## CONFIDENTIAL



500 N. P	eshtigo Co Chicago, I	MAN RELATIONS urt, 6th Floor
IN THE MATTER OF		
Peter Belcina,	)	
Complainant, and	) ) ) CASE	NO. 91-FHO-16-5601
George Jacob and Sonia Jacob,	) Hear )	ing Officer: Jeffrey L. Taren
Respondents.	)	
Gina M. Jodlmon, Peregrino Vitorillo and Lyle Belcina,	) )	
Complainants,	)	
and	) ) CASE No	•
George Jacob and Sonia Jacob,	) ) )	
Respondents.	)	
o: Attorney for Complainant Cynthia Wilson Chicago Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Chicago, Il. 60601		Attorney for Respondent J. Patrick Hanley Carroll & Sain, Ltd. 180 N. LaSalle St. Suite 2800 Chicago, Il. 60601
Michael W. Coffield Maria Woltjen Frank E. Pasquesi Coffield, Ungaretti & Slavin 3500 Three First Nat Chicago, Il. 60602		za
ORDER		

THE CHICAGO COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS HEREBY ORDERS: The Complainants have filed a Motion to Consolidate three related complaints into the first filed complaint Peter Belcina - v- George and Sonia Jacob. No objections have been filed to this Motion.

Section 210.150 of the Rules and Regulations Governing the Chicago Human Rights Ordinance provides that:

> Whenever two or more Complaints or claims involve common questions of law or fact, the Commission may consolidate them or it may order a joint Conciliation Conference or Administrative Hearing concerning the common question(s) whenever this can be done without prejudice to the parties.

The four complaints at issue here clearly involve common questions both of law and fact. All four of the complainants allege claims of discrimination on the basis of national origin against the same respondents stemming from the exact same incidents. It is apparent that the witnesses would be identical in all four of these complaints. It would save considerable administrative time and resources if these four complaints were jointly tried at one administrative hearing.

The only real issue, therefore, is whether the respondents would be prejudiced by the consolidation for public hearing of these four complaints. Discovery has closed in the Peter Belcina complaint. However, the respondent has long been aware of the complaints filed by the co-tenants of Peter Belcina. The complainant has answered respondent's discovery with regard to each of the other three complainants. Therefore, there is no prejudice.

I will grant the Motion to Consolidate on the condition that no further discovery be propounded. The Pretrial Order shall be filed on September 2, 1991 and shall include the claims contained in each of the consolidated complaints.

.

A PARTY MAY OBTAIN REVIEW OF THIS ORDER ONLY AFTER THE COMMISSION HAS ISSUED AN ORDER DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT OR RULING UPON AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING.

CHICAGO COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS

CLARENCE N. WOOD Chair/Commissioner

BY: JEFFREY L. TAREN Hearing Officer

Date: Ax(1) 1951 Day of Wist Entered this  $23^{2}$ , 1991 Jeffrey Taren