

Health Impact Assessment: Southside Recycling Permit Application

Public Engagement Session #2: Breakout Session #10

Benefits

Please share some of the potential benefits of this proposal on you and your community. Who specifically benefits?

Company has high level of investment in community

Taxes paid

Cleaner to ship on water, eliminates pollution

Adds recycling

capacity;

helps the

planet

RMG cares about worker health and environment Adds pollution to already heavily polluted community area

Environmental racism; does not reflect CDPH's stated equity values Not seeing any benefits; negatives outweigh the positives; very few jobs for people who live/work in Ward 10

Job opportunity in manufacturing industry

Workers patronize Southeast Side businesses

Strong environmental controls

Where does the scrap metal go after shredding? Truck traffic / diesel emissions, PM

Need to note where benefits are being suggested by industry representatives Residents' concerns about cumulative burdens

Community has health vulnerabilities Should this plant be in a community that is overburdened



Lived Experience & Expertise

Is there anything else that you want people who are working on this proposal to know or think about based on your experience?

Haze over community, high density of manufacturing near homes and children/schools Community members don't have a choice, have to deal with impacts Poor air quality Concern that this is a "done deal" already Shouldn't have to choose between a job and breathing clean air

State-of-the-art facility that meets standards

Common standards are insufficient; do not reflect health effects even below standards Truck emissions will effect health Need analysis of cumulative impacts and legacy of pollution CDPH bases decisions on facts, not political pressure Facility should do better than meet minimum standards; should go above and learn from past mistakes

Need to hold company accountable for long-term effects on community Community needs to understand specifically what the community is doing to operate in a way that protects health/environment

EPA analysis does not apply best current science on health effects of PM2.5; should apply WHO guidelines, which are more stringent than NAAQS

Health effects are present below NAAQS Adding to overburdened community

Too close to schools and parks

Emissions do exceed WHO standards Community protest planned tomorrow; expect better process

Looking Forward

Beyond the permit decision, what should we be aware of as we embark on other policy or process change initiatives? Do you have any specific recommendations?

