Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2013

FY 2012 ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT

53rd Street



| Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: | | | | 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| County: | | Cook | Fiscal Year I | En d: | | 12/3 | 1/2012 |
| Unit Code: | | 016/620/30 | | | | | |
| | | TIF Admin | istrator Contact Info | rmation | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| First Name: | Andrew . | J. | Last Name: | Моопеу | | | |
| Address: | City Hall | 121 N. LaSalle | Title: | Administrator | | | |
| Telephone: | (312) 744 | -0025 | City: | Chicago, IL | Zip: | - | 60602 |
| Mobile | .n/a | | E-mail | TIFReports@cityo | fchicago.org | | |
| Mo bile | - Mananii - | | Best way to | X Email | | Phone | |
| Provider | n/a | | contact | Mobile | | Mail | |
| l attest to the City of Chic | | y knowledge, this report of | the redevelopment p | roject areas in: | | | |
| | | ate at the end of this reporting et. sea.1 Or the Industria | al Jobs Recovery Law | / [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6 | | levelopi | ment |
| \\(\lambda\) | at was at Ti | | <u>.</u> | lune 28, 2013 | | | |
| rvvriπen signa | ature of 11 | F Adm / inistator | | Date | | | |

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

FILL OUT ONE FOR <u>EACH</u> TIF DISTRICT Name of Redevelopment Project Area **Date Designated Date Terminated** 105th/Vincennes 10/3/2001 12/31/2025 111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District 9/29/1999 9/29/2022 12/31/2026 119th and Halsted 2/6/2002 119th/l-57 11/6/2002 12/31/2026 126th and Torrence 12/21/1994 12/21/2017 134th and Avenue K 3/12/2008 12/31/2032 24th/Michigan 7/21/1999 7/21/2022 26th and King Drive 1/11/2006 12/31/2030 35th and Wallace 12/15/1999 12/31/2023 35th/Halsted 12/31/2021 1/14/1997 35th/State 1/14/2004 12/31/2028 40th/State 3/10/2004 12/31/2012 43rd/Cottage Grove 7/8/1998 12/31/2022 45th/Western Industrial Park Conservation Area 3/27/2002 12/31/2026 47th/Ashland 3/27/2002 12/31/2026 5/29/2002 47th/Halsted 12/31/2026 47th/King Drive 3/27/2002 12/31/2026 7/21/2004 12/31/2028 47th/State 49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue 1/10/1996 12/31/2020 51st/ Archer 5/17/2000 12/31/2024 51st/Lake Park 11/15/2012 12/31/2036

1/10/2001

12/31/2025

^{*}All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2012 Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /:2012

| 60th and Western | 5/9/1996 | 5/9/2019 |
|--|------------|--------------|
| 63rd/Ashland | 3/29/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 63rd/Pulaski | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 67th/Cicero | 10/2/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 67th/Wentworth | 5/04/2011 | 12/31/2035 |
| 69th/Ashland | 11/3/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 71st and Stony Island | 10/7/1998 | 10/7/2021 |
| 72nd and Cicero | 11/17/1993 | 12/31/2012 |
| 73rd and Kedzie | 11/17/1993 | 12/31/2012 |
| 73rd/University | 9/13/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 79th and Cicero | 6/8/2005 | 12/31/2029 |
| 79th Street Corridor | 7/8/1998 | 7/8/2021 |
| 79th Street/Southwest Highway | 10/3/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 79th/Vincennes | 9/27/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| 83rd/Stewart | 3/31/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 87th/Cottage Grove | 11/13/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 89th and State | 4/1/1998 | 4/1/2021 |
| 95th and Western | 7/13/1995 | 7/13/2018 |
| 95th Street and Stony Island | 5/16/1990 | 12/31/2014 |
| Addison Corridor North | 6/4/1997 | 6/4/2020 |
| Addison South | 5/9/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Archer Courts | 5/12/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Archer/ Central | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Archer/Western | 2/11/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Armitage/Pulaski | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Austin/Commercial | 9/27/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Avalon Park/South Shore | 7/31/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Avondale | 7/29/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Belmont/ Central | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Belmont/Cicero | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Bronzeville | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Bryn Mawr/Broadway | 12/11/1996 | 12/11/2019 |
| Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road | 7/29/1998 | 7/29/2021 |
| Calumet River | 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Canal/Congress | 11/12/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Central West | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Chicago/ Kingsbury | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Chicago/Central Park | 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Chicago Lakeside Development - Phase 1 (USX) | 5/12/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Cicero/Archer | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Clark Street and Ridge Avenue | 9/29/1999 | 9/29/2022 |
| Clark/Montrose | 7/7/1999 | 7/7/2022 |
| Commercial Avenue | 11/13/2002 | . 12/31/2026 |

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2012

Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2012

| Devon/Sheridan | 3/31/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Devon/Western | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Diversey/ Narragansett | 2/5/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| Division/Homan | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Division/North Branch | 3/15/1991 | 12/31/2012 |
| Division-Hooker | 7/10/1996 | 12/31/2012 |
| Drexel Boulevard | 7/10/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Eastman/North Branch | 10/7/1993 | 12/31/2012 |
| Edgewater/ Ashland | 10/1/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor | 7/19/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Englewood Mall | 11/29/1989 | 12/31/2013 |
| Englewood Neighborhood | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Ewing Avenue | 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive | 7/13/1994 | 12/31/2018 |
| Fullerton/ Milwaukee | 2/16/2000 | . 12/31/2024 |
| Galewood/Armitage Industrial | 7/7/1999 | 7/7/2022 |
| Goose Island | 7/10/1996 | 7/10/2019 |
| Greater Southwest industrial Corridor (East) | 3/10/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West) | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area | 3/14/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Harrison/Central | 7/26/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Hollywood/Sheridan | 11/7/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Homan/Grand Trunk | 12/15/1993 | 12/31/2012 |
| Homan-Arthington | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Howard-Paulina | 10/14/1988 | 12/31/2012 |
| Humboldt Park Commercial | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Irving Park/Elston | 5/13/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Irving/Cicero | 6/10/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Jefferson Park Business District | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| Jefferson/ Roosevelt | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Kennedy/Kimbali | 3/12/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Kinzie Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 6/10/2021 |
| Kostner Avenue | 11/5/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Lake Calumet Area industrial | 12/13/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Lakefront | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Lakeside/Clarendon | 7/21/2004 | 12/31/2012 |
| LaSalle Central | 11/15/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Lawrence/ Kedzie | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Lawrence/Broadway | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Lawrence/Pulaski | 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Lincoln Avenue | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland | 11/2/1994 | 12/31/2018 |
| Little Village East | 4/22/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Little Village Industrial Corridor | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2012
Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2012

| Madden/Wells | 11/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
|--|--------------------|--------------|
| Madison/Austin Corridor | 9/29/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Michigan/Cermak | 9/13/1989 | 12/31/2013 |
| Midway Industrial Corridor | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Midwest | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Montclare | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Montrose/Clarendon | 6/30/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Near North | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| Near South | 11/28/1990 | 12/31/2014 |
| Near West | 3/23/1989 | 12/31/2013 |
| North Branch (North) | 7/2/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| North Branch (South) | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| North Pullman | 6/30/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| North-Cicero | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| Northwest Industrial Corridor | 12/2/1998 | 12/2/2021 |
| Ogđen/Pulaski | 4/9/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Ohio/Wabash | 6/7/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pershing/King | 9/5/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Peterson/ Cicero | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Peterson/ Pulaski | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pilsen Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Portage Park | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area | 6/23/2004 | . 12/31/2028 |
| Pulaski Corridor | 6/9/1999 | 6/9/2022 |
| Randolph and Wells | 6/9/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Ravenswood Corridor | 3/9/2005 | 12/31/2029 |
| Read-Dunning . | 1/11/1991 | 12/31/2015 |
| River South | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| River West | 1/10/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Roosevelt/Canal | 3/19/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| Roosevelt/Cicero | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Roosevelt/Racine | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Roosevelt/Union | 5/12/1999 | 5/12/2022 |
| Roosevelt-Homan | 12/5/1990 | 12/31/2014 |
| Roseland/Michigan | 1/16/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal | 7/24/1991 | 12/31/2015 |
| South Chicago | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| South Works Industrial | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Stevenson/Brighton | 4/11/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Stockyards Annex | 12/11/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Stockyards Industrial Commercial | 3/9/1989 | 12/31/2013 |
| Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial | 2/26/1992 | 2/26/2015 |
| Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2034 |
| Touhy/Western Touhy/Western | 9/13/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| | W-10-10-10-10-10-1 | |

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2012 Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2012

| Weed/Fremont | 1/8/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
|--|-----------|------------|
| West Grand | 6/10/1996 | 12/31/2012 |
| West Irving Park | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| West Pullman Industrial Park | 3/11/1998 | 3/11/2021 |
| West Woodlawn | 5/12/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Western Avenue North | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Western Avenue Rock Island | 2/8/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Western Avenue South | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Western/Ogden | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Wilson Yard | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Woodlawn | 1/20/1999 | 1/20/2022 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| The second state of the se | | <u> </u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Name of Redevelopment Project Area: Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project Area | |
|--|--|
| Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed | |
| If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types: Residential/Commercial | |
| Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one): | |
| Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X Industrial Jobs Recovery Law | |

| | No | Yes |
|---|--|-----|
| Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State | | |
| Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] | | |
| If <u>yes, please en</u> close <u>th</u> e amendment labeled Attachment A | [X | |
| Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of | | |
| the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6- | The second secon | |
| 22 (d) (3)] | | |
| Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B | | X |
| Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and | | |
| 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)] | | |
| Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C | | Χ |
| Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, including | | |
| any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [65 | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D | X | |
| Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of | | |
| any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65] | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)] | | |
| | | Χ |
| If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the | | |
| | | |
| municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and | | |
| 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)] | Х | |
| If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F | | |
| Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have | | |
| received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)] | | Х |
| If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G | - | |
| Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65 | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] | Х | |
| If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H | | |
| Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and | | |
| 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I | X | |
| Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of obligation | | |
| and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) | | |
| (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)] | V | |
| if yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J | X | |
| Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation | | |
| fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2) | | |
| If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund | | 3.6 |
| labeled Attachment K | _ | X |
| Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into | | |
| the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled | | |
| Attachment L | | X |
| A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2012, to which the municipality is a part, and an | | |
| accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to | | |
| those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)] | <u> </u> | |
| If yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M | X | |

^{*} Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period

Total Expenditures/Disbursements

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD*

\$ 10,038,853

8,811,195

(4,423,085)

5,615,768

| Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY: | Reporting Year | Cı | ımulative* | % of Total |
|--|-----------------|--|---------------|-------------|
| Property Tax Increment | 4,370,035 | \$ | 33,047,555 | 100% |
| State Sales Tax Increment | | | | 0% |
| Local Sales Tax Increment | | | | 0% |
| State Utility Tax Increment | | | | 0% |
| Local Utility Tax Increment | | | | 0% |
| Interest | 18,075 | | | 0% |
| Land/Building Sale Proceeds | | | | 0% |
| Bond Proceeds | | | | 0% |
| Transfers in from Municipal Sources (Porting in) | | | | 0% |
| Private Sources | | | | 0% |
| Other (identify source; if multiple other sources, attach | | | | |
| schedule) | | | | 0% |
| | *must be comple | eted w | here 'Reporti | ng Year' is |
| | populated | | | |
| Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation | | _ | | |
| Fund During Reporting Period | 4,388,110 |] | | |
| | | | | |
| Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts | | l \$ | 33,047,555 | 100% |
| Culturative Total nevertues/Casil necelpts | | Φ | 00,047,000 | 100 /0] |
| Culturative Total nevertues/Cash neceipts | | • | | 100 70] |
| · | 9 911 105 | <u>. </u> | | |
| Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) | 8,811,195 |] | 00,047,000 | |
| Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) | 8,811,195 | L-⊉]] | | |
| · | 8,811,195 |] | | |
| Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) Transfers out to Municipal Sources (Porting out) | 8,811,195 |]]] | | 100 /6] |
| Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) | 8,811,195 |]]] | | 100 /6] |

NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS

^{*} if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

^{*} Except as set forth in the next sentence, each amount reported on the rows below, if any, is cumulative from the inception of the respective Project Area. Cumulative figures for the categories of 'Interest,' 'Land/Building Sale Proceeds' and 'Other' may not be fully available for this report due to either of the following: (i) the disposal of certain older records pursuant to the City's records retention policy, or (ii) the availability of records only from January 1, 1997 forward.

SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND

(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

FOR AMOUNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED

Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)]

| | | Reporting Fiscal Year |
|--|-----------|--|
| 1. Costs of studies, administration and professional services—Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1) | | CHEST CONTRACTOR OF CHARLES |
| | 85,865 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 2. Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6) | | \$ 85,865 |
| 2. Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (4)/(1.0) and (0)(1.0) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | A SERVICE SEPTEMBERS SEPTEMBER 1991 |
| | | \$ - |
| 3. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs. | | |
| Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3) | | |
| | 8,684,030 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| <u></u> | | |
| | | \$ 8,684,030 |
| 4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and replacement of existing public | | |
| buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4) | | The state of the s |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | rancia de Para este perendo da en |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5) | | - |
| 5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Oursection (4)(4) and (0)(5) | 41,300 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | HALSON CO., DECREASE OF THE PROPERTY OF |
| | | |
| | | \$ 41,300 |
| 6. Coots of removing conteminants required by optimize the lates of the conteminants o | | 71,000 |
| Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY | | |
| COUNTY FILD ONE! | | |
| | | |
| | | ed domestic de contrargicals fonce |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | ¢ |
| | <u> </u> | \$ - |

| 7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) | | |
|--|---------|--|
| and (o)(12) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| <u> </u> | <u></u> | |
| | | ¢. |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) | | \$ |
| o. I shartestig costs, outbaccitori (q) (o) and (o)(o) | | And the second second second second |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | one some series of the second series |
| | | Takan managaran sarikan panen merusah baras |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | miles for the property of the control of the |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Burn B. Alland Physics Berger (1994) |
| | | \$ - |
| 10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing | | |
| projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | planta de la companya |
| | | percuration of the second of the second |
| | | Hilling my Bush spreads state for |
| | | |
| | | The state of the s |
| 11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10) | | \$ - |
| Ti, Relocation costs, Subsection (q)(6) and (6)(10) | | |
| | | standard and many standing shapes. |
| | | |
| | | (A) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | appealant participation |
| | | \$ - |
| 12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | n da da giptada masang salasanan |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other | | |
| taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12) | | |
| | | |
| | | TO SERVICE OF THE CONTROL OF THE SERVICE OF |
| | | |
| | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | ¢ |

| Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (ο)(13)(A-E) | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | \$ | - |
| Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households. Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | | |
| | | The second second second | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | \$ | Section of the control of the contro |
| 16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | \$ | - |
| TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES | | S | 8,811,195 |
| | | | |

Section 3.2 B

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.*

| Name | Service | Amount |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| City Staff Costs ¹ | Administration | \$78,469 |
| Board of Trustees - University of Illinois | Development | \$8,684,030 |
| Seven D Construction | Public Improvement | \$41,300 |

¹ Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

^{*} This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) (D) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5) (D))

| FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD | | \$ | 5,615,768 |
|---|----------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Amount of Origina Issuance | i i | ınt Restricted |
| 1. Description of Debt Obligations | | | |
| Restricted for debt service | \$ | - \$ | - |
| | | | |
| Total Amount Restricted for Obligations | \$ | - \$ | |
| 2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid | | | |
| Restricted for future redevelopment project costs | | \$ | 5,615,768 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Amount Restricted for Project Costs | | \$ | 5,615,768 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT RESTRICTED | | \$ | 5,615,768 |
| SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT) | | \$ | - |

^{*}NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts.

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

| Provide a | description | ı of | all | property | purchased | by | the | municipality | during | the | reporting | fiscal | year | within | the |
|-----------|--------------|------|-----|----------|-----------|----|-----|--------------|--------|-----|-----------|--------|------|--------|-----|
| redevelop | ment project | are | a. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

X No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

FY 2012

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G) PAGE 1

| If NO projects were undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area, indicate so | | |
|---|---|--|
| in the space provided: | | |
| If Projects WERE undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area enter the | | |
| TOTAL number of projects and list them in detail below. | 1 | |

SECTION 5 PROVIDES PAGES 1-3 TO ACCOMMODATE UP TO 25 PROJECTS. PAGE 1 MUST BE INCLUDED WITH TIF REPORT. PAGES 2-3 SHOULD BE INCLUDED IF PROJECTS ARE LISTED ON THESE PAGES

See "General Notes" Below.

| | | | Estimated Investment for | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------|
| | | | 1 | equent Fiscal | Tot | al Estimated to |
| TOTAL: | 11/ | 1/99 to Date | | Year | Co | mpiete Project |
| Private Investment Undertaken | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | 572,890,641 |
| Public Investment Undertaken | \$ | 26,914,971 | \$ | 4,077,306 | \$ | 107,957,123 |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | | 0 | | | | 5 23/75 |

| Project 1: | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Board of Trustees, UIC | Project | is Ongoing *** | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken | | | | \$ 572,890,641 |
| Public Investment Undertaken | \$ | 26,914,971 | \$ 4,077,306 | \$ 107,957,123 |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | | 0 | | 5 23/75 |

| Project 2: |] | |
|--|---|----------|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | <u> </u> |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | 0 |

^{***} As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

General Notes

- (a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.
- (b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.
- (c) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to Date, is cumulative from the Date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects undertaken prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.
- (d) Intergovernmental agreements, if any, are reported on Attachment M hereto.

FY 2012

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project Area

Optional: Information in the following sections is not required by law, but would be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

SECTION 6

Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area

| <u>designated</u> | Base EAV | EAV |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| designated | Base EAV | EAV |
| project area was | | Reporting Fiscal Year |
| Year redevelopment | | |

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area. If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

_____ The overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

| Overlapping Taxing District | Surplus Distributed from redevelopment |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |
| | \$ |

SECTION 7

Provide information about job creation and retention


| Number of Jobs Retained | Number of Jobs Created | Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent) of Jobs | Total Salaries Paid |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |

SECTION 8

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries:

| Optional Documents | Enclosed | |
|---|----------|--|
| Legal description of redevelopment project area | | |
| Map of District | X | |

Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project Area 2012 Annual Report



) SS

Attachment B

COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Judy Baar Topinka
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Herman Brewer Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Barbara Byrd-Bennett Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

Attachment B

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2012, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 28th day of June, 2013.

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois



June 28, 2013 Department of Law

Attachment C

CITY OF CHICAGO

Judy Baar Topinka
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

Barbara Byrd-Bennett Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Herman Brewer Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Roosevelt/Union

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section I1-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.

Opinion of Counsel for 2012 Annual Report Page 2

June 28, 2013

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Housing and Economic Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

very truly yours,

Stephen R. Patton Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

ATTACHMENTS E

Agreements entered into concerning the disposition or redevelopment of property within the Project Area during the preceding fiscal year are listed below.

| Parties to Agreement with City | Project Description | Address |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| N/A | | 713 W. Roosevelt Road |

FY 2012

ATTACHMENT G

Entity or Person that has entered into a contract with the City's Tax Increment Advisor(s) and is receiving or has received payments financed by Tax Increment Revenues from the Project Area.

| Entity or Person Name | Purpose of Contract | Dates and Duration of Contract | Basis for Compensation Under Contract |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| UIC Board of Trustees | Consulting Services | | Consulting Services |

ATTACHMENT K

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/UNION REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2012

<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>ROOSEVELT/UNION REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | 1-2 |
| Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, | 3-5 6 |
| expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements | 7 8-10 |
| SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | |
| Schedule of expenditures by statutory code | 11 |

BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
O'HARE PLAZA
8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 200
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631
AREA CODE 312 263.2700

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

The financial statements present only the Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2012, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2012, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, such information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.
Certified Public Accountants

June 19, 2013

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Roosevelt/Union Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2012. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the Government-Wide Financial Statements and the Governmental Fund Financial Statements. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets — the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities — is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$3,628,029 for the year. This was a decrease of 27 percent over the prior year. The change in net assets produced a decrease in net assets of \$5,165,091. The Project's net assets decreased by 37 percent from the prior year making available \$8,862,986 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Expenses increased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was substantially complete and available.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

Government-Wide

| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | % Change |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| Total assets | \$ 8,946,408 | \$14,080,310 | \$ (5,133,902) | -36% |
| Total liabilities | 83,422 | 52,233 | 31,189 | 60% |
| Total net assets | \$ 8,862,986 | \$14,028,077 | \$ (5,165,091) | -37% |
| | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 3,646,104 | \$ 4,959,284 | \$ (1,313,180) | -26% |
| Total expenses | 8,811,195 | 158,067 | 8,653,128 | 5,474% |
| Changes in net assets | (5,165,091) | 4,801,217 | (9,966,308) | -208% |
| Ending net assets | \$ 8,862,986 | \$14,028,077 | \$ (5,165,091) | -37% |

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2012

| <u>ASSETS</u> | Governmental Fund | Adjustments | Statement of Net Assets |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cash and investments | \$ 4,880,705 | \$ - | \$ 4,880,705 |
| Property taxes receivable | 4,047,800 | • | 4,047,800 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 17,903 | — | 17,903 |
| Total assets | \$ 8,946,408 | \$ | \$ 8,946,408 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Due to other City funds | \$ 83,422 | \$ - | \$ 83,422 |
| Deferred revenue | 3,247,218 | (3,247,218) | <u> </u> |
| Total liabilities | 3,330,640 | (3,247,218) | 83,422 |
| FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS | | | |
| Fund balance: Restricted for future redevelopment project costs | 5,615,768 | (5,615,768) | - |
| Total liabilities and fund balance | \$ 8,946,408 | | |
| Net assets: Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total net assets | | 8,862,986 \$ 8,862,986 | 8,862,986 \$ 8,862,986 |
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because: | | | |
| Total fund balance - governmental fund | | | \$ 5,615,768 |
| Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. | | | 3,247,218 |
| Total net assets - governmental activities | | | \$ 8,862,986 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

| | Governmental Fund | Adjustments | Statement of Activities | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|
| Revenues: Property tax Interest | \$ 4,370,035 18,075 | \$ (742,006) | \$ 3,628,029 18,075 | |
| Total revenues | 4,388,110 | (742,006) | 3,646,104 | |
| Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects | 8,811,195 | <u> </u> | 8,811,195 | |
| Excess of expenditures over revenues | (4,423,085) | 4,423,085 | - | |
| Change in net assets | - | (5,165,091) | (5,165,091) | |
| Fund balance/net assets: Beginning of year | 10,038,853 | 3,989,224 | 14,028,077 | |
| End of year | \$ 5,615,768 | \$ 3,247,218 | \$ 8,862,986 | |
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because: | | | | |
| Net change in fund balance - governmental fund | | | \$ (4,423,085) | |
| Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levie "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is | (742,006) | | | |
| Change in net assets - governmental activities | | | \$ (5,165,091) | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In May 1999, the City of Chicago (City) established the Roosevelt/Union Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Effective January 2011, GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, was adopted to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied, by eliminating the reserve component in favor of a restricted classification and by clarifying existing governmental fund type definitions. The "restricted fund balance" classification is utilized where amounts are constrained by either externally imposed laws or regulations of other governments or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Previously, GASB Statement No. 34 (as amended) was implemented and included the following presentation:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the *accrual basis* of *accounting* for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds current financial resources measurement focus.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis* of *accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis* of *accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Note 2 – Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

As of December 31, 2012 the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$41,000 for services and construction projects.



<u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>ROOSEVELT/UNION REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$ 85,865

Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land

8,684,030

Costs of the construction of public works or improvements

41,300

\$8,811,195

ATTACHMENT L



BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTABLISHED 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312.263.2700 FAX 312.263.6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, which comprise the statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and we have issued our report thereon dated June 19, 2013.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of Roosevelt/Union Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Project's noncompliance with the above referenced regulatory provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

June 19, 2013

