2003 Annual Report

Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2004

UERNST&YOUNG

 Ernst & Young LLP Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301 ■ Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2004

Ms. Denise Casalino Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst + Young LLP

Ernst & Young LLP

Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area 2003 Annual Report

r

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – ROSELAND/MICHIGAN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

PAGE

LE	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Denise M. Casalino, P.E. Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX) http://www.cityofchicago.org June 30, 2004

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

yal

Denise Casalino Commissioner





Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area 2003 Annual Report

(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on January 16, 2002. The Project Area may be terminated no later than January 16, 2025.

Note: Incremental tax revenues levied in the 23^{rd} tax year are collected in the 24^{th} tax year. Although the Project Area will expire in Year 23 in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3(n)(J)(3), the incremental taxes received in the 24^{th} tax year will be deposited into the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

•

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2003, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

•

STATE OF ILLINOIS

COUNTY OF COOK

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: Carol Reckamp, Director of Local Government

)

)

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attn: Jackie Harder

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 536 North Harlem Avenue River Forest, Illinois 60305 Att: Kim Feeney

Martin J. Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Attn: Joe Rose

Wallace Young South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426 Attn: Dr. Khian K. Liem

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2003, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2004.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) **OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)**

Please see attached.

t



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-6900 (312) 744-8538 (FAX) (312) 744-2963 (TTY)

http://www.ci.chi.il.us

June 30, 2004

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: Carol Reckamp, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Gwendolyn Clemons, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Attn: Jackie Harder

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 536 North Harlem Avenue River Forest, Illinois 60305 Att: Kim Feeney

Martin J. Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Court, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attn: Linda Wrightsell

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Attn: Joe Rose

Wallace Young South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426 Attn: Dr. Khian K. Liem

Re: Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 <u>et seq</u>. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Opinion of Counsel for 2003 Annual Report Page 2

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

mara S. Georges

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area 2003 Annual Report

、

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITUR AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL F		
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003		
		2003
Revenues		
Property tax	\$	7,097
Sales tax Interest		- 9
Interest		7
Total revenues		7,106
Expenditures		
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)		106
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)		-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental		
site improvement costs. (q)(2)		-
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and		
of existing buildings. (q)(3)		-
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4) Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5)		-
Financing costs. (q)(6)		-
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)		-
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused		
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)		-
Relocation costs. (q)(8)		-
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)		-
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career		
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)		-
Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses		
incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)		-
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very		
low income households. (q)(11)(F)		-
Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.		
(q)(11.5)		-
Total expenditures		106
Revenues over expenditures		7,000
Fund balance, beginning of year		-
Fund balance, end of year	\$	7,000
Fund balance		
Reserved for debt service		
Reserved for encumbrances		-
Designated for future redevelopment project costs	\$	7,000
Total fund balance	\$	7,000
I Utai tuliu valalive	۹ ==	7,000

Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area 2003 Annual Report

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

TABLE 6

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY PURCHASED BY THE MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE TIF AREA

STREET ADDRESS	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	SELLER OF PROPERTY
10400-12 S. MICHIGAN ¹	18716 SQ. FT.	\$112,500	CLAIRES SINGLETON
10418 S. MICHIGAN ¹	27416 SQ. FT.	\$275,500	CLAIRES SINGLETON
11566-68/78-80 S. MICHIGAN ¹	16458 SQ. FT.	\$21,912	WILFRED A. AND SYLVIA L. THOMPSON
10605 S. MICHIGAN ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
11614 S. MICHIGAN ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
11616 S. MICHIGAN ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
11618-11624 S. MICHIGAN ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
11747 S. MICHIGAN ²	N/A	N/A	N/A
11800-11814 S. MICHIGAN ²	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ This property was acquired either through a condemnation court proceeding or by negotiated settlement in lieu of condemnation.

² This property was acquired through the Tax Reactivation Program ("TRP"), under which the City instructs the County of Cook to make a no cash bid on certain tax-delinquent parcels. The City then pursues the acquisition in a court proceeding and receives a tax deed from the County after a court order is issued. The City pays court costs and certain incidental expenses for each parcel, which average between \$2,000 and \$2,500. The size and description of each parcel is not available.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- (B) A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- (D) Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/03, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2004; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/03, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2003, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2003, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2003, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$6,440 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2003, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

•

During 2003, no reports were submitted to the City by the Joint Review Board.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

During 2003, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2003, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2004.

•

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2003, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

v

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2003, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

÷

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

During 2003, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Roseland/Michigan Redevelopment Project Area generally includes the Michigan Avenue frontage bounded by 100th Street on the north and 120th Street on the south; 103rd Street frontage from Wentworth Avenue on the west to Indiana Avenue on the east; and also includes the area from 110th Street to the Metra Rail Line from State Street to the aforementioned Michigan Avenue frontage. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

